BEFORE THE

CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD

IN THE MATTER OF THE:)
REGULAR MONTHLY BUSINESS)
MEETING)

DATE AND TIME: WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1997,

9:30 A.M.

PLACE: BOARD HEARING ROOM

8800 CAL CENTER DRIVE SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA

REPORTER: BETH C. DRAIN, RPR, CSR

CERTIFICATE NO. 7152

BRS FILE NO.: 42619

APPEARANCES

MR. DANIEL G. PENNINGTON, CHAIRMAN MR. ROBERT C. FRAZEE, VICE CHAIRMAN MR. WESLEY CHESBRO, MEMBER

MS. JANET GOTCH, MEMBER

MR. STEVEN R. JONES, MEMBER

MR. PAUL RELIS, MEMBER

STAFF PRESENT

MR. RALPH CHANDLER, CHIEF EXECUTIVE OFFICER MR. KEITH SMITH, DEPUTY EXECUTIVE OFFICER MS. KATHRYN TOBIAS, CHIEF LEGAL COUNSEL

MS. LORI LOPEZ, BOARD SECRETARY

MS. PATTI BERTRAM, ADMINISTRATIVE ASSISTANT

INDEX

PAGE CALL TO
ORDER 7

EX PARTE COMMUNICATIONS 8, 139

ITEM 1: REPORTS OF THE BOARD'S COMMITTEES:

LEGISLATION & PUBLIC EDUCATION 12

LOCAL ASSISTANCE & PLANNING 14

PERMITTING & ENFORCEMENT 16

POLICY, RESEARCH & TECHNICAL ASSIS. 18

ADMINISTRATION 20

ITEM 2: REPORT FROM THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR 24 ITEM 3: CONSIDERATION OF CONSENT AGENDA: 28

MARKET DEVELOPMENT

ITEM 6: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE PREVIOUSLY CONDITIONALLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ALHAMBRA, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

20

ITEM 7: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF MONTEREY PARK, LOS ANGELES COUNTY.

ITEM 8: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SOURCE REDUCTION AND
RECYCLING ELEMENT, HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, AND
NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF ADELANTO, SAN
BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 9: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF BIG BEAR LAKE, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 10: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION AND PROJECTIONS FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF REDLANDS, SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY.

ITEM 11: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE SUMMARY PLAN AND COUNTYWIDE INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR

1	THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO.
	ITEM 12: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
2	RECOMMENDATION TO CORRECT THE BASE-YEAR GENERATION TONNAGE FOR THE PREVIOUSLY APPROVED SOURCE
3	REDUCTION AND RECYCLING ELEMENT FOR THE
	UNINCORPORATED AREA, SANTA CRUZ COUNTY
4	ITEM 13: CONSIDERATION OF THE PROPOSED 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM OF THE YEAR 5
	WINNERS.
	ITEM 14: CONSIDERATION OF STAFF
6	RECOMMENDATION ON THE ADEQUACY OF THE HOUSEHOLD
O	HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT FOR THE CITY OF CAPITOLA,
7	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY.
	ITEM 17: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW SOLID
8	WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE ROBERT A. NELSON
	TRANSFER STATION AND MATERIALS RECOVERY FACILITY,
9	RIVERSIDE COUNTY.
	ITEM 18: CONSIDERATION OF A
	REVISED SOLID 10 WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR
	THE BLYTHE SANITARY
	LANDFILL, RIVERSIDE COUNTY.
	11 ITEM 19: CONSIDERATION OF A NEW
	SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE MUSTANG HILL
	12 LANDFILL, KINGS COUNTY.
	ITEM 20: CONSIDERATION OF PROGRESS
	MADE 13 BY THE INYO COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF
	ENVIRONMENTAL
	HEALTH SERVICES AS THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT
	AGENCY FOR 14 INYO COUNTY DURING ITS SIX-MONTH
	PROBATIONARY
	STATUS.
	15 ITEM 27: CONSIDERATION OF LEGAL
	AUTHORITY
	ISSUES AND STAFF OPTIONS RELATING TO
	ORGANICS TIER 16 REGULATIONS.
	17
	18 ITEM 4: CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE
	1997-98
	CONTRACT CONCEPTS.

STAFF PRESENTATION

19

52		
	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
20	COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	53,
62, 68		,
•	ACTION	62,
67, 74		<i>3</i> - <i>7</i>
21		
22 TTFM 5:	CONSIDERATION OF A \$25,000	FIINDING
REQUEST	CONDIDERATION OF A \$25,000	ronding
		_
FOR THE E	BAY AREA SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN	1.
23	STAFF PRESENTATION	
75		
	PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
77		
24	COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
79		
-	7 CELT 031	1.00
	ACTION	100,
101		
25		

4

ITEM 15: CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF M	MEMBERS
TO THE LOAN COMMITTEE FOR THE RECYCLING MAR	RKET
DEVELOPMENT REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM.	
STAFF PRESENTATION	29
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	30
ACTION	34
ITEM 16: CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROP	POSED
REGULATIONS TO THE RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOR	PMENT
REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM.	
STAFF PRESENTATION	35
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	35
ITEM 21: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID	WASTE
FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE ZANKER ROAD CLASS	III
LANDFILL, SANTA CLARA COUNTY.	
STAFF PRESENTATION	108
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	
ACTION	103
ITEM 22: CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID	WASTE
	,,,,,

LANDFILL, RIVERSIDE COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 36

PUBLIC TESTIMONY 46

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 39

FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE EDOM HILL SANITARY

ACTION 51

ITEM 23: CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS MONOFILL, CALAVERAS COUNTY.

STAFF PRESENTATION 105
PUBLIC TESTIMONY 109
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION 107, 123
ACTION 121, 129

ITEM 24: CONSIDERATION OF THE ISSUANCE OF A NEW MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS MONOFILL, CALAVERAS COUNTY

STAFF PRESENTATION	133
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	134
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	135
ACTION	138

ITEM 25: CONSIDERATION OF TEMPORARY CERTIFICATION OF THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENT SERVICES DEPARTMENT AS THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FOR THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO.

STAFF PRESENTATION	140
PUBLIC TESTIMONY	144
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION	148
ACTION	193

ITEM 26: CONSIDERATION OF LEGAL AUTHORITY ISSUES AND STAFF OPTIONS RELATING TO CONSTRUCTION, DEMOLITION, AND INERT TIER REGULATIONS.

STAFF PRESENTATION
PUBLIC TESTIMONY
COMMITTEE DISCUSSION
195
ACTION
197

ITEM 28: (PULLED) CONSIDERATION OF A NEW STANDARDIZED COMPOSTING PERMIT FOR SUN-LAND GARDEN PRODUCTS COMPOSTING FACILITY, MONTEREY COUNTY.

ITEM 29: UPDATE ON STAFF'S EFFORTS TO

DEVELOP QUANTITATIVE MEASUREMENT TOOLS FOR USE BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS.

ADDENDUM ITEM 1: CONSIDERATION OF A POLICY THAT WILL ESTABLISH CRITERIA TO DETERMINE WHEN AND UNDER WHAT CIRCULSTANCES AN APPLICANT FOR A BOARD CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN SHOULD BE CONSIDERED UNRELIABLE AND THEREFORE NOT AWARDED THE CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN

STAFF PRESENTATION

209

PUBLIC TESTIMONY

for accuracy.

COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

210

ACTION

218

ITEM 30: OPEN DISCUSSION

219

RECESS

231

1	SACRAMENTO, CALIFORNIA;
2	WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 19, 1997
3	9:30 A.M.
4	
5	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: GOOD MORNING AND
6	WELCOME TO THE NOVEMBER 1997 MEETING OF THE
7	CALIFORNIA INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT BOARD.
8	WOULD THE SECRETARY PLEASE CALL THE ROLL.
9	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
10	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: HERE.
11	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
12	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: HERE.
13	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
14	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: HERE.
15	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
16	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: HERE.
17	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
18	BOARD MEMBER JONES: WHAT AM I? I'M HERE.
19	THEY DON'T WANT ME HERE. I'M LEAVING.
20	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER JONES.
21	BOARD MEMBER JONES: HERE.
22	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S ALL RIGHT. WE
23	HAD A QUORUM ANYWAY.

24			OKA	Y.	WE	HAVE	A	QUO	RUM.	DO	AN	Y
25	MEMBERS	HAVE	ANY	EX	PAR'	TES?	I	' LL	START	WIT	Ή	MR.
					7							

```
1
      CHESBRO.
 2
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: YES, I HAVE SEVERAL
 3
      WRITTEN ONES. I'M SURE OTHER PEOPLE HAVE RECEIVED
 4
      THEM TOO. BUT I HAVE -- LET'S SEE -- COLEMAN
 5
      CONRAD, CITY OF SAN DIEGO, REGARDING THE CERTIFICA-
      TION OF SAN DIEGO LEA, ITEM 25; SEVERAL LETTERS
 6
 7
      FROM ERIC SUNSWHEAT ON ITEMS A-1 AND ITEM 26; I
      HAVE A LETTER FROM STEVEN -- TWO LETTERS FROM
 9
      STEVEN QUINTANILLA, THE CITY OF CATHEDRAL CITY,
10
      REGARDING THE EDOM HILL LANDFILL; LETTER FROM SUSAN
      CATCHEY, CITY OF OAKLAND REGARDING THE SHOP SMART
11
12
      CAMPAIGN, ITEM 5; AND THEN I HAD AN ORAL EX PARTE,
      WHICH I HAVEN'T HAD A CHANCE TO DO THE FORM ON YET,
13
      LAST SATURDAY WITH DAVID ASSMAN OF THE CITY AND
14
15
      COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO REGARDING THE SHOP SMART
      CAMPAIGN ITEM.
16
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
17
18
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'LL BE SUBMITTING
      THE LIST TO THE CLERK.
19
20
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MRS. GOTCH.
21
               BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I HAD THE SAME
      LETTERS MR. CHESBRO JUST MENTIONED, AND THEN I HAD
22
23
      A CONVERSATION LAST NIGHT WITH JEFF MARSTON
```

- 24 REPRESENTING THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO REGARDING ITEM
- 25 25 AND THE SAN DIEGO LEA CERTIFICATION.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 2 MR. FRAZEE.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: MINE ARE ALL
- 4 RECORDED IN THE FILE.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 6 MR. RELIS.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I HAD A
- 8 BRIEF DISCUSSION THIS MORNING WITH CLINT WHITNEY
- 9 REPRESENTING, I THINK, SAN DIEGO COUNTY CONCERNING
- 10 ITEM 25, THE LEA CERTIFICATION; AND LAST WEEK
- 11 VERBAL WITH, HAVEN'T FILED THE REPORT YET, WITH
- 12 RICH HAYES AND ROBERT EPPLER, THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO
- 13 REGARDING THE SAME ITEM.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. AND MR.
- 15 JONES.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER JONES: ALL THE LETTERS THAT
- 17 MR. CHESBRO REFERRED TO AND THEN BRIEF DISCUSSION
- 18 WITH CLINT WHITNEY, MICHAEL GROSS FROM ZANKER
- 19 ROAD -- SORRY, MICHAEL -- MARK LEARY AND TERRY
- 20 LEVEILLE.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I TOO HAVE ALL THE
- 22 LETTERS THAT -- FROM THE CITY OF OAKLAND IN SUPPORT
- OF THE SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN; TWO LETTERS FROM
- 24 ATTORNEYS REPRESENTING THE CITY OF CATHEDRAL CITY;

25 LETTER FROM COLEMAN CONRAD DEALING WITH THE SAN 9

- 1 DIEGO LEA SITUATION; FAX FROM ERIC SUNSWHEAT
- 2 CONCERNING THE BOARD POLICY ON UNRELIABLE
- 3 CONTRACTORS. I THINK THAT COVERS IT. I DID SPEAK
- 4 WITH MR. LARSON THIS MORNING, BUT NOT RELATIVE TO
- 5 ANYTHING BEFORE THE BOARD. OKAY.
- 6 FEW THINGS, ITEM 22, REQUEST FROM BOB
- 7 NELSON TO HAVE ITEM 22 HEARD BY THE BOARD IN THE
- 8 MORNING TO ACCOMMODATE HIS TRAVEL TO MEETINGS IN
- 9 THE SOUTH. WE'RE GOING TO MOVE THAT.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I
- 11 HAVE A QUESTION ABOUT THAT. I JUST WANT TO MAKE
- 12 SURE. WE RECEIVED SEVERAL LETTERS REGARDING THAT.
- 13 I WANT TO MAKE SURE -- WELL, ASK WHETHER WE KNOW
- 14 WHETHER THE OTHER PARTIES ARE GOING TO BE COMING
- 15 AND WHETHER THEY WERE INFORMED OF THE ITEM BEING
- 16 MOVED ON THE AGENDA. I JUST WANT TO MAKE SURE
- 17 SOMEBODY DOESN'T SHOW UP LATER AND SAY, "WHEN'S THE
- 18 ITEM?" "OH, WE ALREADY HEARD IT." I DON'T THINK
- 19 THAT WOULD BE TOO APPROPRIATE.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I THINK MS. RICE CAN
- 21 RESPOND TO THAT.
- MS. RICE: GOOD MORNING. WE DID CONTACT
- 23 ALL INTERESTED PARTIES WE WERE AWARE OF AND DID
- 24 UNDERSTAND THAT THEY DID NOT INTEND TO COME TODAY,

MEANING THE CITY OF CATHEDRAL CITY, WHICH IS WHY 10

- 1 THEY PROVIDED THE LETTER FOR YOU THIS MORNING,
- 2 BECAUSE THEY WERE NOT PLANNING TO ATTEND.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THERE WAS A SECOND
- 4 LETTER WHICH I HAVE TO DIG IN HERE TO FIND, BUT
- 5 THERE WAS SOME INTERESTED PARTY.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: YES, AN INDIVIDUAL.
- 7 MS. TOBIAS: MR. CHESBRO, THE LETTER THAT
- 8 CAME IN THIS MORNING?
- 9 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I DON'T KNOW IF IT
- 10 CAME IN THIS MORNING. IT WAS IN MY PACKET THIS
- 11 MORNING.
- 12 MS. TOBIAS: I BELIEVE THAT THE CITY SENT
- 13 UP A LETTER WITH THEIR OFFICIAL LETTER FROM THE
- 14 CITY FROM SOMEBODY. WE HAD SEEN THAT LETTER
- 15 BEFORE, BUT THEY WANTED IT TO BE PART OF THE RECORD
- 16 WHERE THEY'RE OBJECTING. SO IF THAT'S THE ONE YOU
- 17 ARE REFERRING TO, I DON'T HAVE THAT IN FRONT OF ME,
- 18 BUT THERE WAS ONE LETTER FROM ONE CITIZEN. IT'S
- 19 NOT MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY WOULD BE HERE TODAY.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I HAVE IT.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: JOSEPHINE SAFRANSKI
- 22 (PHONETIC).
- MS. TOBIAS: YEAH, THAT'S CORRECT. IT'S
- 24 MY UNDERSTANDING THAT THE CITY UNDERSTOOD WHAT THE

25 ISSUES WERE AND HOW THE BOARD WOULD BE HANDLING 11

- 1 THEM BASED ON THE CEOA ISSUES, AND THAT THEY DID
- NOT EXPECT TO BE HERE TODAY.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SO IF THERE'S NO
- 4 OBJECTION, WE'LL MOVE THAT TO THIS MORNING.
- 5 LET'S SEE. I HAVE A FEW
- 6 ANNOUNCEMENTS HERE. I HAVE A -- ITEM 28 IS PULLED
- 7 FROM TODAY'S AGENDA AND WILL BE HEARD IN DECEMBER.
- 8 ITEM 22 WILL BE HEARD FOLLOWING ITEM 3. ITEM 26
- 9 WOULD BE PULLED FROM THE CONSENT CALENDAR AND BE
- 10 HEARD BY THE BOARD AFTER LUNCH TODAY.
- MOVE TO ITEM NO. 1, COMMITTEE
- 12 REPORTS. LEGISLATION AND PUBLIC EDUCATION
- 13 COMMITTEE DIDN'T MEET, BUT DOES MRS. GOTCH HAVE
- 14 ANYTHING?
- 15 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: ACTUALLY WE DID MEET,
- 16 MR. CHAIR. WE MET ON NOVEMBER 13TH, AND THE
- 17 COMMITTEE RECEIVED AN UPDATE FROM OUR PUBLIC
- 18 EDUCATION DIVISION. STAFF COMPLETED THEIR GOALS
- AND OBJECTIVES FOR '97-'98 FISCAL YEAR, AND THEY
- 20 ANTICIPATE THAT WE WILL CONDUCT OVER ONE HUNDRED
- 21 WORKSHOPS DURING THAT FISCAL YEAR.
- THE MODEL SCHOOL PROGRAMS AWARDED TO
- 23 ALAMEDA AND SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY ARE BOTH ON
- 24 SCHEDULE. EACH PROGRAM WILL INCLUDE A WASTE

25 PREVENTION, RECYCLING, AND COMPOSTING COMPONENT, AS 12

1	WELL AS AN EDUCATIONAL COMPONENT.
2	ADDITIONALLY, WE RECEIVED AN UPDATE
3	FROM OUR PUBLIC AFFAIRS DIVISION. STAFF'S BEEN
4	BUSY REDESIGNING THE BOARD'S OLD "NEWS AT A GLANCE"
5	AND HAS CONVERTED IT TO A NEWSLETTER CALLED THE
6	"WASTE WATCHER," WHICH IS ALSO ON OUR WEB PAGE.
7	STAFF IS ALSO BUSY FINALIZING THE
8	HOLIDAY SHOPPING BAG ARTWORK FOR RALPH'S GROCERY
9	STORES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. AND I THINK ARNIE
10	HAS RUN UPSTAIRS TO GET IT. LOOKS LIKE WE'LL
MISS	
11	IT FOR COMMITTEE TIME, BUT WE'LL PASS IT AROUND
12	AFTERWARDS.
13	AND THEN FINALLY, WE RECEIVED AN
14	UPDATE ON THE RESULTS OF THE PUBLIC EDUCATION AND
15	PUBLIC AFFAIRS PLAN. WE'VE DECIDED TO COMBINE
вотн	
16	PLANS TO AVOID OVERLAP BETWEEN DIVISIONS AND TO
17	ENSURE THEY APPROPRIATELY FOLLOW THE STRATEGIC
18	PLAN.
19	WE'RE ALSO AWAITING COMMENTS FROM
THE	
20	OUTSIDE PARTIES THAT WILL BE INCLUDED IN THE
PLAN.	

21	IN COMMITTEE I PROVIDED A TIMETABLE TO STAFF OF
22	WHEN ELEMENTS OF THE PLAN SHOULD BE FINALIZED,
AND	
23	THIS COMPLETED PLAN WILL BE HEARD AT NEXT MONTH'S
24	LPEC AND THE BOARD MEETING. AND THAT CONCLUDES
MY	
25	REPORT.

- 1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MRS.
- 2 GOTCH. APOLOGIZE THAT THE CHAIR THOUGHT YOU

DIDN'T

- 3 MEET.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THAT'S OKAY. NO
- 5 LEGISLATION, OBVIOUSLY.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: LOCAL ASSISTANCE

AND

- 7 PLANNING, MR. CHESBRO CHAIR.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, THE
- 9 LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

CONSIDERED

10 11 PLANNING DOCUMENTS, REPRESENTING EIGHT

JURISDIC-

- 11 TIONS IN LOS ANGELES COUNTY, SAN BERNARDINO, AND
- 12 SANTA CRUZ COUNTIES. THANKS TO THE THOROUGH WORK
- OF STAFF, MOST OF THE PLANS WERE ON CONSENT

AGENDA

- 14 AT THE COMMITTEE AND ALL ARE NOW ON THE BOARD'S
- 15 CONSENT AGENDA.
- 16 THE COMMITTEE HEARD A PRESENTATION

OF

- 17 THE PROGRAM ANNUAL REPORT DATABASE SYSTEM, ALSO
- 18 KNOWN AS PARIS. GIVES IT SORT OF A NICE ROMANTIC

19 SOUND FOR SOMETHING THAT'S FAIRLY TECHNICAL. BUT ONCE ACTIVATED, IT IS VERY IMPORTANT. I DON'T 20 MEAN TO SLIGHT IT. IT'S A VERY IMPORTANT SYSTEM. IT 21 22 WILL SHOW WHAT PROGRAMS ARE BEING IMPLEMENTED BY LOCAL JURISDICTIONS, HOW WELL THE JURISDICTIONS 23 ARE DOING WITH THEIR PROGRAMS, AND DEVELOP REPORTS TO 24 25 ASSIST IN THE BIENNIAL REVIEW OF THE JURISDICTION'S

1 PLAN. 2 THE BOARD WILL NOT BE HEARING THIS THIS MONTH; HOWEVER, IT SEEMED IMPORTANT ENOUGH 3 THAT WE ASKED STAFF TO PRESENT IT TO THE BOARD 4 EARLY IN 1998 SO THAT YOU CAN KEPT ABREAST OF THE 5 б VARIOUS KINDS OF ASSISTANCE THAT OTHER BOARD 7 MEMBERS AND MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE CAN CONTINUE 8 TO BE INFORMED ON THE TYPE OF ASSISTANCE WE'RE 9 PROVIDING. TODAY WE WILL BE HEARING A DISCUSSION 10 11 LATER ON OF THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION AND DIVERSION MODEL, THIS AFTERNOON, I BELIEVE, 12 DEPENDING ON HOW QUICKLY WE MOVE THROUGH THE 13 14 AGENDA. THE COMMITTEE ALSO CONSIDERED THE 15 16 PROPOSED 1997 WASTE REDUCTION AWARDS PROGRAM OF THE 17 YEAR WINNERS, THE WRAP-OF-THE-YEAR WINNERS. THE WINNERS INCLUDE FETZER VINEYARDS, HILLSIDE PRESS, 18 19 SEA WORLD, AND WARNER BROTHERS, JUST TO NAME SOME 20 OF THEM. ALL OF THE WINNERS ARE TO BE COMMENDED FOR THEIR CONTRIBUTION TO THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA'S 21 22 EFFORT TO REDUCE WASTE BY 50 PERCENT. AND THE ITEM IS ALSO LOCATED -- WILL BE ON THE CONSENT AGENDA. 23 AND AS IN PAST YEARS, I BELIEVE OUR PUBLIC AFFAIRS

24

OFFICE, WORKING WITH THE DIVISION STAFF, WILL BE 15

- 1 SCHEDULING PUBLIC EVENTS AND EFFORTS TO PUBLICIZE
- 2 THESE COMPANIES' CONTRIBUTIONS. AND THAT COMPLETES
- 3 MY REPORT.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR.
- 5 CHESBRO. PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE, MR.
- 6 ROBERT FRAZEE CHAIR.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: YES, MR. CHAIRMAN,
- 8 THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE MET ON
- 9 NOVEMBER 5TH AND HEARD 13 ITEMS, WHICH MANAGED TO
- 10 TAKE THE FULL DAY. ON TODAY'S CONSENT AGENDA ARE
- 11 THREE PERMIT ITEMS. NO. 1, A SOLID WASTE FACILITY
- 12 PERMIT FOR THE ROBERT A. NELSON TRANSFER STATION
- 13 FACILITY IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY; TWO, A REVISED SOLID
- 14 WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE BLYTHE SANITARY
- 15 LANDFILL IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY; AND, THREE, A NEW
- 16 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE MUSTANG HILL
- 17 LANDFILL IN KINGS COUNTY.
- 18 ITEMS PASSED ON TO THE BOARD FOR
- 19 CONSIDERATION -- NO. THIS IS CONSENT, STILL
- 20 CONSIDERATION ITEMS. THE FIRST OF THOSE,
- 21 CONSIDERATION OF PROGRESS MADE BY THE INYO COUNTY
- 22 DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL HEALTH SERVICES AS THE
- 23 LEA FOR INYO COUNTY DURING A SIX-MONTH PROBATIONARY

24 STATUS. IN THIS ITEM THE COMMITTEE NOTED

25 SIGNIFICANT PROGRESS AND DID GRANT A SIX-MONTH
16

- 1 CONTINUANCE TO THE PROBATIONARY STATUS TO FINISH UP
- 2 THE FINAL ITEMS NEEDED TO BRING INYO COUNTY INTO
- 3 FULL COMPLIANCE.
- 4 AND THEN LEGAL AUTHORITY ISSUES AND
- 5 STAFF OPTIONS RELATING TO CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLI-
- 6 TION AND INERT TIER REGULATIONS. AND THAT ONE --
- 7 WAS THERE A REQUEST TO PULL THAT FROM THE CONSENT?
- 8 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: THAT'S ITEM 26, IS
- 10 IT?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CORRECT.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: OKAY. SO THAT ONE
- 13 WILL NOT BE ON CONSENT CALENDAR. AND THE LEGAL
- 14 AUTHORITY ISSUES AND STAFF OPTIONS TO ORGANICS TIER
- 15 REGULATION.
- 16 AND THEN FORWARDED FOR THE REGULAR
- 17 AGENDA, A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR
- 18 THE EDOM HILL SANITARY LANDFILL, RIVERSIDE COUNTY;
- 19 ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DEC FOR A MAJOR TIRE
- 20 FACILITY PERMIT FOR CAM IN CALAVERAS COUNTY; AND A
- 21 NEW MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THAT SAME
- 22 FACILITY, CALAVERAS COUNTY.
- 23 AND NO. 4, TEMPORARY CERTIFICATION OF
- 24 THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO DEVELOPMENTAL SERVICES

DEPARTMENT AS THE LEA FOR THE CITY OF SAN DIEGO. 17

THAT ONE PASSED THE COMMITTEE ON A TWO-TO-ONE VOTE. 1 2 AND THEN ITEMS APPROVED IN THE 3 COMMITTEE THAT ARE NOT ON TODAY'S AGENDA: THE CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL TO FORMALLY NOTICE 4 PROPOSED REGULATIONS TO PLACE TRANSFER/PROCESSING 5 б OPERATIONS AND FACILITIES WITHIN THE REGULATORY 7 TIERS. THAT ITEM WAS SENT OUT FOR THE FIRST 45-DAY 8 COMMENT PERIOD. 9 AND THEN FINALLY, THE STATUS OF THE WASTE TIRE STABILIZATION AND ABATEMENT PROGRAM, 10 11 THAT WAS AN UPDATE FOR THE COMMITTEE. AND THAT 12 COMPLETES MY REPORT. 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU. NEXT IS THE POLICY, RESEARCH AND TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE 14 COMMITTEE. STEVE JONES IS CHAIR. 15 16 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THANK YOU, MR. 17 CHAIRMAN. THE POLICY COMMITTEE MET AND CONSIDERED ONE ITEM, THE CONSIDERATION OF A POLICY THAT WILL 18 19 ESTABLISH CRITERIA TO DETERMINE WHEN AND UNDER WHAT 20 CIRCUMSTANCES AN APPLICANT FOR A BOARD CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN SHOULD BE CONSIDERED UNRELIABLE AND 21 22 THEREFORE NOT AWARD THE CONTRACT, GRANT, OR LOAN. WE WORKED THROUGH THIS ITEM, AND IT 23

WENT OUT OF COMMITTEE ON A THREE-OH VOTE. WE DO

24

25 HAVE -- TODAY WE WILL OFFER SOME AMENDED LANGUAGE 18

1 THAT I THINK EVERYBODY HAS A COPY OF THAT WILL TRY TO CLEAN UP A POTENTIAL LOOPHOLE IN THAT POLICY. 2 3 AND JUST REAL BRIEFLY, I WAS -- PART OF OUR TRANSPORT PACKAGING INITIATIVE, WE HAD 4 5 INCLUDED THE RECONDITIONERS OF STEEL DRUM PEOPLE TO TALK ABOUT A REUSE PROGRAM DEALING WITH INDUSTRIAL 6 7 WASTE THROUGHOUT THE STATE. THEY HAD ASKED THE 8 CHAIRMAN TO SPEAK AT THEIR CONFERENCE. HE ASKED IF 9 I WOULD DO IT. I GLEEFULLY ACCEPTED THAT 10 RESPONSIBILITY BECAUSE IT WAS IN SCOTTSDALE AND WENT DOWN AND SPOKE TO THOSE FOLKS AND SPENT THE 11 12 TWO DAYS IN THAT CONFERENCE AND LEARNED AN AWFUL 13 LOT ABOUT THE INDUSTRIAL ISSUES WITH TRANSPORT 14 PACKAGING. 15 I THINK THEY'RE GOING TO HAVE TO AT SOME POINT COME TO POLICY COMMITTEE AND TALK ABOUT 16 BECAUSE THERE IS A MOVEMENT WITHIN THE INDUSTRY, 17 18 WITHIN THE STEEL DRUM INDUSTRY, TO MAKE LIGHTER AND 19 LIGHTER BARRELS. THOSE BARRELS CAN'T BE REUSED. THE GET USED ONCE, THEY GET EITHER RECYCLED OR 20 THROWN AWAY, AND IT SEEMS KIND OF 21

COUNTERPRODUCTIVE

22	TO WHAT OUR MISSION IS WHEN THOSE DRUMS IN THEIR
23	ORIGINAL FORM THREE YEARS AGO COULD HAVE BEEN
24	REUSED AS MANY AS TEN TIMES. SEEMS LIKE WE MAY BE
25	MISSING THE BOAT HERE, BUT I THINK WE NEED TO TALK

- 1 ABOUT IT A LITTLE BIT BECAUSE THERE IS SOME VALUE
- 2 IN THAT REUSE, OBVIOUSLY. THANK YOU, MR.
- 3 CHAIRMAN.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU. MR.
- 5 JONES. THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE, WHICH I
- 6 CHAIR, THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MET ON NOVEMBER
- 7 4TH AND HEARD TWO ITEMS. THE FIRST ITEM WAS THE
- 8 CONSIDERATION OF A \$25,000 FUNDING REQUEST FOR THE
- 9 BAY AREA SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN.
- 10 THIS ITEM WAS FORWARDED TO THE BOARD
- 11 WITHOUT RECOMMENDATION AND IS PENDING THE
- 12 DISCUSSION AND APPROVAL OF THE CONSENT -- CONTRACT
- 13 CONCEPTS BY THE BOARD.
- 14 THE SECOND ITEM WAS THE CONSIDERATION
- 15 OF THE '97-'98 FISCAL YEAR CONTRACT CONCEPTS. THIS
- 16 ITEM IS ON THE BOARD AGENDA FOR A DISCUSSION TODAY
- 17 WITH RECOMMENDATIONS FOR TWO OF THE COMMITTEE
- 18 MEMBERS. AS COMMITTEE GOTCH REQUESTED THAT THE
- 19 STAFF COMPLETE ADDITIONAL RESEARCH, SHE ABSTAINED
- 20 FROM VOTING AT THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE.
- 21 MARKET DEVELOPMENT COMMITTEE IS
- 22 CHAIRED BY MR. RELIS.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.

- 24 WE TOOK UP TWO ITEMS IN COMMITTEE, BOTH IN CONSENT,
- THE ADOPTION OF THE PROPOSED REGULATIONS FOR THE

- 1 RMDZ LOAN PROGRAM AND THE APPOINTMENT OF NEW
- 2 MEMBERS TO THE RMDZ LOAN COMMITTEE.
- 3 THE COMMITTEE ALSO VIEWED THE BOARD'S
- 4 NEW COMPOST VIDEO, THE RESULTS OF OUR FOUR-YEAR
- 5 DEMONSTRATION PROJECTS IN FIVE LOCALES OF THE
- 6 STATE. I THINK I COULD SPEAK FOR THE MARKET
- 7 COMMITTEE, THAT WE FOUND THIS VIDEO, 30 MINUTES IN
- 8 LENGTH, IN THE WORDS OF FARMERS, RESEARCHERS, NOT
- 9 OUR WORDS AT THE BOARD, BOARD MEMBERS, TO BE A VERY
- 10 CONVINCING TESTIMONY AS TO THE VALUE OF ORGANICS
- 11 RECYCLING FOR CALIFORNIA AGRICULTURE.
- 12 AND JUST THIS PAST WEEK I'VE HAD AN
- 13 OPPORTUNITY TO SHOW THE VIDEO IN TWO MEETINGS TO
- 14 TEST THIS, ITS ABILITY TO COMMUNICATE TO GROWERS,
- 15 ONE IN POWAY, NORTHERN SAN DIEGO COUNTY. LAST WEEK
- 16 ABOUT 40 GROWERS MET WITH THE RESEARCHERS IN OUR
- 17 SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA DEMONSTRATION PROJECT, HEARD
- DR. MENGE PRESENT HIS RESULTS OF MULCH APPLICATIONS
- 19 ON AVOCADOS AND CITRUS.
- 20 AND THE GROWERS, THE NUMBER OF
- 21 QUESTIONS AND THE INTEREST WAS, I WOULD SAY,
- 22 PHENOMENAL AT THAT MEETING.
- 23 AND THEN YESTERDAY HAD THE
- 24 OPPORTUNITY TO MEET AT THE NEW GREAT VALLEY CENTER

25 IN MODESTO, WHICH IS DEDICATED TO PROTECTION OF THE \$21>

- 1 FARMLANDS IN THE CENTRAL VALLEY. THERE MET WITH A
- NUMBER OF GROWERS OF THE CALF PROGRAM, AND THEY
- 3 WERE ALSO VERY INTERESTED IN THE FINDINGS OF THIS
- 4 DEMONSTRATION WORK.
- 5 SO I THINK WE'VE GOT A VERY FINE
- 6 PRODUCT HERE, AND NOW THE CHALLENGE IS TO GET THE
- 7 WORD OUT, GET THE VIDEO DISTRIBUTED. THERE HAVE
- 8 BEEN MANY REQUESTS TO MY OFFICE ALREADY FOR IT, SO
- 9 THAT COMPLETES MY REPORT.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR.
- 11 RELIS.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AND I DID WANT TO
- 13 ADD, IT'S NOT TECHNICALLY PART OF THE REPORT, AS
- 14 WE'RE APPOINTING NEW RMDZ MEMBERS, WE ALSO WANT TO
- 15 ACKNOWLEDGE LOAN COMMITTEE MEMBERS; THAT IS, WE
- 16 WANT TO ACKNOWLEDGE A SPECIAL CONTRIBUTION. AND
- 17 I'D LIKE ROXANNE MIDDLETON. I SEE YOU OUT THERE.
- 18 I'D LIKE TO COME UP AND GIVE THIS TO YOU.
- 19 ROXANNE MIDDLETON SERVED AS ONE OF
- 20 OUR, I BELIEVE, FOUNDING LOAN -- NO. YOU CAME IN
- 21 RIGHT AT THE BEGINNING, THOUGH, IN THE EARLY
- 22 STAGES. AND SHE HAS BEEN ONE OF OUR REALLY FINE
- 23 CONTRIBUTORS TO THE DEVELOPMENT OF OUR LOAN
- 24 PROGRAM.

THE LOAN COMMITTEE, AS SOME OF YOU 22

1 MAY OR MAY NOT KNOW, IS OUR FILTER. IT'S THE FILTER BETWEEN STAFF WORK AND BOARD DECISION ON 2 3 LOANS. THEY ARE THE EXPERT BANKERS, THE LOAN PEOPLE WITH EXPERTISE IN REVIEWING FISCAL 4 5 PERFORMANCE OF COMPANIES AND GIVING US A YEA OR NAY ON A LOAN APPLICATION. WE RELY ON THEM VERY 6 HEAVILY FOR THEIR EXPERTISE. 7 8 AND ROXANNE, I MIGHT SAY, I'M NOT GOING TO READ OUT ALL THE WHEREASES. ONE CLAUSE 9 THAT STRUCK ME IN OUR RESOLUTION IS THAT SHE WAS A 10 KEY CONTRIBUTOR TO THE FEBRUARY 1997 PROGRAM, WHICH 11 12 SOME OF YOU RECALL, DURING WHICH VARIOUS ASPECTS OF THE LOAN PROGRAM WERE DISCUSSED BY BOARD MEMBERS, 13 ZONE ADMINISTRATORS, AND REPRESENTATIVES FROM THE 14 15 BUSINESS COMMUNITY ULTIMATELY LEADING TO NUMEROUS IMPROVEMENTS IN OUR LOAN MAKING FUNCTION. 16 17 SO SHE'S PLAYED AN INSTRUMENTAL ROLE. AND ON BEHALF OF THE BOARD, I WANT TO THANK YOU, 18 ROXANNE, FOR HELPING US AND CONGRATULATE YOU ON 19 YOUR NEW PROMOTION AS, I THINK, A REGIONAL --20 21 MS. MIDDLETON: AREA SALES MANAGER.

BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AREA SALES MANAGER

22

23

FOR BANK OF AMERICA.

24		(APPLAUSE.)					
25	MS.	MIDDLETON:	I	WOULD	JUST	LIKE	ТО	MAKE
		23						

- 1 ONE COMMENT. I REALLY ENJOYED MY WORK ON THE LOAN
- 2 COMMITTEE, AND JUST VERY RECENTLY I HAD THE
- 3 OPPORTUNITY TO REALLY GET TO KNOW SOME OF YOUR ZONE
- 4 ADMINISTRATORS THROUGH TRAINING. I PROVIDED CREDIT
- 5 TRAINING TO -- IN THREE DIFFERENT LOCATIONS TO THE
- 6 ZONE ADMINISTRATORS. AND I WANT TO TELL YOU YOU'VE
- 7 GOT A BUNCH OF GOOD PEOPLE OUT THERE, AND I REALLY
- 8 APPRECIATE THE WORK THAT THEY'RE DOING. AND I'M
- 9 HOPING THAT THEY LEARNED A LOT ABOUT CREDIT, AND
- 10 THAT WILL HELP US GET MORE LOANS DONE. SO THANK
- 11 YOU VERY MUCH.
- 12 (APPLAUSE.)
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, ROXANNE,
- 14 AND GOOD LUCK TO YOU.
- 15 OKAY. NEXT WE'LL MOVE TO THE
- 16 EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT, MR. CHANDLER.
- 17 MR. CHANDLER: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 18 GOOD MORNING, MEMBERS. I HAVE A FEW ISSUES TO
- 19 SPEAK TO THIS MORNING. FIRST OF ALL, I'D LIKE TO
- 20 REPORT ON A VERY SUCCESSFUL JOINT LEA-WASTE BOARD
- 21 STAFF CONFERENCE THAT WE SPONSORED LAST WEDNESDAY
- 22 THROUGH FRIDAY AT ASILIMAR NEAR MONTEREY. THE
- THEME OF THE CONFERENCE WAS PARTNERSHIP 2000,
- 24 MAKING IT A REALITY.

MORE THAN A HUNDRED FIFTY PEOPLE

FROM

- 1 THE LEA COMMUNITY AND BOARD STAFF ATTENDED THE
- 2 CONFERENCE. THE CONFERENCE CONSISTED OF TRAINING
- 3 AND SEMINARS ON A WIDE RANGE OF SOLID WASTE
- 4 MANAGEMENT TOPICS, INCLUDING THE PERMITTING
- 5 PROCESS, ENFORCEMENT PROCEDURES, CEQA ISSUES

FACING

6 THE LEA'S, THE AB 59 HEARING PANEL PROCESS,

AND

- 7 LANDFILL CLOSURE AND CLEANUP ISSUES.
- 8 I ATTENDED ALONG WITH THE

CURRENT AND

9 PAST PRESIDENTS OF THE CCDEH SOLID WASTE

POLICY

- 10 COMMITTEE IN PROVIDING OPENING REMARKS ON THE
- 11 PARTNERSHIP 2000 EFFORT.
- 12 AS YOU KNOW, THIS EFFORT IS A
- 13 COMMITMENT ON THE PART OF THE STATE AND LOCAL

SOLID

14 WASTE REGULATORY AGENCIES TO WORK

COOPERATIVELY

15 TOGETHER WITH THE COMMON GOAL OF IMPROVING

SOLID

16 WASTE FACILITY COMPLIANCE IN CALIFORNIA.

17	I'D LIKE TO SPECIFICALLY POINT
OUT	
18	AND ACKNOWLEDGE DOROTHY RICE AND MARK DEBIE
FOR TH	E
19	OUTSTANDING EFFORT THEY CONTRIBUTED IN MAKING
THIS	
20	A SUCCESSFUL CONFERENCE, AS WELL AS MANY OF
THE	
21	FACILITATORS ON STAFF THAT WORKED LONG AND
HARD	
22	MAKING THIS AN OUTSTANDING EVENT.
23	MOVING ON, WITHIN THE LAST MONTH
FOUR	
24	LOANS TOTALLING MORE THAN 2.6 MILLION CLOSED
FOR	
25	BUSINESSES LOCATED THROUGHOUT THE STATE'S
RECYCL	ING 25

- 1 MARKETING DEVELOPMENT ZONE SYSTEM. WITH THE
- 2 CLOSURES AND ONE MORE ANTICIPATED IN EARLY
- 3 DECEMBER, WE EXPECT TO ECLIPSE THE MARK OF 25
- 4 MILLION IN LOANS ISSUED THROUGH OUR RMDZ LOAN
- 5 PROGRAM.
- THE MARKET DIVISION, IN

CONJUNCTION

- 7 WITH THE PUBLIC AFFAIRS OFFICE, IS DEVELOPING A
- 8 STRATEGY TO PUBLICIZE THIS SIGNIFICANT

ACCOMPLISH-

- 9 MENT.
- 10 AND ON FRIDAY, DECEMBER 11TH FROM

10

- 11 A.M. TO 1 P.M. THE ASSEMBLY NATURAL RESOURCES
- 12 COMMITTEE WILL HOLD A HEARING ON MARKET

DEVELOPMENT

13 FOR RECYCLED PRODUCTS. CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON,

BOARD

- MEMBER RELIS, CAREN TRGOVCICH, AND MYSELF ARE
- 15 SCHEDULED TO SPEAK AT THE HEARING. THEY INTEND

ΤO

16 COVER THE BOARD'S MARKET DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM,

THE

17 CURRENT MARKET SITUATION, AND THEIR IMPACTS ON

18 DIVERSION PROGRAMS. 19 STAFF CONTINUE TO MONITOR THE STATUS 20 OF JURISDICTIONS ON THE ENFORCEMENT LISTS THAT HAVE 21 NOT YET SUBMITTED THEIR SOURCE REDUCTION AND 22 RECYCLING ELEMENT AS WELL AS THEIR NONDISPOSAL FACILITY ELEMENTS TO THE BOARD FOR CONSIDERATION. JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT SUBMITTED THE FINAL 24 25 DOCUMENTS BY EARLY DECEMBER WILL BE SCHEDULED FOR A 26

1 JANUARY PUBLIC HEARING AS THE NEXT STEP OF THE 2 BOARD'S ENFORCEMENT PROCESS. 3 IN ADDITION, LETTERS WERE SENT TO 4 JURISDICTIONS THAT HAVE NOT YET SUBMITTED THEIR 5 HOUSEHOLD HAZARDOUS WASTE ELEMENT, SITING ELEMENTS, AND SUMMARY PLANS TO THE BOARD, REQUESTING A 6 7 SCHEDULE FOR SUBMITTING THESE DOCUMENTS. STAFF IS 8 WORKING WITH THESE JURISDICTIONS TO DEVELOP THEIR 9 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULE AND WILL PRESENT AN AGENDA ITEM 10 AT THE LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE MEETING IN JANUARY FOR CONSIDERATION OF THESE 11 12 COMPLIANCE SCHEDULES. 13 AND FINALLY, AS INDICATED IN A MEMO SENT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS ON NOVEMBER 13TH, THE 14 15 REPORT REQUESTED BY THE LEGISLATURE ON REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE PROJECTIONS FOR THE INTEGRATED WASTE 16 17 MANAGEMENT ACCOUNT HAS BEEN FORWARDED TO CAL-EPA 18 FOR DISTRIBUTION TO THE LEGISLATURE. AND THAT CONCLUDES MY REMARKS. 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR. 20 21 CHANDLER. 22 NOW WE'LL MOVE TO THE CONSENT

CALENDAR. CONSENT CALENDAR INCLUDES ITEMS 6

- 24 THROUGH 20 AND ITEM 27. IS THERE ARE ANY BOARD
- 25 MEMBER WHO WISHES TO PULL ANY ITEM OFF THE CONSENT

1

CALENDAR?

2	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MR. CHAIR, I
WOULD	
3	LIKE TO PULL OFF ITEM 15 AND 16 JUST TO ASK A
4	COUPLE QUESTIONS.
5	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'LL MOVE THE
6	CONSENT CALENDAR WITH THAT CHANGE.
7	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.
8	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED
AND	
9	SECONDED THAT THE CONSENT CALENDAR BE ADOPTED
10	INCLUDING ITEMS 6 THROUGH 14 AND 17 THROUGH 20
AND	
11	27. IF NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL THE
SECRET	ARY
12	CALL THE ROLL.
13	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
14	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
15	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
16	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
17	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
18	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
19	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
20	BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.

21	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
22	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
23	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
24	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. OKAY.
25	WE CAN MOVE TO ITEM 15 IF YOU
WISH.	20

```
MRS. GOTCH.
 1
 2
                    I FAILED TO MENTION ON -- WHILE
      YOU'RE GETTING READY, I FAILED TO MENTION THAT
 3
      THERE ARE SPEAKER SLIPS AT THE BACK OF THE ROOM;
 4
      AND IF ANYBODY WISHES TO ADDRESS AN ITEM, PLEASE
 5
 б
      FILL ONE OUT AND HAND IT TO MRS. LOPEZ, WHO WILL
 7
      MAKE SURE THAT I KNOW OF YOU DESIRE TO SPEAK.
 8
                    ITEM 15.
 9
               MS. TRGOVCICH: ITEM NO. 15, MR. CHAIRMAN
      AND MEMBERS, IS CONSIDERATION OF APPOINTMENT OF
10
11
      MEMBERS TO THE LOAN COMMITTEE FOR THE RECYCLING
12
      MARKET DEVELOPMENT ZONE LOAN PROGRAM. AS YOU ARE
      AWARE, THIS ITEM WAS PRESENTED IN COMMITTEE; AND
13
      BASED UPON THE REGULATIONS THAT WERE APPROVED BY
14
      THE OFFICE OF ADMINISTRATIVE LAW SEVERAL MONTHS
15
      AGO, THIS ITEM SEEKS TO FILL NEW POSITIONS ON THE
16
      LOAN COMMITTEE AS WELL AS THE POSITION THAT ROXANNE
17
      MIDDLETON IS VACATING.
18
19
                    THIS ITEM PRESENTED THE NAMES OF
20
      SPECIFIC CANDIDATES TO THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS FOR
      THEIR CONSIDERATION. IN ADDITION, AT THE TIME OF
21
22
      THE PRESENTATION TO THE COMMITTEE MEETING, WE ALSO
      PROVIDED SOLICITATION TO A BROAD NUMBER OF
23
```

INDIVIDUALS. AND IF YOU LIKE, WE COULD CERTAINLY

25 GO INTO THAT INFORMATION FOR YOU.

- 1 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: CAREN.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MRS. GOTCH HAS SOME
- 3 QUESTIONS.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU, MR.
- 5 CHAIR. I WAS -- LET'S SEE. I GUESS IF YOU COULD
- 6 GO OVER WHO ARE THE COMMITTEE MEMBERS NOW AS A
- 7 RESULT OF THE PENDING ACTIONS. I HAD HEARD THAT --
- 8 AND WHETHER IT'S TRUE OR NOT I DON'T KNOW AND
- 9 THAT'S WHAT I'D LIKE YOU TO CLARIFY -- THAT LUPE
- 10 VELA MIGHT BE LEAVING FROM THE L.A. AREA. THAT WAS
- 11 A RUMOR. I HAD HEARD PERHAPS -- NEVER MIND. I
- 12 WON'T GO INTO HOW I HEARD IT. SAVE US ALL FROM
- 13 THIS. SO WE DO HAVE THE L.A. AREA REPRESENTED THEN
- 14 BY HER?
- MS. TRGOVCICH: CORRECT.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I WANTED TO CONFIRM
- 17 THAT.
- 18 AND THEN LAST TIME WE HAD A
- 19 DISCUSSION ABOUT THE LOAN COMMITTEE, SEVERAL ISSUES
- 20 WERE RAISED REGARDING THE NEW REGULATIONS,
- 21 PARTICULARLY GEOGRAPHIC REPRESENTATION AND THE
- 22 NUMBERS. AND I'M WONDERING IF WE HAVE ADDRESSED OR
- 23 SHOULD WE ADDRESS OTHER COMMENTS SUCH AS MEMBERS OF
- 24 RECYCLED BASE BUSINESSES ON THE COMMITTEES. I

DON'T BELIEVE WE HAVE ANY REPRESENTATION IN THAT 30

- 1 AREA AS FAR AS I KNOW. YOU MAY CORRECT ME ON THAT,
- 2 AND I'D LIKE TO BE CORRECTED ON THAT, OR WITH A
- 3 BACKGROUND IN UNDERSTANDING.
- 4 MS. TRGOVCICH: MAYBE WHAT I'LL DO IS JUST
- 5 VERY BRIEFLY KIND OF OUTLINE TWO THINGS, AND THEN
- 6 BOB CAN PROVIDE ADDITIONAL DETAIL FOR YOU. THE
- 7 LOAN COMMITTEE SERVES PRINCIPALLY AS A BODY TO
- 8 REVIEW THE APPLICATIONS FROM A CREDIT PERSPECTIVE.
- 9 SO THE FORMER REGULATIONS AND THE CURRENT REGULA-
- 10 TIONS PROVIDE FOR EXPERTISE IN BOTH COMMERCIAL
- 11 PRIVATE LENDING AREAS. SO THAT'S THE EXPERTISE
- 12 WE'RE LOOKING FOR.
- 13 THAT WOULD CERTAINLY NOT PRECLUDE ANY
- 14 RECYCLING COORDINATORS OR OTHERWISE WHO HAD THAT
- 15 EXPERTISE FROM APPLYING OR FROM SOMEONE ELSE
- 16 SUBMITTING THEIR NAME FOR OUR CONSIDERATION.
- 17 PERHAPS BOB CAN GO INTO GREATER
- 18 DETAIL THE MAKEUP OF THE COMMITTEE.
- 19 MR. CAPUTI: THE COMMITTEE IS MADE UP
- 20 BASICALLY OF BANKERS AND INDIVIDUALS WITH BANKING
- 21 OR LENDING BACKGROUNDS IN THE PUBLIC SECTOR. AND I
- 22 CAN JUST BRIEFLY GO THROUGH --
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THAT'S OKAY, BOB,
- 24 BECAUSE I'VE READ THROUGH THIS AND I AM AWARE OF

25 THAT. I'LL SAVE YOU THE EFFORT ON THAT. 31

- 1 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MS. GOTCH, I MIGHT
- 2 JUST, IF I COULD, MR. CHAIR, ADD THAT I KNOW LUPE
- 3 VELA, FOR ONE, HAS HAD EXTENSIVE EXPERIENCE WITH
- 4 THE MANUFACTURING SECTOR IN L.A. SO SHE BRINGS AN
- 5 ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT BACKGROUND WITH A -- MORE OF
- 6 A, I GUESS, A DEVELOPMENT BACKGROUND FOR THEIR
- 7 AB 939 PROGRAM. I KNOW SHE'S QUITE FAMILIAR WITH
- 8 THE INDUSTRIAL BASE IN L.A.
- 9 FRAN AGUILERA, WHO'S ON OUR CANDIDATE
- 10 POOL, OBVIOUSLY, AS A FORMER LOAN OFFICER FOR THIS
- 11 BOARD, HAD EXTENSIVE INTERACTION WITH THE
- 12 MANUFACTURING SECTOR.
- 13 AND I DID TALK TO -- I DID ACTUALLY
- 14 MEET WITH CURT CARPENTER, WHO IS ALSO A PENDING
- 15 CANDIDATE. HE DOES NOT HAVE AN EXTENSIVE
- 16 BACKGROUND IN RECYCLING MANUFACTURING, BUT HE'S
- 17 CERTAINLY FAMILIAR WITH IT. AND WE DISCUSSED THE
- 18 UNIQUE CHALLENGES OF, YOU KNOW, IN THIS INDUSTRY,
- 19 SO I JUST OFFER THAT AS BACKGROUND.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I APPRECIATE IT.
- 21 THANK YOU, MR. RELIS.
- 22 MS. TRGOVCICH: ONE OF THE THINGS TOO FOR
- 23 PURPOSES OF LOAN COMMITTEE MEETINGS THEMSELVES,

24	WHAT	WE	FIND,	SINC	CE	THE	MARKE	T I	DEVELOPMENT	1
25	COMMI	TTE	E SERV	7ES I	ΙN	THE 32	ROLE	OF	PROVIDING	PROGRAM

1 POLICY OVERSIGHT, THAT THE LOAN COMMITTEE'S 2 DELIBERATIONS ARE FOCUSED SPECIFICALLY ON THE 3 CREDIT ASPECTS OF THE APPLICATION. SO THE POLICY 4 IMPLICATIONS ARE NOT DISCUSSED AT THE LOAN 5 COMMITTEE LEVEL, BUT THEY ARE DISCUSSED EITHER IN ADVANCE WITH STAFF OR AT THE MARKET DEVELOPMENT 6 7 COMMITTEE OR THROUGH THE APPEALS PROCESS BACK INTO 8 THE COMMITTEE. SO THE COMMITTEE IS REALLY SERVING 9 THAT FOCUS. BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU. ONE QUICK 10 LAST QUESTION. WE EXTENDED THE TERMS FROM TWO 11 12 YEARS TO THREE YEARS. DO WE HAVE ANY SORT OF A TIME LIMIT, OR HAVE WE DISCUSSED ANY SORT OF A TIME 13 LIMIT WITH THESE FOLKS? I REALIZE IT'S A VOLUNTARY 14 POSITION, SO I APPRECIATE THEM VOLUNTEERING. 15 MR. CAPUTI: THERE ISN'T A TIME LIMIT. 16 THE WAY WE'VE SET THE COMMITTEE UP, ONE-THIRD OF 17 THE COMMITTEE TERMS WILL EXPIRE EACH YEAR. AND 18 THEN IF THEY, AS HAS BEEN PAST PRACTICE, IF THEY 19 EXPRESS AN INTEREST IN CONTINUING ON THE COMMITTEE, 20 21 THEIR NAMES WILL BE RUN FORWARD TO MARKET DEVELOP-MENT AS A REAPPOINTMENT APPLICANT CANDIDATE. 22

BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU. I HAD

24	NOTICE	D ONE	OF T	ΗE	REAPP	OINTE	ED N	/IEMBE	ERS	WI	LL	BE
25	GOING	INTO	SEVEN	YE	EARS. 33	AND	THA	AT'S	WHY	I	WA	\S

- 1 TAKING A LOOK AT THAT. THANKS VERY MUCH.
- 2 APPRECIATE THE TIME AND THE ANSWERS.
- 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MRS.
- 4 GOTCH. WOULD YOU LIKE TO MOVE THE ITEM?
- 5 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: YES. I WILL MOVE
- 6 CONCURRENCE, I GUESS, THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION.
- 7 THANK YOU.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND IT.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 10 SECONDED THAT THE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ON ITEM
- 11 15 BE APPROVED. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 12 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
- BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 17 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: JONES.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION

25 CARRIES.

1	NOW WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM 16.
2	MS. TRGOVCICH: ITEM 16, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
3	MEMBERS, IS CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF PROPOSED
4	REGULATIONS TO THE RECYCLING MARKET DEVELOPMENT
5	REVOLVING LOAN PROGRAM.
6	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'M GOING TO SAVE YOU
7	THE EFFORT. MOVE COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION. THANK
8	YOU.
9	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MRS. GOTCH MOVES THE
10	COMMITTEE RECOMMENDATION ON ITEM 16.
11	BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'LL SECOND IT.
12	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THERE BEING NO
13	FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE
14	ROLL.
15	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
16	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
17	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
18	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
19	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
20	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
21	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
22	BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
23	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.

24 BOA	אם היוםויו שאו	RELIS:	AIL.
25 THE	SECRETAR	Y: CHAIR	RMAN PENNINGTON.

1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION 2 CARRIES. WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM 22, CONSIDERATION 3 OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE 4 5 EDOM HILL SANITARY LANDFILL IN RIVERSIDE COUNTY. б MS. RICE. 7 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND 8 MEMBERS. DAVE OTSUBO WILL MAKE THE STAFF PRESENTATION ASSISTED BY LAURIE HOLK WITH THE LOCAL 9 ENFORCEMENT AGENCY FOR RIVERSIDE COUNTY. 10 11 MR. OTSUBO: MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. THIS ITEM REGARDS PROPOSED 12 REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE EDOM 13 HILL SANITARY LANDFILL. THIS FACILITY IS LOCATED 14 NEAR PALM SPRINGS AND IS ADJACENT TO THE CLOSED 15 WHITEFEATHER FARMS COMPOST FACILITY AT THE BOUNDARY 16 OF CATHEDRAL CITY. IT IS OPERATED BY THE RIVERSIDE 17 COUNTY WASTE RESOURCES MANAGEMENT DISTRICT ON LAND 18 19 OWNED BY THE BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT. 20 THE CURRENT PERMIT WAS ISSUED IN 1992. THE PROPOSED PERMIT WOULD ALLOW THE SITE TO 21 22 INCREASE ITS PERMITTED TONNAGE FROM 2600 -- FROM 1200 TO 2651 TONS PER DAY, INCREASE THE MAXIMUM 23 ELEVATION BY 40 FEET, INCREASE THE FACILITY SIZE BY

25 15 ACRES, AND ACTUALLY REDUCES THE PERMITTED 36

DISPOSAL FOOTPRINT FROM 400 TO 148 ACRES. 1 2 THE OPERATOR WISHES THE INCREASED 3 TONNAGE TO ACCEPT WASTES FORMERLY GOING TO THE NOW CLOSED COACHELLA LANDFILL. 4 5 A STIPULATED ORDER OF COMPLIANCE WAS б ISSUED ON OCTOBER 22D WHICH GIVES THE OPERATOR 7 UNTIL JULY 31, 1998, TO OBTAIN A REVISED PERMIT. 8 IT SHOULD BE NOTED THAT THIS SITE IS LOCATED ON THE MAIN SOUTHERN BRANCH OF THE SAN ANDREAS FAULT. 9 AT THE TIME THAT BOTH THE COMMITTEE 10 11 AND BOARD ITEMS WERE WRITTEN, STAFF HAD NOT 12 VERIFIED THE LEA'S FINDINGS OF CONFORMANCE WITH THE PUBLIC RESOURCES CODE SECTIONS 50000 AND 50000.5, 13 THE SITE'S COMPLIANCE WITH STATE MINIMUM STANDARDS, 14 ADEQUACY OF CEOA DOCUMENTATION, OR ADEQUACY OF THE 15 16 FINANCIAL ASSURANCE REQUIREMENTS. 17 SINCE THAT TIME STAFF OF THE BOARD'S OFFICE OF LOCAL ASSISTANCE HAVE VERIFIED THE 18 19 CONFORMANCE WITH THE COUNTY SOLID WASTE MANAGEMENT 20 PLAN, GENERAL PLAN, AND ADJACENT LANDS USES. ON OCTOBER 30TH PERMITTING AND INSPECTION BRANCH STAFF 21 22 CONDUCTED A JOINT INSPECTION OF THE SITE WITH THE 23 LEA AND NOTED NO VIOLATIONS OF STATE MINIMUM 24 STANDARDS.

FINANCIAL ASSURANCES STAFF HAVE 37

- DETERMINED THAT THE FUNDING FOR POSTCLOSURE --1 2 CLOSURE/POSTCLOSURE MAINTENANCE AND OPERATING LIABILITY DOCUMENTATION ARE IN ORDER. 3 ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SECTION STAFF 4 HAVE REVIEWED AND COMMENTED ON THE DRAFT EIR, HAVE 5 6 RECENTLY REVIEWED THE FINAL EIR, AND BELIEVE THAT 7 THE LEAD AGENCY HAS MADE THE REQUIRED CEOA DOCUMEN-8 TATIONS AND RESPONDED TO STAFF COMMENTS. THERE-FORE, ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW SECTION STAFF HAVE 9 DETERMINED THAT THE CEOA DOCUMENTATION IS ADEQUATE 10 FOR BOARD CONSIDERATION FOR THOSE PROJECT 11 12 ACTIVITIES WHICH ARE WITHIN THIS AGENCY'S JURISDIC-13 TION. PLEASE NOTE THAT CATHEDRAL CITY HAS 14 CHALLENGED THE ADEQUACY OF THE EIR. THEY HAVE ALSO 15 RECENTLY CHALLENGED THE LEA'S STIPULATED ORDER OF 16 17 COMPLIANCE. NO ACTION HAS YET BEEN TAKEN IN THESE 18 MATTERS. 19 IN ADDITION, THE LEA HAS MADE THE 20 REQUIRED FINDING THAT THE PROPOSED PERMIT IS 21 CONSISTENT WITH EXISTING CEOA DOCUMENTATION. 22 STAFF, THEREFORE, RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD
- 23 RESOLUTION 97-503, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE

ADOPT

OF

24 SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT 33-AA-0011. AND

THIS

25 CONCLUDES MY PRESENTATION.

38

```
1
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
 2
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN.
 3
      COUPLE QUESTIONS. ONE IS HAVE WE REVIEWED THE
 4
      CITY'S ALLEGATIONS ABOUT THE CEQA AND MADE A
 5
      DETERMINATION IN TERMS OF WHETHER IT ADEQUATELY, IN
      FACT, MEETS OUR NEEDS AND REQUIREMENTS?
 6
 7
               MS. TOBIAS: IF I MAY RESPOND TO THAT, MR.
 8
      CHESBRO. I HAVE LOOKED AT THE LETTER, AND I WILL
      AGAIN POINT OUT TO YOU, ALTHOUGH WE DISCUSSED AT
 9
      THE BEGINNING OF THE MEETING, THAT THERE IS A
10
      LETTER THAT SHOULD BE INCLUDED IN THE ADMINISTRA-
11
12
      TIVE RECORD FROM THE CITY OF CATHEDRAL CITY THAT
13
      OUTLINES THEIR OBJECTIONS TO THIS EIR.
14
                    HOWEVER, UNDER PRC SECTION 21167.3,
15
      THE BOARD IS REQUIRED, AS A RESPONSIBLE AGENCY, TO
      HEAR THIS ITEM AND RELY ON THE EXISTING CEOA
16
      DOCUMENTATION UNLESS THERE IS AN INJUNCTION OR A
17
18
      STAY OBTAINED BY THE OPPOSITION. SO THE RULE UNDER
      CEOA IS THAT THE APPLICANT CAN PROCEED AT THEIR OWN
19
      RISK. OF COURSE, IF THE UNDERLYING CEQA DOCUMENTA-
20
21
      TION IS FOUND INVALID OR INADEQUATE BY A JUDGE,
      THEN OUR POSITION HAS BEEN THAT THAT PERMIT -- THAT
22
23
      OUR PERMIT WOULD BE VOID AND THAT WHEN THE CEQA
```

- 24 DOCUMENTATION IS REVISED, THEN THE BOARD WILL
- 25 RECONSIDER THE CEQA DOCUMENTATION AND THE PERMIT.

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I'M NOT 1 2 NECESSARILY ADVOCATING THAT WE AGREE WITH THE CITY AT THIS POINT, BUT WE HAVE ON THE POINT YOU JUST 3 MADE, IN FACT, IN THE PAST DETERMINED THAT THE CEOA 4 DOCUMENT WHICH WAS PRESENTED TO US DID NOT 5 6 ADEQUATELY ANALYZE THE ISSUES WHICH WE HAD BEFORE 7 US. THE ONE IN CHICAGO GRADE -- NOT CHICAGO GRADE, 8 THE OTHER ONE IN PASO ROBLES IS AN EXAMPLE, MOST RECENT EXAMPLE THAT I'M AWARE OF. SO I'D HATE TO 9 GO DOWN THIS ROAD OF THIS TECHNICAL LEGAL 10 DISCUSSION, BUT AM I WRONG THAT WE HAVE THAT 11 12 AUTHORITY? MS. TOBIAS: THE CITY OF PASO ROBLES 13 LANDFILL IS ACTUALLY A DIFFERENT SITUATION, AND I 14 DON'T KNOW IF MARK DEBIE IS IN THE AUDIENCE OR BOB 15 HOLMES, BUT LET ME TRY TO RECONSTRUCT IT FROM MY 16 17 MEMORY. AND THAT WAS AT THAT TIME IN REVIEWING THE DOCUMENTATION, THE CEOA STAFF AND THE LEGAL OFFICE 18 19 FELT THAT THE ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTATION THAT THE 20 CITY HAD RELIED ON WAS INADEQUATE. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MY ONLY QUESTION TO 21 22 STAFF WAS IS THE ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION THAT'S BEEN SUBMITTED TO US UNDER CEOA ADEQUATE? I MEAN 23 THAT'S THE WINDOW THAT I WAS ASKING THE QUESTION 24

25 IN, I THINK.

```
1
               MS. TOBIAS: I THINK STAFF AND LEGAL
 2
      OFFICE HAVE REVIEWED IT AND FIND THAT IT IS
 3
      ADEQUATE AT THIS TIME.
 4
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: BUT I WAS TRYING TO
 5
      ALSO LOOK AT IT THROUGH THE LENS OF WHAT THE CITY
      IS ALLEGING IS INADEQUATE AND WHETHER OR NOT WE
 6
 7
      HAVE LOOKED AT THE LETTER AND SAID, "GEE, THERE'S
 8
      SOMETHING THAT WE HADN'T THOUGHT OF. " YOU KNOW,
      I'M JUST LOOKING TO FIND OUT WHETHER OR NOT WE'VE
 9
10
      TAKEN A SECOND LOOK AT THE QUESTION BASED ON THE
      FACT THAT WE RECEIVED COMMUNICATION SAYING THAT
11
12
      CEQA IN THIS CASE WAS NOT ADEQUATE.
               MS. TOBIAS: I GUESS I'M MISSING THE
13
      QUESTION BECAUSE I HAVE LOOKED AT IT. THEY ARE
14
15
      QUESTIONING ISSUES OF SEISMIC SAFETY, AND I THINK
      THAT STAFF, IN LOOKING AT THIS, HAS FOUND THAT THE
16
      UNDERLYING DOCUMENTATION IS SATISFACTORY FOR OUR
17
18
      NEEDS. SO WE HAVE LOOKED AT IT, AND WE DO
      UNDERSTAND WHAT THEIR CONCERNS ARE.
19
20
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I WAS JUST LOOKING
21
      FOR A LITTLE MORE SPECIFICITY, NOT JUST THE GENERAL
      RESPONSE, YES, IT MEETS CEQA. BUT CITY SAID THIS;
22
```

WE LOOKED AT THAT, AND MADE A DETERMINATION THAT,

23

- 24 YOU KNOW, BASED ON SOMETHING THAT CEQA IS
- 25 ADEQUATE. I MEAN I WAS LOOKING FOR A LITTLE MORE 41

- 1 SUBSTANCE THAN JUST, YOU KNOW, SAYING THAT, YOU
- 2 KNOW, IN GENERAL, VERY, VERY GENERAL TERMS WE FOUND
- 3 THAT CEQA IS ADEQUATE IN THE CASE. SO...
- 4 MS. TOBIAS: SO HAVE I ANSWERED YOUR
- 5 QUESTION?
- 6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: KINDA. I REALLY
- 7 WASN'T INTENDING TO RAISE A BIG ISSUE. I WAS JUST
- 8 LOOKING FOR SOME REASSURANCE.
- 9 MR. OTSUBO: ANOTHER ONE OF THE CONCERNS
- 10 WAS GROUNDWATER.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: SEISMIC WAS --
- 12 EXCUSE ME?
- 13 MR. OTSUBO: GROUNDWATER WAS ANOTHER ONE
- 14 OF THEIR MAJOR ISSUES.
- 15 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AND THE FINDING
- 16 THERE WAS -- OR THE RESPONSE THERE WAS?
- 17 MR. OTSUBO: WELL, I DID DIRECT ENFORCE-
- 18 MENT STAFF TO CONTACT STAFF OF THE REGIONAL WATER
- 19 QUALITY CONTROL BOARD, AND THEIR STAFF INDICATED
- 20 THAT THERE'S NO EVIDENCE OF GROUNDWATER CONTAMINA-
- 21 TION AT THE SITE. THAT'S -- OF COURSE, THAT
- 22 PROBABLY DIFFERS FROM WHAT THE CITY WOULD SAY.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THAT'S THE KIND OF
- 24 ANSWER I WAS LOOKING FOR. THANK YOU. JUST TO KIND

OF FIND OUT HOW WE RESPONDED IF SOMEBODY ALLEGED 42

```
1 SOMETHING, YOU KNOW.
```

- 2 I GUESS THE OTHER QUESTION, AND I
- 3 REALIZE THIS IS REMOTELY RELATED, EVEN THOUGH IT'S
- 4 GEOGRAPHICALLY RIGHT NEXT DOOR, IS WHAT IS THE
- 5 STATUS OF THE PREVIOUSLY UNCLEANED UP WHITEFEATHER
- 6 FARMS ISSUE? AND I KNOW THAT SOMEBODY IS GOING TO
- 7 SAY, PROBABLY THE ATTORNEY, YOU KNOW, IT'S NOT PART
- 8 OF THIS PERMIT. BUT I WANT TO REMIND EVERYONE THAT
- 9 IN THE CASE OF OXFORD AND MELP WE DID KIND OF MAKE
- 10 A CONNECTION BETWEEN TWO ADJOINING PROBLEMS THAT
- 11 DID HAVE A RELATIONSHIP TO EACH OTHER. AND WHETHER
- OR NOT UNDER OUR AUTHORITY WHETHER OR NOT IT DOES,
- 13 IN FACT, THERE IS A RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN WHAT'S
- 14 HAPPENED AT WHITEFEATHER FARMS AND WHAT'S HAPPENED
- 15 WITH THE LANDFILL. SO I'M KIND OF CURIOUS ABOUT
- 16 WHAT THE STATUS OF THAT CLEANUP ISSUE IS NEXT DOOR
- 17 TO THE LANDFILL.
- 18 MS. HOLK: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON, MEMBER
- 19 CHESBRO, LAURIE HOLK, RIVERSIDE COUNTY LEA.
- 20 CURRENTLY AT THE WHITEFEATHER FARMS, THE CITY AND
- 21 THE LANDOWNER ARE STILL WORKING TOGETHER TO DO A
- 22 JOINT PROJECT ON THAT FACILITY. THEY HAVE -- THE
- 23 CITY IS GOING TO BE TAKING OVER THAT -- THE TEN
- 24 ACRES AND THEN LEASING IT OUT TO A PRIVATE COMPANY

25 TO CLEAN UP THE FACILITY AND RUN ANOTHER COMPOSTING

- 1 FACILITY ON THAT SAME PROPERTY.
- 2 EVEN THOUGH IT'S STILL IN AN AREA
- 3 THAT'S NOT ZONED FOR THAT, THE CITY IS WORKING ON
- 4 THE JOINT PROJECT WITH ANOTHER COMPANY.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: OKAY. I COULD
- 6 COMMENT ON SORT OF THE CIRCUITOUS ROUTE BY WHICH
- 7 THEY'RE GETTING THERE, YOU KNOW, SORT OF FORCING
- 8 ONE COMPANY OUT OF BUSINESS BEFORE ENTICING ANOTHER
- 9 ONE. BUT I GUESS, AGAIN, THAT'S NOT TOO RELEVANT
- 10 TO THE STATE STANDARDS INVOLVED AT THE LANDFILL.
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY OTHER
- 12 OUESTIONS OF STAFF? MR. FRAZEE. WE DO HAVE
- 13 SOMEBODY IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WISHES TO ADDRESS. GO
- 14 AHEAD, MR. FRAZEE.
- BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: THE QUANTITY OF
- 16 WASTE BEING TAKEN TO LANDFILL NOW EXCEEDS THE
- 17 PERMIT, AND SO IT'S BEING ACCEPTED UNDER A
- 18 STIPULATED NOTICE AND ORDER; IS THAT CORRECT?
- 19 MS. HOLK: THAT'S CORRECT.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AND BECAUSE OF THE
- 21 CLOSURE OF THE COACHELLA LANDFILL, WHAT IS THE
- 22 ALTERNATIVE IF THIS PERMIT WAS NOT GRANTED? AND
- 23 I -- TO PUT THAT IN CONTEXT, THE CITY OF CATHEDRAL
- 24 CITY IS RECOMMENDING THAT EAGLE MOUNTAIN COULD BE

25 THE ALTERNATIVE. BUT IN MY CALCULATIONS THE \$44>

- 1 ESTIMATED CLOSURE DATE OF THIS FACILITY IS 2002.
- 2 AND LOOKING AT HOW LONG IT'S TAKEN EAGLE MOUNTAIN
- 3 SO FAR, I CAN EXPECT THAT THEY MAY BE OPEN BY 2002,
- 4 AND THAT MIGHT BE AN ADEQUATE TRANSITION DATE. BUT
- 5 WHAT IS THE ALTERNATIVE TO DISPOSAL?
- 6 MS. HOLK: THE ONLY ALTERNATIVE RIGHT NOW
- 7 WOULD BE TO TRANSPORT TO LAMBS CANYON OR BADLANDS.
- 8 THERE WERE THREE TRANSFER STATIONS IN THE VALLEY,
- 9 PROJECTS THAT STARTED. TWO OF THEM, THE CBAG
- 10 TRANSFER STATION HAS STALLED AND IS NO LONGER
- 11 VIABLE. THE INDIO TRANSFER STATION THAT I TALKED
- 12 AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING, SINCE THE COMMITTEE
- 13 MEETING HAS ALSO BEEN KILLED. AND THE CITY OF
- 14 INDIO IS NOW WORKING WITH THE CITY OF COACHELLA TO
- 15 POSSIBLY OPEN THE ONE TRANSFER STATION THAT HAS
- 16 BEEN PERMITTED AT THE OLD COACHELLA LANDFILL, BUT
- 17 THAT HAS YET NOT TO BE OPEN.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AND SO WHAT WOULD
- THE LENGTH OF THE HAUL BE THEN FOR THE TRANSFER
- 20 TRUCKS?
- 21 MS. HOLK: IT'S APPROXIMATELY 40 MILES ONE
- 22 WAY TO LAMBS CANYON AND ABOUT 50 MILES TO BADLANDS.
- 23 AND THAT RIGHT NOW THERE'S NO TRANSFER TRUCKS TO DO

- 24 IT. IT WOULD HAVE TO BE THE GARBAGE TRUCKS
- 25 THEMSELVES GOING TO THE OTHER LANDFILLS, AND THE \$45>

- 1 HAULERS HAVE ALREADY STATED THAT THEY ARE UNWILLING
- TO DO THAT.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU, MR.
- 5 FRAZEE. NOW WE'LL HEAR FROM MR. ROBERT NELSON,
- 6 RIVERSIDE COUNTY RESOURCE MANAGEMENT DISTRICT.
- 7 MR. NELSON: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND
- 8 MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE. I WANT TO THANK YOU FOR
- 9 ALLOWING US TO GO EARLY. WE DO HAVE AN EL SOBRANTE
- 10 PLANNING COMMISSION HEARING THIS AFTERNOON, AND
- 11 THAT WAS MY REASON FOR REQUESTING TO GO EARLY.
- 12 THAT IS A FAIRLY IMPORTANT ITEM TO US TOO, SO I'M
- 13 TRYING TO GET BACK FOR THAT.
- 14 IF SOMEBODY COULD SHOW ME HOW TO TURN
- 15 THIS VIEWER ON, I'D LIKE TO SHOW A VIEW OF THE
- 16 VALLEY SO YOU GET THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN
- 17 THE -- LET ME START AGAIN BY SAYING I AM ROBERT
- 18 NELSON, GENERAL MANAGER AND CHIEF ENGINEER FOR THE
- 19 RIVERSIDE COUNTY WASTE DISTRICT.
- 20 THIS PERMIT, AS YOU KNOW, IS ON
- 21 BEHALF OF THE COUNTY WITH THE DISTRICT AS THE
- 22 OPERATOR. AND THE COUNTY WAS THE LEAD AGENCY IN
- 23 PROCESSING THE EIR FOR THE PROJECT.

24		TH	E ST	'UDY	STARTE	O IN	1993;	AND	AS	HAS
25	BEEN	MENTIONED,	THE	COA	CHELLA	LAND	FILL,	WHIC	CH V	WAS
				46						

```
OUR OTHER MAJOR LANDFILL IN THE VALLEY, WAS CLOSED
 1
 2
      IN MAY OF '97. IT REACHED ITS CAPACITY AND WE'RE
      NOW ABOUT TO GO TO BID ON THE CLOSURE FOR THAT
 3
      FACILITY. SO VIRTUALLY ALL WASTE NOW GOES TO EDOM
 4
      HILL OUT OF THIS VALLEY. IT'S SERVING A POPULATION
 5
 6
      OF ABOUT A THIRD OF A MILLION PEOPLE, AND IT'S
 7
      DELIVERING ABOUT A THIRD OF A MILLION TONS PER YEAR
 8
      SOMEWHERE, AND THAT PLACE NOW IS EDOM HILL.
 9
                     AS HAS BEEN MENTIONED, THE CURRENT
      PERMIT AT EDOM HILL ALLOWED LANDFILLING ON ABOUT
10
      400 ACRES OF THE SQUARE MILE THAT WE HAVE LEASED
11
      FROM BLM. THE NEW PERMIT CUTS THAT BACK TO THE
12
      CURRENT FOOTPRINT ONLY, AND THAT'S ABOUT 148
13
      ACRES. WE'RE PROPOSING TO RAISE THE HEIGHT OF THE
14
      LANDFILL ON ONE END BY ABOUT 40 FEET, AND THAT IS
15
      EXPECTED TO EXTEND ITS LIFE FROM ABOUT FOUR AND A
16
      HALF YEARS NOW TO ROUGHLY SIX AND A HALF YEARS IF
17
      ALL OF THE VALLEY WASTE CONTINUES TO GO THERE.
18
19
                     I HAVE WITH ME TWO CONSULTANTS THAT
20
      WE ARE USING, ONE ON THE DESIGN OF THE LANDFILL AND
      THE TECHNICAL ADEQUACY AND THAT'S EMCON.
21
22
      ANDRES IS HERE WITH ME IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS WHICH
      YOU MIGHT WANT TO ASK OF HIM. AND WE ALSO HAVE OUR
23
24
      LEGAL CONSULTANT IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS ON THE LEGAL
```

25 STATUS, JOHN MADDOX WITH REMY, THOMAS AND MOORE -- 47

- 1 AND MOOSE, I MEAN, FROM HERE IN TOWN. THEY'RE BOTH
- 2 HERE WITH ME IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS FOR THEM.
- 3 THE SITE IS LOCATED ON AN ACTIVE
- 4 FAULT, BUT THE DESIGN PROVIDES FOR THAT POTENTIAL
- 5 MOVEMENT AND THERE'S VERY, VERY DEEP GROUNDWATER,
- 6 AND THERE IS NO EVIDENCE OF IMPACTS TO THE
- 7 GROUNDWATER. AND THERE'S VERY, VERY LOW ANNUAL
- 8 PRECIPITATION AT THIS SITE.
- 9 WITH THAT, I THINK I WILL STOP MY
- 10 PRESENTATION UNLESS YOU HAVE QUESTIONS OF EITHER ME
- OR SOME OF OUR CONSULTANTS.
- 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: QUESTIONS?
- 13 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, THIS ISN'T
- 14 DIRECTED TO THE APPLICANT, IT'S MORE BECAUSE I
- 15 SUPPORTED THIS PERMIT IN COMMITTEE. I DID MAKE A
- 16 COMMENT THAT I DID FEEL SOME UNEASE ABOUT THE IDEA
- 17 OF VERTICAL EXPANSIONS OVER UNLINED LANDFILLS. BUT
- 18 THERE'S NO INDICATION HERE THAT THAT'S UNWARRANTED.
- BUT I WOULD ASK MR. CHANDLER. I KNOW
- 20 WE HAD A DISCUSSION ABOUT POSSIBLY GETTING SOME
- 21 COORDINATION BETWEEN OUR BOARD AND THE WATER BOARD
- 22 THROUGH CAL-EPA TO LOOK AT THIS ISSUE BECAUSE I
- 23 SEE, YOU KNOW, SOMETHING OF A DISPARITY. WE
- 24 HAVE -- IT WAS BROUGHT TO MY ATTENTION, I THINK,

25 THAT BFI AND THEY'RE PROPOSED -- MAY BE COMING 48

FORWARD AT SOME POINT WITH AN EXPANSION AT SUNSHINE 1 2 CANYON LANDFILL WHICH WOULD CALL FOR A LINER OVER 3 AN UNLINED SECTION. AND I JUST AM A BIT CONFUSED ABOUT 4 THE CLEAR INTENT OF SUBTITLE D WITH REGARDS TO 5 6 VERTICAL EXPANSIONS AND WHAT THE BOARD AND ITS 7 COORDINATIVE ROLE SHOULD BE WITH OUR SISTER AGENCY 8 SINCE THEY HAVE THE WATER RESPONSIBILITY. 9 MR. CHANDLER: YEAH. WE HAVE TALKED AND, MEMBERS, I INTEND TO CONTINUE TO GET A LITTLE BIT 10 MORE BACKGROUND ON GENERICALLY THE SITES THAT ARE 11 BEING PROPOSED AROUND THE STATE FOR VERTICAL 12 EXPANSIONS AND JUST TALK TO THE WATER BOARD AND ASK 13 WHAT KIND OF COORDINATIVE ROLE WE CAN PLAY WITH 14 THEIR REGIONAL BOARDS AS WE LOOK TOGETHER AT THESE 15 FACILITIES FOR PERMITTING SO THAT WE HAVE ANOTHER 16 CONTEXT IN WHICH THE REGIONAL BOARD IS LOOKING AT 17 TYPES OF SITES AS WHY THEY FEEL COMFORTABLE THAT 18 19 VERTICAL EXPANSIONS OVER UNLINED FACILITIES IS 20 APPROPRIATE. SO I'LL BE UPDATING YOU MORE AS I 21 22 BEGIN THOSE DISCUSSIONS, BUT I HAVE TALKED TO THE AGENCY AND MR. PETTIT ALREADY ABOUT THIS ISSUE AND 23

THE FACT THAT WE NEED TO BETTER UNDERSTAND OUR

24

25 RESPONSIBILITIES AS IT RELATES TO THE REGIONAL 49

- 1 BOARDS.
- 2 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: IF WE COULD GET A
- 3 REPORT BACK AT SOME POINT OF WHAT YOU'VE DETERMINED
- 4 FROM THAT AND WHETHER WE NEED TO DO ANYTHING
- 5 FURTHER, I WOULD CERTAINLY APPRECIATE IT.
- 6 MR. HOLK: AND, MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I MAY
- 7 ADD, AT THE TIME THAT THE EXPANSION WAS DONE ON
- 8 THIS, THE WATER BOARD KIND OF HAD THEIR CHOICE OF
- 9 DOING A LATERAL EXPANSION WHERE THERE ACTUALLY WAS
- 10 ROOM TO DO A LATERAL EXPANSION WITH LINING AT THIS
- 11 FACILITY OR VERTICAL EXPANSION, AND THEY CHOSE THE
- 12 VERTICAL EXPANSION.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: VERY GOOD. ANY
- 14 FURTHER QUESTIONS OF MR. NELSON? ANY FURTHER
- 15 QUESTIONS OF STAFF?
- 16 BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. JONES.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I WOULD LIKE TO MAKE
- 19 A MOTION THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-503 FOR THE
- 20 PERMIT -- ISSUANCE OF A PERMIT.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I'LL SECOND.
- 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 23 MOVED AND SECONDED THAT RESOLUTION 97-503 BE
- 24 ADOPTED. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? MR. CHESBRO.

25

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: ONE LAST TIME, AND

50

- 1 I INTEND TO VOTE FOR THIS, BUT I CONTINUE TO HAVE
- 2 CONCERN ABOUT THE CLEANUP OF THE ADJACENT SITE. WE
- 3 ATTEMPTED TO PARTNER WITH THE COUNTY UNSUCCESS-
- 4 FULLY. I CERTAINLY HOPE THAT SOME OTHER ALTERNA-
- 5 TIVE IS SUCCESSFUL IN GETTING THE SITE CLEANED UP
- 6 THAT'S ADJACENT TO THE LANDFILL.
- 7 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I THINK WE ALL AGREE
- 8 WITH THAT. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION, WILL
- 9 THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 10 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 12 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
- BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 14 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
- 16 THE SECRETARY: JONES.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 18 THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
- 22 CARRIES.
- WE'LL MOVE NOW TO ITEM NO. 4,
- 24 CONSIDERATION OF APPROVAL OF THE '97-'98 FISCAL

25 YEAR CONTRACT CONCEPTS. KARIN FISH. 51

- 1 MS. FISH: THANK YOU, CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON,
- 2 BOARD MEMBERS. I'M KARIN FISH, DIRECTOR OF THE
- 3 ADMINISTRATION AND FINANCE DIVISION. I'D LIKE TO
- 4 INTRODUCE ITEM 4, WHICH IS THE CONSIDERATION OF THE
- 5 APPROVAL OF THE 1997 CONTRACT CONCEPTS.
- 6 THE PREVIOUS ACTION TAKEN IS BEFORE
- 7 YOU, WHAT WAS TAKEN BY THE ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE
- 8 EARLIER IN THE MONTH. AND SO IF YOU'D LIKE TO OPEN
- 9 THIS UP FOR DISCUSSION, OR WOULD YOU LIKE TO HEAR
- 10 FROM THE STAFF?
- 11 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MAYBE THE EASIEST
- 12 WAY, AS I DID IN COMMITTEE, I'LL MAKE A MOTION AND
- WE CAN DISCUSS THE MOTION AND WHAT'S IN THE
- 14 MOTION. SO...
- 15 MS. FISH: ONE NOTE THAT I FAILED TO
- 16 MENTION IS THERE ARE TWO REVISIONS TO PAGES 4-1 AND
- 17 4-5. THERE WAS AN ERROR THAT WAS MADE, AND SO FOR
- 18 THE MEMBERS, THOSE PAGES ARE IN THE BACK, AND THEY
- 19 HAVE THE CORRECTED -- THE CORRECTED AMOUNT.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. I'LL MOVE THE
- 21 COMMITTEE ACTION, WHICH WAS TO ACCEPT PROPOSALS NO.
- 22 5-WPM-IWM, LANDSCAPE/HORTICULTURAL COMPOST/MULCH
- PROMOTION AT \$40,000; I THINK IT'S 8-DPL-IWM, WASTE

- 24 CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE MAINTENANCE AT 108,000;
- 25 10-DPL-IWM, DEVELOP MODEL AND ESTIMATE OF ECONOMIC 52

- 1 ACTIVITY AT 35,000; 32-EXE-IWM, INTEGRATED
- 2 TECHNICAL TRAINING PROGRAM AT \$46,750; AND UNDER
- 3 IWMA, C&P, THE CONSTRUCTION AND DEMOLITION C&D
- 4 PLACEHOLDER AT 50,000; AND SPONSORSHIP AND
- 5 PARTNERSHIP PLACEHOLDER AT 40,000.
- THAT WILL BE MY MOTION THAT WE ACCEPT
- 7 THOSE ITEMS FOR APPROVAL.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL SECOND.
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
- 10 SECONDED. DISCUSSION?
- 11 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I HAVE A PROPOSED
- 12 AMENDMENT, MR. CHAIRMAN.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I WOULD LIKE TO SEE
- 14 US FUND THE COOPERATIVE MARKETING CONTRACT. ONE OF
- 15 THE KEY GOALS OF THE BOARD IS TO ASSIST LOCAL
- 16 JURISDICTIONS THAT ARE STRUGGLING TO MAKE THEIR
- 17 50-PERCENT DIVERSION RATE. THIS IS ESPECIALLY
- 18 IMPORTANT WHEN WE'RE DEALING WITH RURAL JURISDIC-
- 19 TIONS.
- 20 THE GOAL OF THE COOPERATIVE MARKETING
- 21 CONTRACT IS TO PROVIDE ASSISTANCE TO LOCAL AGENCIES
- 22 TO GET THEIR MATERIALS TO MARKET WHERE THEY DON'T
- 23 HAVE OTHER ADEQUATE OPPORTUNITIES TO DO SO. AND BY

	COMBINING MATERIALS, IT CREATES OPPORTUNITY	
4 5	JURISDICTIONS OR THEIR CONTRACTORS TO ACHIEV 53	Ŀ

1	EFFICIENCIES IN TRANSPORTATION AND ALSO INCREASE
2	THEIR LEVERAGE WITH PROCESSORS.
3	AND I UNDERSTAND THAT THERE ARE
4	ALREADY SOME FEDERAL FUNDS COMMITTED TO THIS
5	PROJECT, AND SO IT WOULD PROVIDE AN OPPORTUNITY FOR
6	LEVERAGING AND MAKING GREATER USE OF OUR CONTRACT
7	DOLLARS.
8	SO I WOULD SUGGEST THAT WE AMEND OR I
9	WOULD MOVE THAT WE AMEND THIS MOTION TO RESTORE THE
10	COOPERATIVE MARKETING FUNDING AT \$40,000 BY
11	DECREASING ITEM 8, THE REDUCE THE DATABASE
12	MAINTENANCE BY \$30,000 AND TAKE \$10,000 FROM THE
13	FUND BALANCE THAT'S PROPOSED HERE. AND THAT WOULD
14	BRING IT TO \$40,000 FOR THE COMBINED TO GO TO THE
15	COOPERATIVE MARKETING CONTRACT.
16	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: FROM THE HUNDRED
17	THOUSAND FUND BALANCE?
18	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: 10,000.
19	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY.
20	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MAY I ASK AGAIN, MR.
21	CHESBRO, YOU WERE TAKING 30,000 FROM THE WASTE
22	CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE MAINTENANCE; IS THAT

CORRECT, WHICH WAS 108,000?

23

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: CORRECT. SO THAT

WOULD GO DOWN TO 78,000.

54

BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, COULD I 1 2 ASK -- TALK TO -- ASK MR. CHESBRO A QUESTION. AT COMMITTEE WHEN THIS CAME IN FRONT OF COMMITTEE, AND 3 I HAD TALKED TO JOHN BROOKS PRIOR TO THE MEETING, 4 AND I THINK DURING COMMITTEE CAREN TRGOVCICH AND 5 6 JUDITH FRIEDMAN CAME FORWARD AND SAID THAT THERE 7 HAD ALREADY BEEN \$40,000 ALLOCATED EARLIER IN THE 8 YEAR TO SUPPORT THOSE RURAL BUSINESSES THAT ARE IN EXISTENCE, SO WE DIDN'T LOSE ANY MORE. 9 COOPERATIVE MARKETING -- AND YOU KNOW 10 11 WHEN I WAS SITTING OUT THERE, I HAD COME FORWARD A 12 COUPLE OF TIMES. COOPERATIVE MARKETING IN RURAL CALIFORNIA ISN'T A MATTER OF GETTING THE MATERIAL 13 OR FINDING A MARKET FOR IT; IT'S THE COST OF 14 TRANSPORTATION. YOU KNOW, THIS IS A TRANSPORTATION 15 ISSUE OF GETTING THE STUFF TO A MARKET. AND SOME 16 17 OF THESE THINGS HAVE VALUE; SOME OF THEM DON'T HAVE VALUE. THAT'S PART OF THE DYNAMIC THAT AN OPERATOR 18 19 LIVES IN EVERY DAY. 20 BUT DEPENDING UPON WHERE THEY LIVE AND DEPENDING UPON WHERE THAT MARKET IS, THE 21 22 TRANSPORTATION COST MAKES IT EITHER A VIABLE PROGRAM OR NOT A VIABLE PROGRAM. IT'S NOT THE 23 COLLECTION OF THE MATERIAL; IT'S NOT THOSE TYPES 24

OF

THINGS. IT IS HOW DO YOU GET IT TO A MARKET. 55

- 1 WELL, IF YOU LIVE IN INYO COUNTY,
- 2 YOU'VE GOT TO GO DOWN 300 MILES OR 250 MILES TO
- 3 L.A. IF YOU LIVE IN MODOC, YOU GOT TO EITHER GO UP
- 4 TO OREGON TO KUSZ BAY OR YOU GOT TO HEAD DOWN
- 5 TOWARDS SAN FRANCISCO.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: OF COURSE, YOU KNOW
- 7 THAT I KNOW THIS.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I KNOW THAT YOU KNOW
- 9 THAT.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: SO YOU'RE PREACHING
- 11 TO SOMEBODY ELSE.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THAT'S EXACTLY WHY
- 13 I'M BRINGING THE ITEM FORWARD BECAUSE WHEN I TALKED
- 14 TO MR. BROOKS FROM RCRC, THEIR CONCERNS WERE THE
- 15 INYO COUNTIES OF THE WORLD, THE MODOCS, THOSE TYPES
- 16 OF PLACES BECAUSE THERE IS AN INFRASTRUCTURE THAT'S
- 17 ALREADY IN PLACE THROUGHOUT MOST OF THE FOOTHILLS,
- AS YOU ARE WELL AWARE OF, THAT NOT ONLY HAVE
- 19 MATERIAL RECOVERY FACILITIES OR HAVE PROGRAMS AND
- 20 PROCESSING FACILITIES TO GET THEIR MATERIAL TO
- MARKET.
- 22 I'VE NEVER WANTED TO SEE COOPERATIVE
- 23 MARKETS WHERE A MATERIAL THAT I WAS MANDATED TO
- 24 ENSURE HAD HIGH QUALITY. IF MY LOCAL BOARD OF

25 SUPERVISORS OR MY CITY COUNCIL SAID MAKE THIS

AS

- 1 GOOD AS YOU CAN BECAUSE WE WANT YOU TO GET TOP
- 2 DOLLAR FOR IT, AND I'M GOING TO PUT THAT WITH
- 3 SOMEBODY ELSE WHOSE CITY COUNCIL SAYS SPEND AS
- 4 CLOSE TO ZIP AS YOU CAN IN GETTING THIS MATERIAL
- 5 AND IT GETS PUT ON THE SAME TRUCK, WHEN THAT STUFF
- 6 IS GRADED, THAT HIGH QUALITY MATERIAL IS DOWNGRADED
- 7 AND THE MATERIAL THAT IS NOT OF SUCH A HIGH QUALITY
- 8 IS UPGRADED BY THE OTHER JURISDICTIONS' EFFORTS.
- 9 THAT'S NOT A FAVOR RELATIONSHIP.
- 10 AND I ALWAYS TELL YOU WHEN I RAN
- 11 NORCAL, I COULDN'T EVEN GET MY OWN COMPANIES TO
- 12 COOPERATE ON SOME OF THAT STUFF WHEN IT WENT TO
- 13 MARKET BECAUSE THEY WERE ALL RESPONSIBLE FOR THEIR
- 14 OWN BOTTOM LINES.
- 15 SO I ASKED MR. BROOKS IF WE COULD
- 16 WORK ON THE SPECIFIC ISSUES OF INYO AND MODOC AND
- 17 THOSE TYPES OF PLACES, BUT I DON'T KNOW WHERE
- 18 \$40,000 TAKES CARE OF TRANSPORTATION ISSUES BECAUSE
- 19 IT'S NOT A COLLECTION ISSUE. IT'S A TRANSPORTATION
- 20 ISSUE UNLESS WE'RE GOING TO BUY A TRUCK. I MEAN I
- DON'T UNDERSTAND, YOU KNOW.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, CLEARLY
- \$40,000 IN COOPERATIVE MARKETING DOESN'T SOLVE ALL
- 24 THE PROBLEMS FOR THE RURALS. I AGREE WITH YOU THAT

TRANSPORTATION TO MARKET IS A MAJOR OBSTACLE AND 57

1 PROBLEM THAT NEEDS TO BE DEALT WITH, SO I AGREE 2 WITH THAT, ALTHOUGH I DO BELIEVE IT HAS A ROLE TO 3 PLAY AND MAYBE THAT'S WHERE WE PART. 4 BOARD MEMBER JONES: WE JUST HAVE TO 5 FIGURE IT OUT. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THE IDEA IS LARGELY 6 7 BASED AROUND THE SUCCESS OF THE SOUTHWEST PUBLIC 8 RECYCLING ASSOCIATION, WHICH IN ARIZONA AND NEW MEXICO PRIMARILY, A NUMBER OF LOCAL GOVERNMENTS 9 10 HAVE SET UP A STRUCTURE BY WHICH VOLUNTARILY, AND THERE'S NOT SOME RULE THAT SAYS IF YOU JOIN IN THIS 11 12 THING, YOU'VE GOT TO MARKET ALL YOUR MATERIALS TOGETHER, VOLUNTARILY CERTAIN MATERIALS ARE 13 14 MARKETED BY CERTAIN JURISDICTIONS JOINING TOGETHER 15 WHO HAVE PARTICULAR PROBLEMS WITH THOSE MATERIALS. AND ONE OF THE STEPS INVOLVED IS AN AGREED UPON --16 IF THEY HAVE A CONTRACTOR OUT THERE WHO'S GOING TO 17 BUY THE MATERIAL AND AGREED UPON STANDARDIZED 18 QUALITY REQUIREMENT THAT YOU'VE GOT TO ENTER INTO 19 20 IF YOU WANT TO COOPERATIVELY MARKET BECAUSE THE 21 BUYER IS NOT GOING TO ACCEPT IT IF YOU DON'T DO

SO -- AND THE OTHER THING I KNOW THAT

22

23

IT.

24	SOME	OF	THE	WASTE	HAULEI	RS ARE	CONCE	RNED	ABOUT	AND
25	MAYBE	II:	''S A	A LITTI	LE BIT	BETWEE	EN THE	LINE	S IN	WHAT

1 YOU ARE SAYING IS, YOU KNOW, THERE ARE -- THERE IS 2 EXISTING INFRASTRUCTURE AND MARKETING THAT GOES ON 3 WITH THE EXISTING CONTRACTORS. NO EXISTING 4 COMMITMENT BY CONTRACT WITH THE PRIVATE RECYCLER OR 5 HAULER CAN BE UNDERMINED BY, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT DECIDES TO GO OUT AND DO. IT ONLY 6 WINDS UP ADDRESSING, AND THIS IS ACTUALLY ONE OF 7 8 THE DOWNSIDES OF COOPERATIVE MARKETING, IT ONLY WINDS UP ADDRESSING THOSE MATERIALS GENERALLY THAT 9 10 ARE HARD TO GET RID OF AND MAYBE THAT THE HAULER DOESN'T WANT TO HAUL UNLESS HE CAN FIND A MARKET, 11 12 YOU KNOW, BECAUSE IT'S SO EXPENSIVE AND DIFFICULT 13 TO MARKET. SO I'VE BEEN TO SEVERAL MEETINGS AND 14 15 WORKSHOPS WHERE THE SOUTHWEST EXPERIENCE HAS BEEN DESCRIBED, AND IT'S NOT A PANACEA. DOESN'T SOLVE 16 ALL THE PROBLEMS FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS, DOESN'T 17 18 BYPASS EXISTING STRUCTURES WHERE YOU'VE GOT CONTRACTS AND PRIVATE COMPANIES WORKING WITH LOCAL 19 GOVERNMENTS TO MARKET THEIR MATERIALS, COLLECT AND 20 21 PROCESS AND HAUL. IT'S JUST ANOTHER TOOL, AND SO THAT'S -- I'M IN FAVOR OF IT AS ONE MORE TOOL IN 22 23 THE TOOLBOX THAT DOESN'T TAKE AWAY ANY OTHER

- 24 EXISTING RELATIONSHIP, ONLY PROVIDES AN OPPORTUNITY
- 25 WHERE IT CAN BE USEFUL. THAT'S THE WAY I VIEW IT, 59

- 1 AND I SUPPORT IT ON THAT BASIS.
- 2 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MRS. GOTCH.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: EXCUSE ME. ALLOW ME
- 4 TO SECOND MR. CHESBRO'S EARLIER MOTION.
- 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY. WONDER
- 6 IF STAFF WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON WHETHER \$30,000
- 7 OUT OF THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION DATABASE MAINTE-
- 8 NANCE WOULD -- WHAT THAT WOULD DO, IF IT CREATES A
- 9 PROBLEM OR --
- 10 MR. CHANDLER: I'D LIKE ASK PAT SCHIAVO
- 11 AND JUDY FRIEDMAN TO SPEAK TO THAT. I'LL JUST SAY
- 12 AS AN INTRODUCTION, I KNOW THAT WE ORIGINALLY HAD
- 13 153 EARMARKED FOR THIS EFFORT, AND WE'VE WHITTLED
- 14 IT DOWN TO 108. AND ON PAGE 4-21 OF YOUR ITEM, THE
- 15 BOTTOM ON THE BUDGET PROCESS, WE'VE KIND OF BROKEN
- 16 OUT HOW THE 108 IS ALLOCATED ACROSS THE KEY
- 17 COMPONENTS HERE, BUT, JUDY, WHY DON'T YOU INTRODUCE
- 18 IT.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: THANK YOU. JUDY FRIEDMAN,
- 20 DIVERSION, LOCAL ASSISTANCE AND PLANNING DIVISION.
- 21 AND, YEAH, MR. CHANDLER DID SPEAK TO THE FACT THAT
- 22 ORIGINALLY THIS PARTICULAR CONTRACT CONCEPT CAME IN
- 23 AT 153, AND STAFF HAVE BEEN WORKING DILIGENTLY TO
- 24 REALLY SQUEEZE DOWN THE ESTIMATES SO THAT WE COULD

25 MAXIMIZE THE USE OF THE DOLLARS IN OTHER

60

LOCATIONS. AND I'D LIKE PAT SCHIAVO AND NANCY CARR 1 2 TO SPEAK TO DETAILS ON THAT. 3 MR. SCHIAVO: AS JUDY AND RALPH MENTIONED, IT WAS ORIGINALLY A \$153,000 CONCEPT. WE WERE ABLE 4 TO, USING STAFF INTERNALLY HERE AT THE BOARD, KNOCK 5 б OUT SOME OF THE COST BY DOING WEB DEVELOPMENT 7 OURSELVES, ALSO TRYING TO SQUEEZE OUT SOME OF THE 8 EXTRA DOLLARS THAT WOULD BE USED FOR SOME OF THE SURVEY PROCESS TO FILL IN SOME OF THE DATA GAPS. 9 THE BENEFIT OF THE CHARACTERIZATION 10 DATABASES IS GLOBAL IN NATURE, AND IT'S TO BENEFIT 11 12 ALL THE VARIOUS JURISDICTIONS IN THE STATE. WE FEEL THAT THE COST THAT WE HAVE ALLOCATED AT THIS 13 POINT IS THE MINIMAL. IT'S CUTTING IT DOWN PRETTY 14 MUCH TO THE BARE BONES TO COMPLETE THAT TASK. IT'S 15 ALSO SUPPORTING GLOBALLY THE BOARD'S OVERALL 16 17 EFFORTS, MARKET DEVELOPMENT, DIVERSION PLANNING, AS WELL AS OUR STRATEGIC PLANNING EFFORTS TO DATE. 18 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS OF 20 STAFF ON THAT? ANY QUESTIONS OF STAFF ON THAT? OKAY. THANK YOU. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER 21 22 DISCUSSION, WE'LL FIRST VOTE ON MR. CHESBRO'S

AMENDMENT TO MY AMENDMENT, WHICH WOULD BE TO FUND

THE COOPERATIVE MARKETING PROGRAM AT \$40,000,

23

24

25 TAKING THE 30 FROM THE WASTE CHARACTERIZATION 61

1	DATABASE AND 10,000 FROM THE FUND BALANCE, LEAVING
2	A FUND BALANCE OF \$779. OKAY. IF NO FURTHER
3	DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
4	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
5	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
6	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
7	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: NO.
8	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
9	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
10	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
11	BOARD MEMBER JONES: NO.
12	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
13	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: NO.
14	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
15	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO. MOTION FAILS.
16	NOW WE'LL TAKE MY ORIGINAL MOTION,
17	WHICH WAS SECONDED BY MR. FRAZEE. DO YOU WANT ME
18	TO GO THROUGH THE NUMBERS AGAIN?
19	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I DO HAVE A
20	QUESTION FOR STAFF, THOUGH, BEFORE WE VOTE ON IT.
21	I WAS JUST CURIOUS WHETHER OR NOT THERE WAS MORE
22	DETAIL AVAILABLE ON THE TRAINING PROGRAM IN TERMS
23	OF THE SPECIFICALLY ALL I HAD SEEN SO FAR IS
24	VERY GENERAL TERMS.

MS. PEDERSON: I CAN SPEAK TO THAT, BOARD

62

1

MEMBER CHESBRO. I'M SUSAN PEDERSON, ASSISTANT

2 DIRECTOR IN THE EXECUTIVE OFFICE. 3 AS PART OF THE INTEGRATED TRAINING EFFORT THAT WE'VE UNDERGONE THIS YEAR, WE ARE 4 WORKING ON EXTERNAL NEEDS ASSESSMENT THAT SERVES 5 OUR STRATEGIC PLANNING EFFORTS AT THE BOARD. 6 7 SPECIFICALLY WE ARE LOOKING AT IN PARTICULAR THESE 8 FOUR PRIORITY AREAS THAT YOU WILL BE VOTING ON TOMORROW AS PART OF THE STRATEGIC PLAN ITEM, AS 9 WELL AS OUR GENERAL CONSTITUENT BASE FOR OUR 10 11 PRIMARY CORE FUNCTIONS. WHAT COMPETENCIES THOSE 12 CONSTITUENTS NEED IN ORDER TO SERVE SUCCESS IN OUR CORE FUNCTIONS OF THE 50 PERCENT AND THE OTHER 50 13 PERCENT AND TO LOOK AT OUR INTERNAL DATA ON HOW WE 14 SEE THE PERFORMANCE FOR THOSE CONSTITUENCIES AROUND 15 THOSE COMPETENCY, KNOWLEDGE, AND SKILL AREAS, SO 16 THAT WE CAN THEN TARGET OUR FOCUS ON THAT. 17 I THINK MORE BROADLY THE DETAIL 18 19 THAT'S IMPORTANT IN LOOKING AT THIS CONCEPT IS THAT 20 THE BOARD, THROUGH ITS STRATEGIC PLAN, HAS LAID OUT A VISION AND A MISSION THAT CRITICALLY RELIES ON 21 22 OUR EXPERTISE AND THE EXPERTISE OF OUR CONSTITUENTS FOR US TO SUCCEED IN OUR CORE FUNCTIONS. IN 23 24 PARTICULAR WITH US BEING AT THE STATE LEVEL AND OUR

25

PREVIOUS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT THAT OUR SUCCESS AND THE

63

- 1 SUCCESS OF THESE PROGRAMS IS HEAVILY RELIANT ON THE
- 2 CONSTITUENTS, CERTAINLY THEIR EXPERTISE AND
- 3 PERFORMANCE IS A CRITICAL ASPECT OF ALL OF THAT
- 4 HAPPENING.
- 5 SO WE SEE TRAINING AS BEING SUCH A
- 6 CRITICAL FUNCTION TO DEVELOPING THAT EXPERTISE AND
- 7 MAKING IT GO THAT WE WANTED TO BE ABLE TO PUT AT
- 8 LEAST THIS MODEST LEVEL FORWARD SO THAT WE COULD
- 9 ULTIMATELY FULFILL THOSE EXPECTATIONS.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I AGREE WITH
- 11 EVERYTHING YOU'VE SAID, AND I'M NOT PLANNING TO
- 12 CHANGE THIS OR ANYTHING. I JUST WANT TO TRY TO GET
- 13 AS MUCH INFORMATION AS I CAN.
- MS. PEDERSON: I CAN TELL YOU THAT ON THE
- 15 PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT --
- 16 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: HOLD ON. SLOW
- DOWN.
- MS. PEDERSON: EXCUSE ME.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WHAT I THINK I HEAR
- 20 YOU SAYING IS THAT IT'S, IN GENERAL, TO SUPPORT
- 21 TRAINING THAT FLOWS OUT OF THE GENERAL PLAN
- 22 PRIORITIZATION PROCESS. WE HAVEN'T MADE THE
- 23 SPECIFIC DECISIONS ABOUT WHO AND WHAT AT THIS
- 24 POINT. AM I READING THAT CORRECTLY IN BETWEEN THE

25 LINES?

1 MS. PEDERSON: YES AND NO. FOR ONE OF OUR CRITICAL CONSTITUENT AREAS, THE LOCAL ENFORCEMENT 2 3 AGENTS ARE THE LEA'S. THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION HAS ALREADY CONDUCTED A NEEDS 4 ASSESSMENT SURVEY, AND OUT OF THAT HAS PUT FORWARD 5 б AN 18-MONTH TRAINING PLAN FOR WHICH A PORTION OF 7 THIS MONEY WOULD SUPPORT THAT. WHAT WE'RE LOOKING 8 AT NOW IS FOR THE OTHER CRITICAL LINE PROGRAMS THAT SUPPORT OUR OTHER STRATEGIC FUNCTIONS. 9 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: SO PART OF IT WOULD 10 GO FOR THE CONTINUING LEA TRAINING PROCESS WE'VE 11 12 BEEN ENGAGED IN. 13 MS. PEDERSON: THAT'S THE EXPECTATION. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: SOME PORTION MAY GO 14 TO OTHER WHATEVER, CONSTITUENT GROUPS OR CUSTOMER 15 GROUPS, THAT WE IDENTIFY WHO NEED TRAINING; IS THAT 16 17 CORRECT? MS. PEDERSON: YES. THAT'S CORRECT. 18 19 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WILL WE BE SEEING 20 IN SOME FORM A MORE SPECIFIC ALLOCATION PLAN FOR 21 HOW WE'RE GOING TO SPEND THE TRAINING DOLLARS ΑT 22 SOME POINT? 23 MS. PEDERSON: WE CAN PROVIDE YOU WITH

24	INFORMATION	ON	THAT	ONCE	THAT	NEEDS	ASSESSMENT
IS							
25	CONDUCTED.	THE	RE IS	S A CO	OPY,	I KNOW	, ALREADY

1 AVAILABLE OF THE 18-MONTH TRAINING SCHEDULE IN 2 DRAFT FOR THE LEA GROUP. AND WHEN WE GET THE 3 FURTHER DETAIL ON THE OTHER CONSTITUENT AREAS, WE'D BE MORE THAN HAPPY TO SHARE THAT INFORMATION WITH 4 5 YOU. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: BUT FROM THE FORMAL 6 ACTION STANDPOINT, THIS IS ASSUMED TO BE THE ONLY 7 8 COMMITTEE OR BOARD ACTION ON THIS THAT'S BASICALLY 9 THEN THE STAFF GOING FORWARD AND PUTTING THE 10 TRAINING --MS. PEDERSON: THAT'S CORRECT. 11 12 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: -- PROGRAM TOGETHER? BECAUSE ALTHOUGH IT DOESN'T NECESSARILY 13 NEED TO BE A FORMAL BOARD ISSUE, BUT IT DOES SEEM 14 15 TO ME THAT HAVING SOME IDEA OF WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE IS IMPORTANT TO THE BOARD MEMBERS IN UNDER-16 STANDING HOW YOU ARE PROCEEDING WITH BOTH THE 17 18 STRATEGIC PLAN IMPLEMENTATION AND HOW OUR TRAINING DOLLARS ARE BEING SPENT. 19 MS. PEDERSON: ONE OF THE PRIMARY 20 21 FOLLOW-UP VEHICLES, OF COURSE, WITHIN THE CONTRACT CONCEPT PROCESS IS AS THE CONTRACT CONCEPTS ARE 22 23 APPROVED BY THE BOARD, WE FOLLOW UP THROUGH THE

24	ADVISOR	S WIT	THE THE	ADDI	IOITI	NAL	INFORMATION	ON	HOW
THE									
25	SCOPES	MOVE	FORWAI	RD, V	_	THE	IMPLEMENTAT	ION	LOOKS

1 LIKE. 2 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M NOT JUST 3 INTERESTED IN ONE PIECE COMING FORWARD AT A TIME, 4 BUT KIND OF THE FRAMEWORK OF WHAT THE PRIORITIES ARE. THAT'S TRUE AND THAT'S GOOD, BUT I ALSO WANT 5 TO MAKE SURE THAT WE HAVE SOME SENSE OF HERE'S THE 6 DIRECTION WE'RE GOING, HERE'S THE FOUR AREAS OR TWO 7 8 AREAS THAT WE'RE GOING TO PROCEED, AND HERE'S HOW WE'RE GOING TO DIVIDE UP THE PIE. 10 MS. PEDERSON: THAT'S NOT A PROBLEM FOR US TO PRESENT THAT INFORMATION TO YOU. 11 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT CAN BE PART OF 13 THE EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT. 14 MS. PEDERSON: THAT WOULD BE AN OPTION. 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY OTHER DISCUSSION OR QUESTIONS? IF NOT, WE'LL VOTE ON MY 16 MOTION, WHICH IS TO APPROVE THE COMMITTEE'S 17 RECOMMENDATION AND ADOPT RESOLUTION 97-412. 18 SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL. 19

THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.

THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.

20

21

22

CHESBRO.

23	BOARD	MEMBER	FRAZEE:	AYE.
24	THE SI	ECRETARY	Y: GOTCI	Η.
25	BOARD		GOTCH: 67	AYE.

1	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
2	BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
3	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
4	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
5	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
6	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
7	CARRIES.
8	NOW, DO WE HAVE TO TAKE THESE OTHER
9	ITEMS UP TOO, DON'T WE? I'LL MOVE THAT WE APPROVE
10	32-EXE-OIL, INTEGRATED TECHNICAL TRAINING FOR
11	29,750; ALSO, 11-DPL-OIL, DEVELOPMENT OF METHODS,
12	40,000; 21-DPL-OIL, PROMOTIONAL EDUCATION WITH THE
13	DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES, 50,000; 22-DPL-OIL,
14	PROMOTION EXPENSE WITH DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR
15	VEHICLES, 100,000; 34-DPL-OIL, PROMOTION EXPENSES,
16	EDUCATION, \$150,000; 44-OIL-IWM, PROMOTION
17	EXPENSES, RESIDENTIAL AND OUTREACH LANDSCAPE
18	OUTREACH, A HUNDRED THOUSAND. AND THAT'S FINE.
19	THOSE ARE THE TWO OIL FUNDS.
20	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MR. CHAIR, IN
21	COMMITTEE WE HAD A LITTLE DISCUSSION ON THIS
22	REGARDING SMART SHOP CAMPAIGN. LET ME ASK A COUPLE
23	OF QUESTIONS REGARDING THAT AND GRASSCYCLING, AND

- 24 SO I'M GOING TO BE KIND OF MERGING INTO THE NEXT
- 25 ITEM ALSO.

1 REGARDING THE GRASSCYCLING, MY OFFICE 2 WAS CONTACTED ABOUT THE GRASSCYCLING ISSUE, AND IN PARTICULAR FOLKS WERE CONCERNED THAT THEY WERE TOLD 3 THAT THE USED OIL MESSAGE HAD TO TAKE PROMINENCE. 4 AND I'M HOPING MR. JONES MIGHT BE ABLE TO GIVE ME A 5 LITTLE INSIGHT ON THIS. I THINK WE WANT TO GET OUR б 7 GRASSCYCLING MESSAGE ACROSS, AND I'M HOPING YOU ARE 8 GOING TO GIVE ME --9 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THE ANSWER YOU WANT. ABSOLUTELY. IT'S AN AMAZING THAT FACT WHEN YOU SAY 10 11 THE WORD "SIGNIFICANT," IT CAN BE VIEWED AS BEING PREDOMINANT. THE TERMINOLOGY THAT WAS USED AT THE 12 MEETING -- FOR THE SAKE OF THE AUDIENCE, THERE HAS 13 BEEN A PROJECT GOING ON IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA THAT 14 INCLUDES L.A. COUNTY AND ORANGE COUNTY ON A 15 GRASSCYCLING PROJECT THAT IS ALSO GOING TO DEAL 16 WITH THE IDEA OF POLLUTION PREVENTION BECAUSE 17 THEY'RE GOING TO USE ELECTRIC MOWERS INSTEAD OF GAS 18 19 POWERED MOWERS IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. THE AIR 20 QUALITY STANDARDS ARE SUCH A MAJOR ISSUE. AND IT HAS BEEN, SINCE THE BEGINNING 21 22 OF THIS PROJECT, THAT WE HAVE CONTINUALLY TOLD THOSE CITIES AND COUNTIES DOWN THERE THAT THERE 23 24 NEEDED TO BE A SIGNIFICANT MESSAGE ON OIL POLLUTION

25 AND ON OIL POLLUTION PREVENTION. SIGNIFICANT DOES

69

1 NOT MEAN PREDOMINANT. AND UNFORTUNATELY, AFTER 2 THAT MEETING WE STARTED GETTING PHONE CALLS BECAUSE 3 THEY INTERPRETED SIGNIFICANT TO MEAN PREDOMINANT 4 AND DOMINATING THE MAJORITY OF THE MESSAGE. AND 5 THAT'S NOT THE CASE. 6 THE ISSUE IS GOING TO BE THERE WILL A 7 SIGNIFICANT MESSAGE BECAUSE THAT'S OUR GOAL IS TO 8 PREVENT POLLUTION OF THOSE LAWN MOWERS, BUT OUR OBVIOUS GOAL IS IN WASTE REDUCTION, GRASSCYCLING, 9 HELPING TO MINIMIZE THE AMOUNT OF THAT MATERIAL 10 THAT NEEDS TO BE COLLECTED AND GO FORWARD AND THAT. 11 12 I DON'T WANT TO SAY THAT SIGNIFICANT MEANS 25 PERCENT OF AN AD BECAUSE THERE'S GOING TO BE SOME 13 MEDIA RADIO SPOTS THAT MAY NOT -- MAY HAVE A VERY 14 15 MINOR, JUST THAT IT'S FUNDED BY THE DIFFERENT ORGANIZATIONS. PRINT AD, I WOULD SAY THIS IS NOT 16 THE SPECIFIC NUMBER, BUT FIGURE PROBLEM ABOUT 25 17 18 PERCENT. AND I THINK THAT MAKES A LOT OF SENSE 19 20 BECAUSE WE HAVE TO GET THAT MESSAGE ACROSS THAT 21 POLLUTION PREVENTION IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA IS A CRITICAL ISSUE. IT IS NOT PREDOMINANT. IT IS THE 22 23 INTERPRETATION OF THOSE THAT WERE IN THE MEETING.

24			В	JT I	VAH I	VE	ТО	TEI	L Y	OU,	WHEN	1 ME	HA	.Γ
25	THE	MEETING	AND	WE	ALL	ΚN	IOM	OF	THE	EF	FORT	WE	GO	
	/ ()													

- 1 THROUGH TO GET SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA CITIES AND
- 2 COUNTIES TO, AS WE DO ALL THE CITIES AND COUNTIES
- 3 IN THE STATE, TO WORK ON THE RECYCLING PROGRAMS AND
- 4 AB 688, WHICH SAID GOOD FAITH EFFORT. AFTER THE
- 5 FIRST MEETING WHEN ONE OF OUR STAFF SAID --
- 6 SOMEBODY BROUGHT UP THE IDEA IS THIS ABOUT GOOD
- 7 FAITH EFFORT? AND ONE OF OUR STAFF SAYS, "YEAH, IF
- 8 THIS IS PART OF AN INTEGRATED PROGRAM, THIS IS A
- 9 PIECE OF WHAT YOU ARE DOING, OBVIOUSLY IT'S A GOOD
- 10 FAITH EFFORT."
- 11 A LETTER WENT OUT THAT SAID IF YOU
- 12 ARE PART OF THIS PROGRAM, YOU SATISFY AB 939 AND AB
- 13 688 BECAUSE THIS WILL CONSTITUTE THE GOOD FAITH
- 14 EFFORTS YOU NEED TO PUT FORWARD. SO THERE IS A
- 15 LITTLE DILUTION OF THE INFORMATION SOMETIMES THAT
- 16 COMES FORWARD, AND I HOPE THAT LONG ANSWER
- 17 SATISFIES YOUR QUESTION.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU VERY MUCH,
- 19 MR. JONES. OKAY.
- 20 NOW, ALSO, IN COMMITTEE I HAD ASKED
- 21 ABOUT THE POSSIBILITY OF USING SOME OF THE OIL FUND
- 22 MONEY FOR FUNDING IN THE SMART SHOP CAMPAIGN, WHICH
- 23 IS THE FOLLOWING ITEM. AND I'D LIKE TO ASK, IF I

- MAY, TO HAVE STAFF AND/OR THE SMART SHOP
- 25 REPRESENTATIVES PRESENT THEIR FINDINGS ABOUT

- 1 WHETHER IT'S FEASIBLE TO USE OIL MONEY IN THIS
- 2 CAMPAIGN. WE'RE GOING TO BE MOVING A LITTLE BIT
- 3 INTO THE NEXT ITEM, IF WE MAY.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELL, WE'VE GOT A
- 5 MOTION ON THE FLOOR HERE THAT I'D LIKE TO GET
- 6 HANDLED. I THINK THEY CAN ANSWER WHETHER OR NOT
- 7 THE OIL MONEY CAN BE USED FOR THE SMART SHOP
- 8 PROGRAM. WE ALREADY HAVE SET ASIDE SOME MONEY THAT
- 9 CAN BE USED, BUT CERTAINLY THAT ANSWER. BUT I
- 10 THINK WE OUGHT TO TAKE CARE OF THIS BEFORE WE GET
- 11 INTO ITEM NO. 5.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THIS WILL HELP ANSWER
- 13 THE QUESTION. THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR.
- MS. FRIEDMAN: JUDY FRIEDMAN, AGAIN,
- 15 DIVERSION, PLANNING AND LOCAL ASSISTANCE. TO
- 16 ANSWER VERY BRIEFLY, OIL FUNDS COULD BE USED
- 17 SPECIFICALLY FOR AN OIL MESSAGE, AND WE'LL GIVE
- 18 MORE INFORMATION IN THE ITEM THAT FOLLOWS.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: GREAT. THANK YOU
- 20 VERY MUCH.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THANK YOU. YES, MR.
- 22 CHESBRO.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I AM SUPPORTIVE OF
- THIS. AS YOU MAY RECALL, AND I KNOW RALPH MAY

25 RECALL BECAUSE I BRING IT UP JUST ABOUT EVERY WEEK 72

- 1 WITH HIM, I'VE BEEN FRUSTRATED BECAUSE I FELT FOR A
- 2 LONG TIME THAT WE HAVE ACTUALLY HAD MORE LIMITED
- 3 IWM FUND SUBSIDIZING MANY OIL PROGRAMS AND THAT
- 4 THERE'S SOMETHING WRONG WITH OUR INTERPRETATION IF
- 5 WE'RE NOT ABLE, WHEN THERE'S CLEARLY AN OIL
- 6 COMPONENT, TO UTILIZE OIL FUNDS TO PAY ITS FAIR
- 7 SHARE, PAY ITS WAY.
- 8 AND CERTAINLY SENATOR LOCKYER
- 9 RECOGNIZED THAT WITH SB 1330 IN TERMS OF, YOU KNOW,
- 10 UTILIZING SOME OF THE OIL FUNDS FOR THE RURAL
- 11 CLEANUP.
- 12 SO I THINK THAT THIS IS GOOD, AND I
- 13 WOULD HOPE THAT IT WOULD BE THE FIRST OF US LOOKING
- 14 CAREFULLY, WHENEVER THERE IS A POSSIBLE COMPONENT
- 15 OF MOTOR OIL IN THE WASTESTREAM, TO HAVING THE OIL
- 16 FUND PAY ITS SHARE OF ACTIVITIES AND PROGRAMS AND
- 17 NOT HAVE IT BE THE OTHER WAY AROUND, WHICH I THINK
- 18 HAS, IN FACT, BEEN THE CASE WHEN THERE'S MORE OIL
- 19 FUND AVAILABLE AND IWM IS SHRINKING. AND IT'S
- 20 REALLY UNFAIR PRESSURE ON THE IWM FUND TO HAVE THE
- 21 MONEY FLOWING AND SUBSIDIZING FROM THE IWM PROGRAM
- TO THE OIL PROGRAM.
- SO I'M GLAD WE'RE DOING THIS, AND I
- 24 HOPE THAT STAFF WILL TAKE IT AS A FIRST STEP IN

LOOKING FOR OTHER WAYS TO, WITHIN THE LAW AND THE 73

- 1 BUDGETARY REQUIREMENTS THAT ARE PLACED ON US, TO
- 2 FIND WAYS TO MAKE SURE THAT WE'RE APPROPRIATELY
- 3 USING THE OIL FUNDS TO PAY FOR OIL PROGRAMS.
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. OKAY. WE
- 5 HAVE A MOTION TO ADOPT AS PART OF RESOLUTION 97-412
- 6 THESE ITEMS THAT I JUST WENT THROUGH.
- 7 MS. FISH: EXCUSE ME. DID YOU ALSO UNDER
- 8 THE TIRE RECYCLING FUND --
- 9 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I WAS GOING TO DO IT
- 10 SEPARATELY BECAUSE THIS WAS THE OIL FUND, BUT WE
- 11 CAN DO THAT TOO. WHY DON'T WE JUST UNDER THE TIRE
- 12 RECYCLING FUND, THERE'S 32-EXE-TIRES, INTEGRATED
- 13 TECHNICAL TRAINING FOR 8,500.
- 14 I DON'T KNOW WHETHER ANYBODY
- 15 SECONDED.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.
- 17 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IF

THERE'S NO

- 18 FURTHER DISCUSSION ON THESE FUNDS, WILL THE
- 19 SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 20 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER

CHESBRO.

- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.

23	BOARD	MEMBER	FRAZEE:	AYE.
24	THE SI	ECRETARY	7: GOTCH	Ι.
25	BOARD	MEMBER 74	GOTCH:	AYE.

1 THE SECRETARY: JONES. 2 BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE. 3 THE SECRETARY: RELIS. 4 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE. 5 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON. 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION 7 CARRIES. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I'M ONLY SEMI-8 FACETIOUSLY GOING TO ASK MR. JONES WHETHER OR NOT 9 HE WOULD STILL OBJECT TO COOPERATIVE MARKETING IF 10 IT WAS COOPERATIVELY MARKETING USED MOTOR OIL. 11 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I GUESS IT DOESN'T 12 MATTER THE LEVEL OF CONTAMINATION, DOES IT? 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NEXT ITEM IS ITEM 14 NO. 5, CONSIDERATION OF A \$25,000 FUNDING REQUEST 15 16 FOR THE BAY AREA SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN. JUDY FRIEDMAN, KAREN FISH. 17 18 MS. FRIEDMAN: YES. ACTUALLY PHIL MORALEZ 19 WILL MAKE THE PRESENTATION FOR STAFF. 20 MR. MORALEZ: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN 21 AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. AND FOR THE RECORD, MY NAME IS PHIL MORALEZ. I'M THE BRANCH MANAGER FOR 22

THE PUBLIC EDUCATION AND PROGRAM IMPLEMENTATION

24 BRANCH.

THE ITEM BEFORE YOU HAS BEEN

PLACED -- MOVED BY THE COMMITTEE TO -- WITH NO 1 2 RECOMMENDATION TO THE BOARD BASED ON A NUMBER OF LETTERS THAT THE BOARD HAD RECEIVED, THE BOARD 3 MEMBERS, REGARDING FUND SUPPORT FOR THE PROJECT SAN 4 FRANCISCO BAY AREA SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN IN THE 5 б AMOUNT OF \$25,000. SINCE THAT MEETING THERE HAVE 7 BEEN A COUPLE OTHER LETTERS THAT HAVE BEEN RECEIVED 8 FROM OTHER JURISDICTIONS IN SUPPORT OF THAT FUND 9 REQUEST. JUST FOR PURPOSES OF THE RECORD AND 10 FOR MEMBERS IN THE AUDIENCE WHO WERE NOT AT THE 11 COMMITTEE MEETING, THE SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN WAS 12 INITIALLY FUNDED IN 1994 THROUGH CALIFORNIA WASTE 13 MANAGEMENT BOARD PARTNERSHIP CONTRACT WITH THE 14 LEAGUE OF CITIES, CSAC, AND ALSO WITH THE LGC IN 15 THE AMOUNT OF ABOUT \$150,000, WHICH INITIALLY WAS 16 17 THE FIRST START OF THAT CAMPAIGN. THE BOARD DOES HAVE SEVERAL OPTIONS 18 19 AVAILABLE TO IT. ONE OPTION OBVIOUSLY IS TO 20 POSTPONE, TAKING -- APPROVING THIS REQUEST OR DEALING WITH THIS REQUEST PENDING A BOARD POLICY, 21 22 WHICH I UNDERSTAND IS GOING TO BE ESTABLISHED AT THE DECEMBER BOARD MEETING REGARDING HOW TO HANDLE 23 THESE TYPES OF REQUESTS, OR WE COULD APPROVE THE 24

25 FUNDING FOR THIS PROJECT, OR DENY THE REQUEST. 76

1 AT THE COMMITTEE MEETING, CHAIRMAN GOTCH DID ASK, AFTER WE HAD MOVED TO THE BOARD, IF 2 IT WAS A POSSIBILITY THAT PERHAPS USED OIL 3 DOLLARS -- AT THAT TIME SHE HAD ASKED IN THE AMOUNT 4 OF ABOUT \$10,000 -- COULD BE USED TO PARTIALLY 5 б SUPPORT THIS FUNDING REQUEST. 7 AS MS. FRIEDMAN NOTED, OIL MONEY 8 COULD BE USED FOR FUNDING PART OF THIS PROJECT; AND IN TALKING WITH SOME OF THE MEMBERS FROM THE SHOP 9 SMART CAMPAIGN, THEY INDICATED THAT THAT EXCLUSIVE 10 11 OIL MESSAGE WOULD NOT BE A PROBLEM FOR THEM IN THIS 12 PARTICULAR PROJECT. 13 AT THIS TIME ANY OTHER FUNDS FOR THE PROGRAM WOULD OBVIOUSLY BE BASED ON BOARD'S 14 RECOMMENDATION IN TERMS OF WHERE THOSE FUNDS WOULD 15 COME FROM. AS YOU KNOW, ON ITEM NO. 4, YOU 16 17 IDENTIFIED FUNDING SOURCES THERE. AT THIS TIME THIS CONCLUDES STAFF'S 18 19 PRESENTATION. AND I DO KNOW THERE ARE A COUPLE 20 MEMBERS WHO HAVE COME FROM SAN FRANCISCO. THEY MAY ALSO WANT TO MAKE A PRESENTATION. 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS 22 OF STAFF ON THIS ITEM? OKAY. FIRST WE HAVE DAVID 23

24

ASSMAN.

MR. ASSMAN: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON, MEMBERS

OF THE BOARD, I'M NOT REALLY GOING TO MAKE A 1 2 PRESENTATION BECAUSE I DID THAT FOR THE COMMITTEE. BUT I WOULD LIKE TO OFFER A COUPLE OF COMMENTS AND 3 THEN BE AVAILABLE FOR ANY QUESTIONS. 4 5 WE -- THE SHOP SMART CAMPAIGN IS A б COOPERATIVE EFFORT OF 110 CITIES AND COUNTIES IN 7 THE BAY AREA NOW ENTERING ITS THIRD YEAR. CONSISTENTLY REACHING THE SIX AND A HALF MILLION 8 PEOPLE IN THE BAY AREA WITH WASTE PREVENTION AND 9 10 BUY RECYCLE MESSAGES. 11 SINCE THE COMMITTEE MEETING WE HAVE BEEN INVESTIGATING THE POSSIBILITY OF INCLUDING A 12 USED OIL MESSAGE IN OUR OUTREACH MATERIALS, AND WE 13 FEEL THAT IT FITS QUITE WELL WITHIN THE FRAMEWORK 14 OF THE TWO MESSAGES THAT WE'RE FOCUSING IN ON THIS 15 YEAR, WHICH IS WASTE PREVENTION AND REUSE, AND 16 17 REREFINED OIL FITS WITHIN THAT AREA. IN PARTICULAR, THOUGH WE'RE STILL 18 19 INVESTIGATING ALL THE OPTIONS, WE DO KNOW THAT 20 WAL-MART CARRIES REREFINED OIL MADE BY SAFETY CLEAN, AMERICA'S CHOICE REREFINED OIL, AND THERE 21 22 ARE WALL-MARTS IN SEVEN OF THE TEN COUNTIES THAT WE

ARE OPERATING THE CAMPAIGN IN. SO WE DO KNOW OF AT

LEAST ONE BIG DISTRIBUTION OUTLET FOR REREFINED OIL

23

THAT COULD TIE IN WITH A USED OIL MESSAGE THAT 78

- 1 COULD BE INCLUDED AS PART OF OUR COMIC RAP THAT'S
- 2 GOING OUT TO MORE THAN THREE AND A HALF MILLION
- 3 PEOPLE AS PART OF THE CAMPAIGN.
- 4 I'D RATHER JUST ANSWER QUESTIONS.
- 5 I'D BE HAPPY TO ANSWER ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE
- 6 CAMPAIGN OR ANY OTHER ASPECT OF IT.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I HAVEN'T
- 8 BEEN PART OF THESE DELIBERATIONS, SO I HAVE A
- 9 COUPLE OF QUESTIONS THAT MAY ALREADY BE UNDER-
- 10 STOOD. IS THE TOTAL REQUEST 25,000, OR IS THIS A
- 11 PIECE OF SOMETHING ELSE THAT WOULD COME BACK? IS
- 12 THIS --
- MR. ASSMAN: OUR TOTAL REQUEST IS 25,000.
- OUR TOTAL CAMPAIGN BUDGET IS ABOUT 200,000. CITIES
- 15 AND COUNTIES HAVE PLEDGED MORE THAN A HUNDRED FIFTY
- 16 THOUSAND SO FAR.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: OKAY. AND THERE'S
- 18 REFERENCE HERE TO THE -- OUR PARTICIPATION IN
- 19 CAMPAIGNS IN THE PAST. AND I DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S
- 20 ANYONE FROM STAFF WHO COULD COMMENT ON OUR SENSE OF
- 21 HOW WELL THIS PROGRAM HAS WORKED FOR THE BOARD'S
- 22 PREVENTION SIDE.
- MR. MORALEZ: BOARD MEMBER RELIS, IN THE
- 24 PAST YOU MIGHT RECALL WE HAD ENTERED INTO A

25 CONTRACT WITH THE LEAGUE OF CITIES, CSAC. PART OF 79

- 1 THE MONEY WAS USED, \$150,000 OF THAT CONTRACT WAS
- 2 USED, IN PARTNERSHIP FOR THIS PARTICULAR PROJECT.
- 3 AND OUR REPORT AND THE RESULTS OF THE PROGRAM WERE
- 4 VERY POSITIVE. STAFF WAS VERY PLEASED WITH THE
- 5 PROGRAM. THEY HAD VERY GOOD RESULTS.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MAY I ASK PHIL ALSO
- 7 TO TALK A LITTLE BIT OR MR. ASSMAN TO TALK A LITTLE
- 8 BIT ABOUT THE SURVEYS THAT WERE DONE? THAT MIGHT
- 9 HELP ANSWER THE QUESTION.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: LET MR. RELIS
- 11 FINISH.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I'M FINISHED, BUT
- 13 I'LL TAKE MORE INFORMATION.
- 14 MR. ASSMAN: OKAY. WE DID TWO THINGS FOR
- 15 THE FIRST AND SECOND CAMPAIGN IS WE SURVEYED
- 16 SHOPPERS AND WE ALSO MEASURED PRODUCT SALES. AND
- 17 THE PRODUCT SALE TRACKING WE DID IN THE FIRST
- 18 CAMPAIGN, AND WE MEASURED SALES OF WELL-PACKAGED
- 19 PRODUCTS; I.E., PACKAGE WITH MINIMAL PACKAGING AND
- 20 USING RECYCLED-CONTENT. AND THEN WE MEASURED SALES
- OF OVER PACKAGED PRODUCTS. AND WE HAD A RESEARCH
- 22 FIRM DO THIS STUDY FOR US.
- 23 AND THE SALES OF WELL-PACKAGED

24	PRODUCTS	DURING	THE	CAMPAIGN	INCE	REASI	ED BY	19.4	4
25	PERCENT,	ALMOST	20	PERCENT. 80	AND	THE	SALES	OF	OVER

- 1 PACKAGED PRODUCTS DECLINED BY 36 PERCENT, WHICH WE
- 2 THINK IS A TREMENDOUS RESULT, BETTER THAN WE
- 3 EXPECTED.
- 4 WE ALSO FOUND THROUGH EXIT POLLS THAT
- 5 43 PERCENT OF SHOPPERS IN 1996 REMEMBERED ONE OR
- 6 MORE ELEMENTS OF THE CAMPAIGN, WHICH MEANS IF YOU
- 7 EXTRAPOLATE OVER THE BAY AREA, WE REACHED WELL OVER
- 8 A MILLION PEOPLE THROUGH THAT CAMPAIGN. IN 1997 WE
- 9 HAD ALMOST AN IDENTICAL RESULT, 42 PERCENT
- 10 REMEMBERED ONE OR MORE ELEMENTS OF THE CAMPAIGN.
- 11 AND OF THOSE A SIGNIFICANT PERCENTAGE ACTED ON THE
- 12 MESSAGES AS WELL. FOR EXAMPLE, WE HAD 29 PERCENT
- 13 SAID THEY BOUGHT PRODUCTS IN BULK, 18 PERCENT SAID
- 14 THEY BOUGHT ITEMS WITH RECYCLED-CONTENT PACKAGING
- 15 IN '96. IN '97 30 PERCENT SAID THEY BOUGHT ITEMS
- 16 WITH RECYCLED-CONTENT PACKAGING, AND 19 PERCENT
- 17 SAID THEY BOUGHT BULK PRODUCTS.
- SO WE FEEL THE RESULTS WERE REALLY
- 19 EXEMPLARY. WE'VE ALSO RECEIVED SEVEN NATIONAL AND
- 20 STATE AWARDS FOR THE PROGRAM.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. CHESBRO.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: IN PARTIAL RESPONSE
- TO MR. RELIS' COMMENTS, BECAUSE I HAD A CHANCE TO
- 24 VISIT SOME OF THE SITES AND REVIEW THIS IN SOME

25 DETAIL, THERE'S SEVERAL THINGS I THINK ARE REALLY 81

EXEMPLARY AND MAKES THIS PROJECT STAND OUT FROM THE

1

2 OTHER EFFORTS AROUND THE STATE. ONE OF THEM IS 3 THAT IT'S ONE OF THE FEW WHERE THERE'S BEEN A REAL 4 COMPLETE FOLLOW-UP WHERE THEY'VE ACTUALLY TAKEN THE TIME TO MEASURE THE RESULTS AS BEST THEY COULD. 5 6 AND THAT'S IMPORTANT. 7 SECONDLY, IT'S UNPRECEDENTED IN TERMS 8 OF THE LEVEL OF PARTNERSHIP AND REGIONAL COOPERA-TION. NOT ONLY DOES IT INVOLVE LOCAL GOVERNMENTS 9 THROUGHOUT AN ENTIRE REGION, BUT IT ALSO HAS 10 INVOLVED A NUMBER OF THE MAJOR RETAILERS WHO HAVE 11 12 PARTNERED AND PARTICIPATED IN TERMS OF PROVIDING COOPERATIVE ADVERTISING, DISPLAY SPACE, VERY ACTIVE 13 PARTICIPATION BY THE SUPERMARKETS AND OTHER 14 15 RETAILERS. SO, YOU KNOW, THERE HAVE BEEN 16 INDIVIDUAL JURISDICTIONS, SOME OF THEM QUITE LARGE, 17 LIKE THE CITY OF LOS ANGELES, WHO HAVE DONE 18 EDUCATION CAMPAIGNS, BUT THERE'S BEEN A PROBLEM 19 SOMETIMES IN TERMS OF COORDINATION IN A MARKETPLACE 20 21 IN A REGIONAL MARKET, AND THE COORDINATION THAT'S BEEN ACHIEVED THROUGH THAT COOPERATION IN THE BAY 22 23 IS FAIRLY UNUSUAL AND CERTAINLY, I WOULD HOPE,

- 24 WOULD BE REPLICATED ELSEWHERE IN THE STATE. IT'S
- 25 WORKED QUITE WELL, I THINK.

MR. ASSMAN: IF I COULD JUST ADD TO THAT, 1 2 WE'RE AIMING FOR 400 PARTNERS THIS YEAR IN TERMS OF STORES. WE HAD 285 LAST YEAR REPRESENTING A NUMBER 3 OF DIFFERENT CHAINS. WE ALSO ARE MAKING OUR 4 MATERIALS AVAILABLE AND HELPING OTHER JURISDICTIONS 5 SET UP SIMILAR CAMPAIGNS, AND SAN LUIS OBISPO IS б 7 DOING A CAMPAIGN BASED ON OURS NEXT MONTH. AND 8 WE'VE HELPED SOME CITIES IN SOUTHERN CALIFORNIA. WE'VE HELPED SOME CITIES IN OTHER PARTS OF THE 9 COUNTRY SET UP SIMILAR CAMPAIGNS, AND OUR MATERIALS 10 ARE FREELY AVAILABLE, AND WE DO PACKAGE THEM IN 11 SUCH A WAY THAT OTHER JURISDICTION CAN USE THEM. 12 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I'D LIKE TO GO BACK 13 TO THE PARTNERSHIPS. YOU SAID YOU HAVE A CAMPAIGN 14 BUDGET OF 200,000; IS THAT CORRECT? AND HOW ARE 15 YOU DOING ON RAISING THAT MONEY? 16 17 MR. ASSMAN: WE HAVE MORE THAN \$150,000 COMMITTED FROM CITIES AND COUNTIES, SO WE'RE ABOUT 18 19 THREE-QUARTERS OF THE WAY THERE. 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S ABOUT \$1400 PER CITY AND COUNTY. 21 22 MR. ASSMAN: IT WORKS ON A SLIDING SCALE. OBVIOUSLY SOME CITIES HAVE A LOT LESS MONEY THAN 23

OTHERS. ALSO, WE HAVE SOME MONEY FROM PRIVATE

25 PARTNERS. AND THAT \$200,000 BUDGET DOES NOT 83

- 1 INCLUDE IN-KIND CONTRIBUTIONS. FOR EXAMPLE, WE'RE
- 2 GETTING FIVE MILLION SHOPPING BAGS PRINTED WITH OUR
- 3 MESSAGES THIS YEAR. AND THE VALUE OF THAT IS
- 4 OBVIOUSLY TREMENDOUS, AND THAT'S NOT INCLUDED IN
- 5 THAT \$200,000 FIGURE.
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AND IT IS
- 7 THEORETICAL THAT IF YOU ARE WORKING WITH OTHER
- 8 CITIES AND COUNTIES IN THE STATE, THAT THEY TOO
- 9 WILL COME AND WANT US TO FINANCE THEM OR HELP
- 10 FINANCE THEM IF WE FINANCE YOU, CORRECT?
- 11 MR. ASSMAN: WELL, I CAN'T SPECULATE ON
- 12 OTHER CITIES AND COUNTIES. OBVIOUSLY IF OTHER
- 13 CITIES AND COUNTIES PUT TOGETHER PROGRAMS, THEY'LL
- 14 HAVE TO FUND IT. I THINK THAT THERE ARE WAYS OF IN
- 15 THE LONG TERM MAKING A CAMPAIGN LIKE THIS
- 16 AFFORDABLE AND SUSTAINABLE. I THINK THAT'S ONE OF
- 17 THE PROCESSES WE'RE GOING THROUGH IS WE WANT TO BE
- 18 ABLE TO FUND THIS ON AN ONGOING BASIS.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I
- 20 WOULD SAY, IN RESPONSE TO THAT QUESTION, THAT IF
- 21 OTHER AREAS OF THE STATE ACHIEVE THE LEVEL OF
- 22 COOPERATION BETWEEN JURISDICTIONS AND THE LEVEL OF
- 23 PRIVATE SECTOR PARTNERSHIP THAT THIS ONE HAS, THEN
- 24 I THINK WE OUGHT TO GIVE IT REAL SERIOUS

25 CONSIDERATION. I MEAN IF WE HAD A PROPOSAL LIKE $$84\$

THIS FOR THE LOS ANGELES BASIN OR FOR SAN DIEGO

```
2
      AREA, YOU KNOW, I'D WANT TO TAKE IT REAL SERIOUSLY
 3
      BECAUSE I THINK IT'S A PRETTY EFFECTIVE USE OF OUR
 4
      LIMITED DOLLARS, EVEN THOUGH I KNOW WE DON'T HAVE A
      LOT TO GO AROUND, IT DOES MULTIPLY OUR EDUCATION
 5
      EFFORTS MANY TIMES OVER TO HAVE THAT KIND
 6
      LEVERAGING OF ALL THE DIFFERENT PLAYERS THAT ARE
 7
      INVOLVED IN A PROJECT LIKE THIS.
 8
               BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, AT THE
 9
10
      ADMIN COMMITTEE MEETING WHEN THIS THING CAME UP,
      IT'S TRUE THIS WENT FORWARD WITHOUT ANY
11
12
      RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE -- TO HONOR MRS. GOTCH'S
13
      REQUEST. PART OF THE DISCUSSION WAS WE'RE -- WE
      JUST PUT A PLACEHOLDER IN THE CONTRACT CONCEPTS SO
14
15
      THAT WE COULD DEVELOP A POLICY, I GUESS, OR A --
      THE APPARATUS WE'RE GOING TO BE ABLE TO DO THESE
16
      BECAUSE WE'D HAD A COUPLE OF THESE IN THE LAST
17
      COUPLE OF MONTHS WHERE PEOPLE ARE ASKING FOR MONEY
18
      AND WE DON'T HAVE ANY MONEY AND WE DON'T HAVE A WAY
19
      TO GIVE THEM MONEY OR WE DON'T HAVE ANY TYPE OF
20
21
      CRITERIA SET UP. SO THAT MONEY WAS PUT ASIDE, YOU
      KNOW, AFTER WE DEVELOPED SOME KIND OF A CRITERIA.
22
23
                      I WAS -- I FEEL THE SAME WAY THAT WE
```

24	DID	ON	AMERICA	RECYCLES.	\mathtt{WE}	DON'T	HAVE	Α	PIECE	ΙN
----	-----	----	---------	-----------	---------------	-------	------	---	-------	----

25 PLACE; HOWEVER, MRS. GOTCH BROUGHT UP THE IDEA OF $$85\$

```
1
      THE USED OIL MESSAGE. AND, YOU KNOW, STAFF SEEMED
 2
      TO LIKE THE IDEA OF PUTTING A USED OIL MESSAGE
 3
      OUT. AND THAT WAS SOMETHING THAT I THOUGHT MADE
 4
      SENSE.
 5
                     I JUST DON'T -- NOTHING'S CHANGED
      SINCE WE DID AMERICA RECYCLES. WE STILL DON'T HAVE
 6
 7
      A POLICY IN PLACE. WE DO TODAY PUT SOME MONEY
      ASIDE FOR THE NEXT YEAR, BUT NOTHING HAS CHANGED AS
 9
      FAR AS THE POLICY AND A CRITERIA HOW WE GIVE MONEY
      OUT. YOU KNOW, I THINK SOMEWHERE WE NEED TO GET TO
10
      THE DISCUSSION OF THE USED OIL MESSAGE BECAUSE I
11
12
      SEE THAT AS TWO SEPARATE RESOLUTIONS. I SEE A
      RESOLUTION HERE THAT IS -- HAS TO IDENTIFY FUNDS
13
      TO -- IF WE WERE TO VOTE TO SUPPORT THIS, THEY'D BE
14
15
      IWMA FUNDS THAT WOULD HAVE TO COME OUT OF SOME
      OTHER PROGRAM THAT WE HAVEN'T EVEN IDENTIFIED YET
16
17
      AND A RESOLUTION LOOKING AT USING OIL MONEY FOR THE
18
      OIL MESSAGE PART OF THIS HASN'T BEEN PROPOSED YET.
                     AND I SEE THAT AS TWO SEPARATE --
19
      BECAUSE I CAN'T SUPPORT THIS JUST BASED ON THE
20
21
      ADMINISTRATIVE PART OF IT, BUT I DON'T HAVE A
      PROBLEM WITH THE OIL MESSAGE BECAUSE I THINK IT'S
22
```

IMPORTANT THAT WE GET THAT OIL MESSAGE OUT.

24	BOARD	MEI	MBER (GOT	CI	4: I	HAVE .	A		
25	RECOMMENDATION	ТО	_ '	_	I	DON'T	KNOW	IF	WE	HAVE
			86	6						

1 OTHER SPEAKERS. ALSO SOMETHING I HAD ASKED MR. 2 ASSMAN IN COMMITTEE WAS IF WE COULD GET -- IF WE 3 WOULD HAVE THE OPPORTUNITY TO USE OUR NEW CUT YOUR 4 TRASH IN HALF 50 PERCENT BY THE YEAR 2000 LOGO ON 5 SOME OF THE MEDIA EFFORTS. WHAT I'D LIKE TO ASK, WITH BOARD CONSENT, IS TO DIRECT STAFF TO CONTINUE 6 7 TO PURSUE THIS OPTION AND BRING BACK AN ITEM IN 8 DECEMBER THAT ADDRESSES THE OIL MONEY ISSUE, THE PROGRAM CONTENT, DELIVERABLES, FUNDING REQUIRED. 9 10 ADDITIONALLY, I WANT TO RECOMMEND THAT THE SMART SHOP CAMPAIGN BE CONSIDERED FOR THE 11 12 IWMA MONEY FROM THE SPONSORSHIP-PARTNERSHIP LINE IN DECEMBER AS WELL. SO MORE OR LESS THIS WOULD BE 13 MOVING THIS TO NEXT MONTH. 14 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: WELL, I -- IT JUST 15 STRUCK ME. I DON'T MIND -- ACTUALLY THIS PROGRAM 16 FROM THE PRESENTATIONS MADE AND ALL IS A PREVENTION 17 18 MESSAGE, AND I'M SUPPORTIVE OF THAT. I THOUGHT WHAT WAS BEING CONTEMPLATED WAS THIS WOULD BE OIL 19 MONEY ON THE SPONSORSHIP SIDE. I MEAN IF YOU'RE 20 21 LOOKING AT 25,000 AND A GOOD CHUNK OF THAT COMING OUT OF THE SPONSORSHIP, THAT'S GOING TO LIMIT OUR 22 23 SPONSORSHIPS GREATLY.

I DO HAVE SOME CONCERN THERE. SO

I'M

25 A BIT CONFUSED NOW. I THOUGHT THAT THIS WAS

JUST A

- 1 REQUEST THAT HAD BEEN DETERMINED THAT OIL MONEY
- 2 COULD BE USED TO FUND IT. SO IF I MISSED THAT,
- THEN I GUESS I DID MISS IT, RIGHT? IS THAT RIGHT?
- 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S -- ACTUALLY
- 5 RIGHT NOW IT'S A REQUEST FOR 25 OUT OF THE IWMA.
- 6 MRS. GOTCH IS SUGGESTING THAT WE GET IT OUT OF THE
- 7 OIL, AND THERE IS SOME PORTION OF IT CAN BE
- 8 FINANCED OUT OF THE OIL. AND I THINK MRS. GOTCH IS
- 9 SUGGESTING \$10,000, BUT THAT WOULD HAVE TO BE PART
- 10 OF THE RESOLUTION, WHICH IT ISN'T NOW. I THINK
- 11 WHAT SHE'S SUGGESTING NOW WAS THAT WE --
- 12 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'M READY TO MOVE ON
- 13 THIS. I'M NOT SUGGESTING THAT WE STAY WITH
- 14 \$10,000. I THINK WE HAVE MORE FLEXIBILITY TO MOVE
- 15 IT IF THERE'S UP TO \$20,000.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I DON'T KNOW THAT WE
- 17 HAVE \$20,000.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I BELIEVE THERE IS.
- 19 LET ME FIND THE PAGE FOR YOU.
- 20 MR. CHANDLER: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST SO I'M
- 21 CLEAR ON WHAT I THINK WE'RE TRYING TO DO AND THE
- 22 PROPOSAL ON THE TABLE, IT WAS MY UNDERSTANDING THAT
- 23 WE WERE GOING TO BRING BACK TO THE COMMITTEE AND
- 24 THEN THE BOARD IN DECEMBER A POLICY ITEM THAT WAS

GOING TO FLUSH OUT JUST EXACTLY HOW WE WERE GOING 88

- 1 TO USE -- I THINK WE HAVE 40,000 THAT YOU JUST SET
- 2 ASIDE FOR SPONSORSHIPS. WE'VE HAD A POLICY IN THE
- 3 PAST THAT WE DO NOT DO SPONSORSHIPS. NOW YOU'VE
- 4 MADE THE DECISION THAT YOU WANT TO WADE BACK INTO
- 5 THAT ARENA. SO WE'RE GOING TO LOOK AT SPONSOR-
- 6 SHIPS; BUT, OF COURSE, WHAT WE FEEL WE NEED AROUND
- 7 SPONSORSHIPS IS A CRITERIA. HOW IS THE
- 8 SOLICITATION PROCESS GOING TO BE USED? HOW ARE
- 9 THEY GOING TO BE SCORED, ETC.
- 10 SO I'VE ASKED THE POLICY OFFICE TO
- 11 COME BACK IN DECEMBER WITH THAT GENERIC PROGRAM
- 12 PLAN OF HOW WE ARE GOING TO ALLOCATE \$40,000 FOR
- 13 SPONSORSHIPS. NOW, IF MRS. GOTCH'S PROPOSAL IS
- 14 THAT AT THE SAME TIME WE TAKE FROM THAT \$40,000,
- 15 SAY, 15 SUPPLEMENTED WITH ANOTHER TEN FROM OIL TO
- 16 SPONSOR THE SMART SHOP PROGRAM, THAT'S BEFORE YOU
- 17 AS A CONCEPT. I'M JUST SAYING THAT I DON'T THINK
- 18 WE WERE GOING TO BE IN DECEMBER READY TO NOT ONLY
- 19 PRESENT YOU THE PROGRAM, BUT RUN A PROPOSAL RIGHT
- 20 THROUGH IT TO TWO AGENDA ITEMS LATER.
- 21 WE WERE GOING TO, I THINK, COME OUT
- 22 WITH A SOLICITATION AND ALLOW OTHERS TO CONSIDER
- 23 COMPETING FOR THE SPONSORSHIP PROGRAM.
- 24 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THAT'S WHAT I'D LIKE

YOU TO DO.

1 MR. CHANDLER: YOU'D LIKE US TO TAKE THE 2 PROGRAM, DESIGN IT, AND ON THE HEELS OF ADOPTING 3 THAT PROGRAM, THEN TAKE THIS PROGRAM AND HAVE IT BE 4 THE FIRST OUT OF THE BLOCKS FOR FUNDING UNDER THE SPONSORSHIP PROGRAM. SO I JUST WANTED TO CLARIFY 5 WHAT I THOUGHT HER PROPOSAL WAS. 6 7 AND I THINK THAT ASKS THE QUESTION OF 8 EQUITY OR FAIRNESS IF THERE'S OTHERS THAT WANT TO COMPETE TO A PROGRAM THAT WE HAVEN'T YET FULLY 9 10 DEVELOPED. BUT OBVIOUSLY WE HAVE QUITE A BIT OF EXPERIENCE OR BACKGROUND ON THE SMART SHOP PROGRAM. 11 12 SO I THINK FROM MRS. GOTCH'S PERSPECTIVE, SHE FEELS 13 PRETTY COMFORTABLE WITH WHAT WE'RE GOING TO GET FOR 14 THOSE DOLLARS. 15 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I DO. I WAS GOING TO POINT OUT -- LET'S SEE -- IT WOULD BE ON PAGE 4-7 16 UNDER USED OIL FUND, IF YOU LOOK DOWN AT THE 17 18 BOTTOM, USED OIL EDUCATION INFO FUND BALANCE AT \$20,000. THIS HAD NOT BEEN FLUSHED OUT WHEN I 19 20 FIRST SUGGESTED IT. IF THE BOARD MEMBERS ARE READY 21 TO GO AHEAD WITH, AS MR. RELIS HAD SAID, WITH THE OIL FUNDING, THEN I'D LIKE TO MOVE AHEAD WITH THAT 22

23

NOW.

24		BOARD I	MEMBER REL	is:	ONE	CLAR	IFICAT	ION
25	AGAIN.	YOU ARE	PROPOSING	NOW	THAT	YOU	WOULD	APPLY
			9.0					

THE \$20,000 FUND BALANCE OUT OF THE USED OIL FUND

1

WHAT

20

21

22

TO THIS PROGRAM AND THAT'S THE EXTENT. 2 3 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: NO. THAT WE WOULD 4 USE SOME OIL FUND MONEY, BUT THEN ALSO NEXT MONTH, 5 WHEN WE TAKE UP THE SPONSORSHIP/PARTNERSHIP ITEM, THAT WE WOULD CONSIDER THIS TO HELP FUND THE 6 BALANCE OF THE REMAINING MONIES THAT ARE NECESSARY 7 8 FOR --9 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: WELL, I GUESS WHAT 10 I'M TRYING TO BE CLEAR ON, BECAUSE WE HAVEN'T HAD THE DISCUSSION OF THE SPONSORSHIP, AND I HAVE MY 11 12 OWN IDEAS ABOUT, YOU KNOW, SPONSORSHIPS, AND I'M 13 SURE ALL OF US DO. SO I'M NOT, I SUPPOSE, WILLING TO, YOU KNOW, MAKE IT CONTINGENT. IF WE WERE 14 15 LOOKING AT TODAY TAKING \$20,000 AND THAT'S IT, AND THEN THE DISCUSSION OF THE SPONSORSHIPS IS 16 COMPLETELY A STAND ALONE, THEN I COULD PROBABLY 17 18 LIVE WITH THAT. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I THINK THAT'S 19

MRS. GOTCH IS SAYING. IT'S JUST THAT WOULD BE

COMMITMENT ON THAT TODAY. YOU KNOW, THAT THAT BE

CONSIDERED, BUT NOT THAT THE BOARD MAKE A

DECIDED LATER. THE ONLY THING WE WOULD DECIDE ON TODAY OTHER THAN SAYING WE'LL DECIDE ON THAT LATER WOULD BE THE OIL PORTION OF IT.

91

WOOLD DE THE OTE TO

- 1 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I COULD NOT SUPPORT
- 2 TAKING A PIECE OUT OF THAT MONEY BY ACTION TODAY.
- 3 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: YOU'RE TALKING ABOUT
- 4 THE SPONSORSHIP. NO, I'M NOT ASKING THAT AT THIS
- 5 TIME. WE'LL LOOK AT THAT NEXT MONTH, BUT I'D LIKE
- 6 TO SUGGEST THAT TODAY WE TAKE A LOOK AT THIS OIL
- 7 FUND MONEY. PERHAPS IT'S 15,000 AND THEN NEXT
- 8 MONTH WHEN WE CONSIDER THE SPONSORSHIP PARTNERSHIP
- 9 ISSUE, WE CONSIDER SMART SHOP.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: WELL, NOW WAIT. IT
- 11 WAS 20, SO IT'S GOING DOWN.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: 20. 20. 20. 20.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SOUNDS LIKE AN
- 14 AUCTION GOING ON.
- 15 BOARD MEMBER JONES: CAN I ASK A QUESTION,
- 16 MR. CHAIRMAN? WHEN DO YOU NEED THE MONEY BY?
- 17 MR. ASSMAN: THE CAMPAIGN RUNS MID-JANUARY
- 18 THROUGH THE FIRST PART OF FEBRUARY.
- 19 BOARD MEMBER JONES: LET ME TELL YOU, IF
- 20 WE WIND UP GETTING INTO A DISCUSSION IN ADMIN AND
- 21 SETTING THE CRITERIA, YOU -- WE WON'T HAVE THAT
- DONE IN TIME FOR THIS YEAR'S PROGRAM. THERE'S NO
- 23 WAY. I MEAN MR. RELIS HAS ISSUES; WE ALL HAVE
- 24 ISSUES.

25

I THOUGHT THE DISCUSSION AT THE

1 COMMITTEE WAS TO LOOK AT A SIGNIFICANT OIL MESSAGE, 2 AND SO I WOULD -- CAN I OFFER A SUBSTITUTE MOTION? 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: SHE HASN'T ACTUALLY 4 PUT A MOTION FORWARD. 5 BOARD MEMBER JONES: SHE HASN'T. I'D LIKE TO -- THIS IS ON THE FLY, SO SOMEBODY NEEDS TO MAKE 6 SURE I DON'T MESS THIS UP. I WOULD LIKE TO AMEND 7 8 RESOLUTION 97-533, WHICH HAS BEEN PROPOSED BY 9 STAFF, TO HAVE AN AMOUNT OF \$15,000 FOR A 10 SIGNIFICANT OR FOR A -- YEAH, THAT'S THE RIGHT WORD I WANT TO USE -- SIGNIFICANT -- I WAS IN L.A. LAST 11 12 WEEK -- SIGNIFICANT OIL MESSAGE AS PART OF THE SHOP 13 SMART CAMPAIGN, AND THAT THOSE DOLLARS COME FROM THE \$20,000 FUND BALANCE IN THE OIL ACCOUNT, 14 15 LEAVING A BALANCE OF \$5,000 IN THERE IF THERE IS 16 ANYTHING ELSE. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SECOND. 17 18 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. THE MOTION IS IS TO TAKE 15,000 OUT OF THE OIL FUND TO FUND --19 20 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MAY -- I'D ALSO LIKE 21 TO ASK THAT INCLUDED IN THIS THAT NEXT MONTH WHEN

WE CONSIDER THE SPONSORSHIP/PARTNERSHIPS, THAT SHOP

SMART BE THE NO. 1 ITEM OFF THE BLOCK.

22

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MEANING SIMPLY THAT

25 IT WOULD BE LISTED IT FOR CONSIDERATION.
93

1	DONDO MEMBED COTCU: ITCTED EOD CONCIDEDA
1	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: LISTED FOR CONSIDERA-
2	TION.
3	BOARD MEMBER JONES: BUT NOT ACTION.
4	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: CONSIDERATION NEXT
5	MONTH.
6	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: LET ME POINT OUT,
7	STEVE, AND I WOULD LIKE TO TRY TO CONVINCE YOU OF
8	THIS, THAT IT'S SIMPLY KEEPING THE OPTION OPEN. IF
9	THERE'S CONCURRENCE AND THINGS COME TOGETHER AROUND
10	THE THING NEXT MONTH AND IT'S THE NEXT ITEM ON THE
11	AGENDA, THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD CAN DECIDE UP OR
12	DOWN THEN WHETHER THEY'RE READY TO SUPPLEMENT THE
13	OIL MONEY WITH CONTRIBUTION MONEY. IF NOT, NOT.
14	YOU KNOW, YOU HAVE THE ABILITY TO DECIDE THAT
15	DEPENDING ON HOW THE CRITERIA ISSUE GOES NEXT
16	MONTH.
17	I MEAN I DON'T THINK YOU DON'T LOSE
18	ANY OPTIONS BY AGREEING TO LIST IT ON THE AGENDA
19	NEXT MONTH.
20	MS. TOBIAS: MR. CHAIR, MAY I INJECT A
21	NOTE OF CAUTION HERE. THE ITEM BASICALLY LISTS THE
22	FUND SOURCES, THE IWMA. SO THE ITEM HAS NOT BEEN
23	NOTICED FOR THE USE OF OIL FUNDS. I THINK THAT

- THAT'S, YOU KNOW, SOMETHING THAT THE BOARD CAN
- BASICALLY TAKE INTO ACCOUNT HERE. I'M NOT SAYING 94

1 THAT YOU CAN'T ACT ON IT. I'M MERELY RAISING THIS 2 AS AN ISSUE THAT YOU MIGHT WANT TO CONSIDER. 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT SOUNDS LIKE TO ME THAT WE SHOULD GO BACK TO A MOTION AS MRS. GOTCH 4 5 STARTED OUT SUGGESTING, THAT WE THROW THIS BACK IN THE LAP OF THE STAFF AND LOOK AT IT WITH ALL OF 6 THESE THINGS DONE. 7 8 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: ALTHOUGH SHE SAID 9 THERE IS AN OPENING THERE. WE CAN GO AHEAD IF WE 10 CHOOSE. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WE MODIFY ITEMS 11 12 THAT STAFF PUT BEFORE US ALL THE TIME, AND ALL THIS 13 INFORMATION IS IN THE SAME AGENDA ITEM. I CAN'T IMAGINE ANYBODY IS GOING TO SAY, "WELL, YOU 14 15 COULDN'T DECIDE TO SUPPLEMENT OR MODIFY WHAT THE STAFF HAS RECOMMENDED OR PUT BEFORE YOU OR THE 16 COMMITTEE PUT BEFORE US." IF THAT WERE THE 17 18 CRITERIA, BOY, WE'D BE HAMSTRUNG ON ITEMS ALL DAY LONG AT EVERY BOARD MEETING. 19 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: HAS THE ITEM ON 21 SPONSORSHIPS, HAS THAT BEEN NOTICED ALREADY? ARE

WE PAST THE DEADLINE FOR THE COMMITTEE?

MS. PEDERSON: IF -- MR. CHAIRMAN, IF I

22

25 THE STAFF BENEFIT AND UNDERSTANDING WHERE YOU MIGH
25 THE STAFF BENEFIT AND UNDERSTANDING WHERE YOU MIGH 95

- 1 WANT TO GO WITH THIS ITEM TODAY. AGAIN, I'M SUSAN
- 2 PEDERSON, ASSISTANT DIRECTOR IN THE EXECUTIVE
- 3 OFFICE, AND I'M ALSO ACTING FOR THE POLICY OFFICE,
- 4 WHICH IS BRINGING FORWARD THE SPONSORSHIP ITEM NEXT
- 5 MONTH.
- 6 THE CONTENT OF THAT ITEM IS, AS MR.
- 7 CHANDLER ALLUDED TO, CRITERIA BY WHICH YOU WOULD
- 8 THEN DECIDE OUT OF PERHAPS MULTIPLE INTERESTS THAT
- 9 WOULD LIKE RECEIVE MONEY FROM THE BOARD, CRITERIA
- 10 WHICH WOULD THEN DEFINE AN APPROACH AND AN OUTCOME
- 11 OF CERTAIN PEOPLE GETTING FUNDING.
- 12 ANOTHER ASPECT OF THAT ITEM WOULD BE
- 13 THE VEHICLE BY WHICH YOU WOULD DO THAT, WHICH
- 14 WOULD -- WE'RE WORKING WITH THE ADMINISTRATION
- 15 DIVISION AND THE LEGAL OFFICE ON THAT ANSWER AND
- 16 THAT ISSUE NOW. IT COULD BE THAT FOR SOME OF THESE
- 17 PROGRAMS, THERE WILL BE MULTIPLE PARTIES THAT WILL
- 18 WANT TO POTENTIALLY COMPETE FOR THE FUNDS. IT WAS
- 19 NOT OUR ANTICIPATION IN DECEMBER TO AT THIS TIME
- 20 PLAN TO HEAR OR PUT FORWARD ALL THE IDEAS AND THE
- 21 DIFFERENT INTERESTS THAT WOULD LIKE TO COMPETE FOR
- 22 THAT FUNDING.
- SO I JUST WANTED TO MAKE SURE FOR THE
- 24 BOARD'S ACTION AT THIS TIME THAT YOU UNDERSTOOD

25 WHAT THE STAFF WAS BRINGING FORWARD NOT BE SORT OF 96

- 1 A COMPLETE LIST OF ALL THE PARTIES INTERESTED, BUT
- 2 RATHER A CRITERIA AND A VEHICLE BY WHICH TO OPERATE
- 3 A PROGRAM OF THIS KIND.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, IS
- 5 THERE A MOTION ON THE FLOOR?
- 6 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES. THERE'S A
- 7 MOTION ON THE FLOOR. MOTION IS BY MR. JONES TO
- 8 TAKE \$15,000 FROM THE OIL FUND AND SHOW THAT WE
- 9 HAVE A SIGNIFICANT MESSAGE THERE OUT OF OIL FUNDS.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WHAT IS THE
- 11 POSITION OF THE MAKER OF THE MOTION WITH RELATION
- 12 TO LISTING SOMETHING NEXT MONTH ON THE CRITERIA FOR
- 13 SPONSORSHIPS FOLLOWING THAT ITEM?
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: THE MAKER OF THE
- 15 MOTION WOULD LIKE TO LEAVE IT THE WAY THE MOTION
- 16 WAS. I THINK THAT ANY OTHER DISCUSSION IS ANOTHER
- 17 DISCUSSION. I DON'T WANT TO CONDITION THIS BECAUSE
- 18 I'M TRYING TO MAKE IT WORK. AND THE ONLY WAY I CAN
- 19 MAKE IT WORK FOR ME IS TO MAKE IT A CLEAN MESSAGE.
- THERE'S AN OIL MESSAGE, WE'LL GIVE THEM 15 GRAND,
- 21 IF THE BOARD SO DESIRES. ANOTHER ISSUE WILL COME
- 22 AFTER WE SET UP THE CRITERIA. I THINK WE DO THEM A
- 23 DISSERVICE TO BRING THEM IN IN JANUARY OR DECEMBER
- 24 WHEN WE HAVEN'T EVEN DETERMINED WHAT A CRITERIA IS

OR WHAT ISSUE.

1 I REMEMBER THE BUY RECYCLED 2 DISCUSSION SAID I WANT TO SEE CRRA, I WANT TO SEE 3 THIS, I WANT TO SEE THAT. TO PUT THIS TO THE TOP, I MAY NOT EVEN VOTE FOR MY OWN MOTION. OIL 4 5 MONEY --6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: COULD I SUGGEST 7 THEN IF WE'RE GOING TO PRECLUDE -- IF THE MAJORITY 8 OF THE BOARD DOESN'T WANT TO HEAR THIS SPONSORSHIP ITEM NEXT MONTH, THEN THAT WE GO BACK TO THE 20,000 9 ON THAT MOTION INSTEAD OF 15 FOR THE OIL AND JUST 10 LEAVE IT AT THAT AND NOT WORRY RIGHT NOW ABOUT 11 12 WHETHER OR NOT IWM MONEY -- SPONSORSHIP MONEY IS 13 GOING TO GO INTO SHOP SMART? 14 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THAT TAKES OUR BALANCE DOWN TO ZERO. THAT WAS THE ONLY REASON I 15 SAID 15, JUST IN CASE SOMETHING COMES UP IN THE 16 NEXT SIX MONTHS, WE WILL HAVE ZERO DOLLARS. AND 17 THAT'S WHY I THOUGHT 15 WOULD LEAVE US AT LEAST A 18 \$5,000 PIECE. 19 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. FRAZEE. 21 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'M HAVING SOME DIFFICULTY UNDERSTANDING WHERE WE'RE 22 23 GOING HERE, PARTICULARLY IN THE -- WHAT WE'RE

- 24 STRUGGLING WITH IS THE CART BEFORE THE HORSE
 25 SITUATION AND WHY IT'S ALL RIGHT TO VIOLATE THE
- 25 SITUATION AND WHY IT'S ALL RIGHT TO VIOLATE THAT 98

- 1 POLICY WITH OIL MONEY AND IT'S NOT WITH IWMA MONEY
- 2 CAUSES ME SOME CONCERN. IF THE POLICY IS ONE THAT
- 3 NEEDS TO WAIT UNTIL WE ADOPT A POLICY, THEN THAT
- 4 OUGHT TO BE ACROSS THE BOARD FOR ALL FUNDING
- 5 SOURCES. AND I CANNOT SUPPORT THE MOTION AS MUCH
- 6 AS I HAVE SOME FEELING FOR THIS PROGRAM.
- 7 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: JUST FOR THOSE
- 8 LANDSCAPERS AND THE LAWN MOWERS IN SOUTHERN
- 9 CALIFORNIA, THAT COULD BE INTERPRETED AS A
- 10 CONTRIBUTION AS WELL FROM THE OIL FUND. SO I

THINK

- 11 THE PRECEDENT HAS BEEN SET FOR THIS YEAR ANYWAY
- 12 THAT FROM THE OIL FUND WE ARE --
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: I'M NOT SURE I

AGREE

14 WITH THAT. I CONSIDER THAT A DEMONSTRATION

PROJECT

- 15 AS THIS WAS TWO YEARS AGO WHEN WE PUT A HUNDRED
- 16 FIFTY THOUSAND INTO IT.
- 17 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THIS IS ALSO
- 18 SOMETHING THAT'S BEEN PROVEN THOUGH. IT'S PROVEN
- 19 TO WORK, AND WE'RE ABLE TO REACH MILLIONS OF
- 20 PEOPLE --

21	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THAT'S TRUE.
22	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: WITH OUR WASTE
23	REDUCTION MESSAGE.
24	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: BUT WE DID PUT
25	150,000 IN IT TWO YEARS AGO, WHICH WAS THE 99

- 1 KICKSTART, AND IT APPARENTLY DIDN'T KICKSTART IT
- 2 ENOUGH THAT IT'S SELF-SUFFICIENT YET. WE HAVE A
- 3 MOTION ON THE FLOOR. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER
- 4 DISCUSSION, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 5 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
- 6 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: NO.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: JONES.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
- BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
- THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
- 16 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO. MOTION
- 17 CARRIES.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D
- 19 LIKE TO MOVE THAT WE PLACE AN ITEM ON THE
- 20 COMMITTEE'S AGENDA FOLLOWING THE CRITERIA FOR
- 21 SPONSORSHIPS NEXT MONTH FOR CONSIDERATION OF SOME
- 22 SUPPLEMENTATION UNDER THE SPONSORSHIP FUNDING OF
- THE SHOP SMART PROGRAM.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AND I'LL SECOND.

25

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WE CAN AMEND TO GET 100

- 1 THAT ON. OKAY. MR. CHESBRO MOVES THAT THE ITEM BE
- 2 PLACED ON THE -- THE REMAINING FUNDS BE PLACED ON
- 3 THE AGENDA FOR THE NEXT BOARD MEETING. AND I'M
- 4 SURE IT WILL HAVE TO GO THROUGH THE COMMITTEE.
- 5 IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 6 WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
- 7 THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
- 8 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
- 9 THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
- 11 THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
- 13 THE SECRETARY: JONES.
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
- 15 THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I WAS GOING TO SAY NO
- 17 BECAUSE IT'S INCONSISTENT WITH WHAT I SAID EARLIER.
- 18 I'LL STAND WITH A NO.
- 19 THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
- 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO. MOTION
- 21 CARRIES.
- 22 WE'LL MOVE TO ITEM 21, CONSIDERATION
- 23 OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY PERMIT -- WE'LL

24	TAKE A	FIVE-MINUTE	BREAK HERE
25		(RECE	SS TAKEN.)
			101

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IF WE CAN 1 2 COME BACK TO ORDER HERE, WE CAN GET THROUGH A COUPLE MORE BEFORE WE BREAK FOR LUNCH. 3 OKAY. WE'VE GOT A QUORUM. I THINK 4 MRS. GOTCH WILL BE RIGHT BACK. WE'LL START WITH 5 б CONSIDERATION OF A REVISED SOLID WASTE FACILITY 7 PERMIT FOR THE ZANKER ROAD CLASS III LANDFILL IN 8 SANTA CLARA COUNTY. DOROTHY RICE. 9 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS. JON WHITEHILL WILL MAKE THIS PRESENTA-10 11 TION. 12 MR. WHITEHILL: GOOD MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN, BOARD MEMBERS. THIS PERMIT BEFORE YOU IS 13 BEING REVISED TO REFLECT CHANGES IN FACILITY 14 OPERATION AND TO MORE SPECIFICALLY CONDITION SITE 15 DESIGN PARAMETERS. FOR INSTANCE, THE 1985 PERMIT 16 ALLOWED THE LANDFILL TO ACCEPT AN AVERAGE OF 350 17 TONS OF WASTE PER DAY AND ENCOURAGED RECOVERY OF 18 19 RECYCLABLE MATERIALS. THE PROPOSED PERMIT ALLOWS 20 THE FACILITY TO RECEIVE A MAXIMUM OF 1300 TONS OF MATERIAL PER DAY, COMPOST 200 TONS OF GREEN 21 22 MATERIAL PER DAY, AND DISPOSE OF 300 TONS OF WASTE

PER DAY. FIFTY TO 90 PERCENT OF INCOMING MATERIAL

IS CURRENTLY DIVERTED FOR RECYCLING.

23

AS THE BOARD AGENDA ITEM FOR THIS 102

- 1 PERMIT WENT TO PRINT AND ALSO IN MY REPORT TO THE
- 2 PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE, I WAS UNABLE
- 3 TO INCLUDE A STAFF RECOMMENDATION BECAUSE WE WERE
- 4 STILL WAITING TO CONFIRM THE OPERATING LIABILITY
- 5 AND INTEGRATED WASTE MANAGEMENT PLAN FINDINGS.
- 6 STAFF HAVE SINCE MADE ALL THE REQUIRED FINDINGS,
- 7 INCLUDING THOSE ITEMS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED, AND
- 8 STAFF CAN NOW RECOMMEND THAT THE BOARD ADOPT
- 9 RESOLUTION NO. 97-500, CONCURRING IN THE ISSUANCE
- 10 OF SOLID WASTE FACILITIES PERMIT NO. 43-AN-0007.
- 11 THE LEA IS NOT HERE TODAY, BUT REPRESENTATIVES OF
- 12 THE OPERATOR ARE HERE IN CASE YOU HAVE QUESTIONS.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY QUESTIONS
- 14 OF MR. WHITEHILL?
- BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D
- 16 LIKE TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE ADOPT RESOLUTION
- 17 97-500.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SECOND.
- 19 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. IT'S BEEN
- 20 MOVED AND SECONDED THAT RESOLUTION NO. 500 BE
- 21 ADOPTED. IF THERE'S NO FURTHER DISCUSSION,
- 22 SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL, PLEASE.
- THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER

CHESBRO.

24	BOAF	RD	MEMBER	CHE	SBRO:	AYE.
25	THE	SE	ECRETARY	<u> </u>	FRAZEE.	
				103		

1	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
2	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
3	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
4	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
5	BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
6	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
7	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
8	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
9	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
10	CARRIES.
11	BOARD MEMBER JONES: MR. CHAIRMAN, JUST
12	FOR ONE SECOND, IF I CAN. MICHAEL GROSS IS IN THE
13	AUDIENCE. EVERYBODY THAT HEARS MY SAN FRANCISCO
14	EARTHQUAKE STORY AND THE STORY ABOUT HOW WE
15	RECOVERED THAT STUFF AND WE HAD THAT MANAGER THAT
16	WAS ON SITE THAT FOUND THAT \$20 BILL THAT SORT OF
17	WAS THE IMPETUS BEHIND US DOING THAT RECYCLING, I
18	WANT TO DULY NOTE THAT IT'S MICHAEL GROSS SITTING
19	IN THAT AUDIENCE THAT WAS THE TRIGGER THAT LET SAN
20	FRANCISCO RECOVER ALL THAT MATERIAL FOR THE
21	RESIDENTS OF THE SAN FRANCISCO EARTHQUAKE THAT WERE
22	DEVASTATED.
23	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I KNOW THE STORY.

- I DON'T KNOW IF ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS DO. I THINK
- 25 IT'S REALLY PRETTY INCREDIBLE THING THAT WAS DONE. 104

1 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WELCOME. YOU GOT 20 2 BUCKS. YOU CAN BUY US ALL LUNCH OR SOMETHING. 3 MR. GROSS: I GAVE IT BACK. 4 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MOVING TO ITEM 23, CONSIDERATION OF ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE 5 DECLARATION FOR A MAJOR TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR 6 7 THE CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS MONOFILL, CAM, IN CALAVERAS 8 COUNTY. 9 MS. RICE: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIRMAN AND 10 MEMBERS. MICHAEL KEFFER WILL PRESENT THIS ITEM AND THE FOLLOWING ITEM. 11 12 MR. KEFFER: MORNING, MR. CHAIRMAN AND MEMBERS OF THE BOARD. ITEM 23 PERTAINS TO THE 13 14 ADOPTION OF A NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR A MAJOR 15 WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS MONOFILL, COMMONLY REFERRED TO AS CAM, LOCATED IN 16 17 CALAVERAS COUNTY. ON SEPTEMBER 17, 1997, A MAJOR WASTE 18 TIRE FACILITY PERMIT APPLICATION WAS RECEIVED FROM 19 20 CAM REQUESTING APPROVAL TO STORE WASTE TIRES AT 21 THEIR FACILITY IN CALAVERAS COUNTY. NUMEROUS CEOA DOCUMENTS WERE PROVIDED AS PART OF THE PERMIT 22

APPLICATION; BUT IN REVIEW OF THOSE DOCUMENTS, CEQA

24	STAFF	IN	THE	PΕ	RMITTING	AND	ENFORCEMEN	T DIVIS	ION
25	DETERN	/INE	D TH	ΙE	POTENTIAL	ENV	/IRONMENTAL	EFFECTS	OF
					101	5			

- 1 ABOVEGROUND STORAGE OF WASTE TIRES WERE NOT
- 2 ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED.
- 3 CEQA STAFF, THEREFORE, PREPARED AN
- 4 INITIAL STUDY AND NEGATIVE DECLARATION FOR
- 5 DISTRIBUTION TO RESPONSIBLE AND INTERESTED
- 6 PARTIES. THOSE DOCUMENTS WERE DISTRIBUTED THROUGH
- 7 THE STATE CLEARINGHOUSE ON OCTOBER 16, 1997. THE
- 8 REQUIRED COMMENT PERIOD TERMINATED ON NOVEMBER 17,
- 9 1997.
- 10 DURING THE COMMENT PERIOD ONE LETTER
- 11 WAS RECEIVED WITH CONCERNS FROM THE POKER FLAT
- 12 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION WHOSE MEMBERS RESIDE ON LAKE
- 13 TULUCK LOCATED TO THE SOUTH AND WEST OF THE CAM
- 14 FACILITY. A COPY OF THAT LETTER WAS DISTRIBUTED
- 15 THIS MORNING TO ALL BOARD MEMBERS AND COPIES PLACED
- 16 ON THE TABLE IN THE BACK OF THE ROOM FOR MEMBERS OF
- 17 THE AUDIENCE. ALSO IN THAT PACKET WAS A COPY OF
- 18 THE RESPONSE LETTER PREPARED BY BILL ISHMAEL OF OUR
- 19 CEQA STAFF.
- 20 ON THE FOURTH PAGE IN YOUR PACKET,
- 21 PLEASE NOTE THE COMMENTS CONCERNING FIRE, THE
- 22 SHREDDING OF TIRES, AND TIRES THAT WERE IN THAT ONE
- 23 LETTER RECEIVED FROM THE POKER FLAT HOMEOWNERS
- 24 ASSOCIATION.

AT THE FRONT OF THAT PACKET, YOU WILL 106

FIND THE FOUR-PAGE MEMORANDUM FROM BILL ISHMAEL OF 1 THE P&E DIVISION CEQA STAFF TO CODY BEGLEY, 2 SUPERVISOR OF THE TIRE SECTION. ALTHOUGH CEOA DOES 3 NOT REQUIRE RESPONSE TO COMMENTS FOR A NEGATIVE 4 DECLARATION, STAFF PREPARED WRITTEN COMMENTS FOR 5 б THE BOARD AND INTERESTED PARTIES AND PRESENT THEM 7 AS PART OF THIS AGENDA ITEM. 8 TO SUMMARIZE HIS COMMENTS, IT WAS 9 DETERMINED BY BOARD CEQA STAFF THAT THE CONCERNS EXPRESSED IN THE LETTER FROM THE POKER FLATS 10 11 HOMEOWNERS ASSOCIATION WERE ADEQUATELY ADDRESSED IN 12 CEQA DOCUMENTS PREVIOUSLY PREPARED BY CAM AND DID NOT PERTAIN TO THE ABOVEGROUND STORAGE OF WASTE 13 TIRES FOR WHICH THIS NEGATIVE DECLARATION HAD BEEN 14 PREPARED. THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS THE BOARD 15 ADOPTION OF RESOLUTION 97-505, THE NEGATIVE 16 17 DECLARATION FOR A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT FOR CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS MONOFILL IN CALAVERAS 18 19 COUNTY. THAT COMPLETES MY PRESENTATION. 20 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY QUESTIONS? 21 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: QUESTIONS. 22 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MRS. GOTCH. BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU, MR. CHAIR. 23 THIS PERMIT ALLOWS FOR THE STORAGE OF APPROXIMATELY

25 $47,000$ TIRES. AND I HAVE CONCERNS ABOUT THE 107

- 1 POSSIBILITY OF CREATING ANOTHER TIRE PILE. PERHAPS
- 2 YOU CAN ANSWER A QUESTION. AND DOES THE OPERATOR
- 3 HAVE ANY PLANS TO RECYCLE THE TIRES OR, FOR THAT
- 4 MATTER, RECLAIM THE TIRES FROM THE MONOFILL
- 5 EVENTUALLY? WE'RE OKAYING THE DELIVERY OF THE
- 6 TIRES, BUT WE DON'T HAVE THE SECOND, THE MONOFILL
- 7 FOR THE TIRES PERMIT.
- 8 MS. RICE: CORRECT. AS WAS DESCRIBED IN
- 9 COMMITTEE, THIS IS IN A SENSE ONE PIECE OF A
- 10 REQUIRED APPROVAL PROCESS FOR THE OPERATION OF A
- 11 TOTAL FACILITY. I'M SURE THE OPERATOR CAN BETTER
- 12 DESCRIBE WHAT THEY PROPOSE TO DO. BUT IT IS OUR
- 13 UNDERSTANDING THAT THEY ULTIMATELY PROPOSE TO
- 14 OPERATE A MONOFILL, AND THAT THIS IS ONE PIECE OF
- 15 THE APPROVAL PROCESS THAT THEY WOULD NEED FOR THAT,
- 16 THE ABOVEGROUND STORAGE, BUT THIS IS NOT THE
- 17 APPROVAL FOR THE MONOFILL ITSELF.
- AND THIS PERMIT DOES PROVIDE
- 19 FINANCIAL ASSURANCES FOR REMOVAL OF THESE TIRES AS
- 20 IS REQUIRED BY ANY APPLICANT FOR A MAJOR WASTE TIRE
- 21 FACILITIES PERMIT. THAT IS IN A SENSE OUR
- 22 ASSURANCE THAT THIS WOULD NOT TURN INTO A TIRE
- 23 PILE.
- 24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IS THE OPERATOR HERE

OR SOMEBODY REPRESENTING THE OPERATOR? YOU WANT TO $$108\:$

- 1 COME UP TO THE MIKE HERE, AND MAYBE YOU CAN ANSWER
- 2 MRS. GOTCH'S QUESTION.
- 3 MR. TONEY: NAME IS JOEY TONEY. I'M THE
- 4 VICE PRESIDENT OF OPERATIONS AT CAM. THE TIRE
- 5 PILES THAT WE HAVE MADE APPLICATION FOR IN OUR
- 6 PERMIT ARE ACTUALLY PROCESSING PILES. AND WHAT IS
- 7 GOING TO HAPPEN IS THAT WE INITIALLY ARE GOING TO
- 8 RECEIVE ONLY SHREDDED TIRES. AND MY BELIEF IS THAT
- 9 THE MAJORITY OF OUR BUSINESS WILL BE THAT.
- 10 HOWEVER, IF AT SOME FUTURE DATE IT DOES BECOME
- 11 NECESSARY TO SHRED TIRES ON SITE, THEN WE WILL HAVE
- 12 PROVIDED FOR THAT IN OUR APPLICATION.
- THE FOOTPRINT OF THE TIRES IS -- THE
- 14 PILES IS QUITE SMALL. ONE WOULD BE A SHREDDED
- 15 PILE; ONE WOULD BE AN INCOMING PILE. AND THE WAY
- 16 THOSE WILL WORK IS THAT AS WHOLE TIRES COME IN THIS
- 17 END, THE OTHER TIRES AT THE OTHER END ARE GOING
- 18 THROUGH A SHREDDER AND DOWN TO A PIT. AND ALSO
- 19 ANOTHER FACET OF THAT IS OUR COUNTY VECTOR CONTROL
- 20 PERMIT REQUIRES THAT NO TIRES CAN SIT LONGER THAN
- 21 90 DAYS.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I GUESS IF I COULD
- FOLLOW UP ON MS. GOTCH'S QUESTION. YOU KNOW, WE'VE

- 24 HAD A LOT OF EXPERIENCE, MOSTLY NEGATIVE, WITH TIRE
- 25 PILES. SO THERE IS A SLIGHT BIT OF PERHAPS 109

- 1 PARANOIA HERE. BUT LET'S JUST SAY, OKAY, IN THIS
- 2 PARTICULAR CASE WHY DO YOU NEED THE PILE NOW
- 3 INDEPENDENT OF YOUR LARGER PERMIT TO OPERATE THE
- 4 MONOFILL?
- 5 MR. TONEY: ORIGINALLY THE IDEA OF
- 6 SHREDDING ACTUALLY CAME FROM THE COUNTY PLANNING
- 7 COMMISSION. THEY WANT US TO PROVIDE ACCESS OF
- 8 COUNTY RESIDENTS FOR TIRE DISPOSAL AT OUR FACILITY,
- 9 AND WE WERE GOING TO ACCOMMODATE THAT. AND THEN
- 10 ALSO ORIGINALLY WE WERE NOT UNDER THE IMPRESSION
- 11 THAT WE NEEDED A LARGE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT AND
- 12 HAVE SINCE FOUND THAT WE DID AND MADE APPLICATION
- 13 AND SO ON. AND SO IN MAKING THAT APPLICATION, WE
- 14 MADE PROVISION FOR SHREDDING AT THAT TIME.
- 15 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: BUT UNLIKE OTHER
- 16 PILES, IF I'M MISTAKEN, SINCE YOU WOULD NEED A FULL
- 17 PERMIT, YOU NEED TO COME UP WITH FINANCIAL --
- 18 YOU'RE GOING ASSURE THAT WE WOULD HAVE THE
- 19 WHEREWITHAL IN THE EVENT THAT, LET'S SAY, YOUR
- 20 LARGER PERMIT WAS --
- MR. TONEY: THAT'S DONE.
- 22 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: -- TO REMOVE THESE
- 23 TIRES. AND STAFF HAS VERIFIED THAT THE ASSURANCES
- 24 WOULD, IN FACT, COVER THE 50,000 TIRES IF THEY WERE

25 ALL --

MS. RICE: THAT'S CORRECT.

```
2
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: OKAY. AND THAT'S --
 3
      CORRECT ME OR REFRESH ME. HOW MUCH WILL WE BE
 4
      SETTING ASIDE?
               MR. TONEY: $50,000 WAS THE AMOUNT.
 5
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: DOLLAR A TIRE THEN.
 6
 7
               MR. TONEY: CORRECT.
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: THANK YOU.
 8
 9
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. CHESBRO.
10
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, FIRST
      OF ALL, I WANTED TO SAY THAT THIS RESPONSE TO THE
11
12
      COMMUNICATION ON CEOA IS KIND OF WHAT I WAS TRYING
      TO GET AT EARLIER TODAY. THIS IS THE KIND OF THING
13
14
      I'M TALKING ABOUT. IT'S JUST LIKE SOME KIND OF A
15
      RESPONSE THAT JUST DESCRIBES WHY WE DON'T THINK
      THAT THE ISSUE THAT'S BEEN RAISED IS SIGNIFICANT OR
16
      DOESN'T REQUIRE US TO TAKE ANY OTHER ACTION.
17
                    I GUESS THE PHRASE, GOING TO THE
18
      GENERAL TOPIC HERE, IS -- THAT COMES TO MIND IS
19
      TRUST BUT VERIFY. I THINK IT WAS HENRY KISSINGER
20
21
      OR ONE OF THOSE SECRETARIES OF STATE BACK THERE WHO
22
      USED TO USE THAT PHRASE. IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING
23
      THAT WE WILL -- THAT RATHER THAN A PERMIT, THAT THE
```

- MONOFILL, THE WAY STAFF VIEWS IT AT THIS TIME IS
- 25 THAT THE MONOFILL WILL REQUIRE SOME KIND OF AN 111

AGREEMENT; IS THAT CORRECT?

1

MS. RICE: THAT'S CORRECT, MR. CHESBRO. 2 3 WE ARE CURRENTLY WORKING ON AN ORDER THAT WOULD DESCRIBE THE OPERATIONS OF THE MONOFILL PORTION OF 4 THE FACILITY UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE HAVE ADOPTED 5 REGULATIONS WHICH WOULD SET OUT SPECIFIC PERMIT 6 REQUIREMENTS FOR TIRE MONOFILLS. AS YOU KNOW, WE 7 8 DON'T CURRENTLY HAVE SUCH REGULATIONS, AND A FEW MONTHS AGO THE BOARD DIRECTED THAT WE DEVELOP 9 10 THOSE, AND THOSE ARE NOT YET READY. SO IN THE INTERIM PERIOD WE ARE 11 12 PROPOSING TO DEVELOP AN ORDER THAT WOULD DESCRIBE 13 THE OPERATION OF THE FACILITY AND WOULD IN A SENSE WORK IN TANDEM WITH THIS PERMIT THAT'S BEFORE YOU 14 TODAY TO SANCTION ALL THE OTHER OPERATIONS. 15 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WOULD THAT ORDER 16 COME TO THE COMMITTEE AND BOARD? 17 18 MS. RICE: WE WERE NOT PROPOSING TO DO 19 THAT. 20 MR. TONEY: I THINK IT'S ALSO IMPORTANT TO 21 UNDERSTAND THAT THE MONOFILL PORTION OF THIS PERMIT HAS ALREADY BEEN APPROVED BY CALAVERAS COUNTY AND 22 23 WENT THROUGH A COMPLETE CEQA PROCESS/PERMITTING

24	PROCESS	WITH	PUBLIC	COM	MENT	AND	SO	ON.	. ANI) WE
25	ADEQUATE	ELY CO	VERED .	ALL 11	~	rions	TF	TAI	WERE	RAISED

AT THAT TIME, AND THE THINGS THAT I HEAR CURRENTLY 1 2 ARE REPETITIVE OF THAT. 3 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THE AGREEMENT, ONCE WE HAD REGULATIONS IN PLACE, WOULD BE REPLACED WITH 4 A PERMIT. IS THAT WHAT I UNDERSTOOD YOU TO SAY? 5 6 MS. RICE: THAT'S OUR ASSUMPTION, YES, 7 THAT THE ORDER WOULD BE IN PLACE FOR A LIMITED 8 PERIOD OF TIME. WE ARE PROPOSING AT THIS POINT NO MORE THAN ONE YEAR, AND WHEN THE YEAR WAS UP, WE 9 WOULD REVISIT. HOPEFULLY WE'D HAVE THE REGS IN 10 PLACE AND COULD BE ABLE TO PERMIT THE FACILITY. IF 11 12 NOT, THEN WE WOULD HAVE TO LOOK AT EXTENDING THE 13 ORDER. BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I'M EXTREMELY 14 UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE FACT THAT WE'RE TAKING ONE 15 PIECE WITHOUT THE WHOLE PICTURE OF INCLUDING THE 16 17 MONOFILL AND THAT THE -- APPARENTLY UNTIL THE REGS ARE IN PLACE, THE MONOFILL WILL WIND UP BEING DONE 18 19 AS A RESULT OF AGREEMENT THAT THE BOARD ISN'T GOING 20 TO REVIEW. I'M NOT AGAINST MONOFILLING AT THIS SITE, AND I'M NOT AGAINST SOME SORT OF EXPEDITED 21 22 EFFORT THAT DOESN'T REQUIRE THEM TO WAIT UNTIL THE REGS ARE IN PLACE, BUT I AM UNCOMFORTABLE ABOUT THE 23

DISCONNECTION OF THE TWO PIECES OF IT AND THE FACT

25 THAT APPARENTLY THE ORDER WILL NOT BE CONSIDERED BY 113

1 THE BOARD. SO THOSE ARE TWO SERIOUS CONCERNS THAT 2 I HAVE. 3 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. MR. JONES. 4 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THAT -- THE ITEM 5 THAT'S IN FRONT OF US RIGHT NOW, THOUGH, IS THE NEGATIVE DECLARATION, CORRECT, NOT THE TIRE? 6 OKAY. I'VE BEEN OUT TO THAT SITE. I'VE SEEN WHAT 7 8 IS PROPOSED THERE. AND I THINK THAT, AS ALL THE BOARD MEMBERS ARE AWARE, THERE'S TWO ITEMS. WE HAD AN ITEM COME FORWARD WHERE SOMEBODY HAD PROPOSED A 10 MONOFILL TO TAKE CARE OF THEIR CLOSURE FUNDING OR 11 12 CHANGE THE WAY THEY WERE GOING TO DO IT. WE WERE 13 VERY WORRIED BECAUSE OF THE FIRE ISSUES, THOSE TYPES OF THINGS. 14 15 THE CAM PEOPLE ARE WORKING WITH STAFF TO MONITOR THE PLACEMENT OF TIRES SO THAT WE CAN 16 ACTUALLY GET SOME OF THAT INFORMATION SO THAT WE 17 DON'T -- I THINK WHAT OUR CONCERN WAS WAS THAT WE 18 DIDN'T DEVELOP REGULATIONS THAT CREATED AN 19 20 ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTER BECAUSE THE EVIDENCE WAS 21 THERE THAT TIRES PILED 20 FEET TALL CREATED HEAT. AND SOME OF THE TIRE FIRES ON THE ROADS IN 22

WASHINGTON THAT BURNED UP, IT WAS FOUND THAT

	24	ORGANICS	MIXING	WITH TH	E SHREDDED	METAL	IN TH	E
25 BANDS OF THE TIRES WERE PROBABLY THE CAUSE OF	25	BANDS OF	THE TI		_	THE CAU	USE OF	THAT

- 1 AND THAT THE SIZE OF CHIPS WERE VERY CRITICAL TO
- 2 WHAT WAS GOING TO HAPPEN.
- 3 SO STAFF HAS BEEN WORKING WITH CAM TO
- 4 MAKE SURE THAT THOSE THINGS WERE TAKEN CARE OF SO
- 5 THAT WE DIDN'T CREATE AN ENVIRONMENTAL DISASTER BY
- 6 ALLOWING REGS TO GO FORWARD ON A TIRE MONOFILL.
- 7 IT'S KIND OF IRONIC THAT THIS TIRE FILL IS GOING TO
- 8 BE IN THE SAME PIT AS ASBESTOS BECAUSE WE COULD
- 9 PROBABLY KEEP THE FIRES OUT BY COVERING IT WITH THE
- 10 ASBESTOS. I'M ONLY JOKING.
- 11 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: ASBESTOS AS
- 12 ALTERNATIVE DAILY COVER.
- 13 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'M ONLY JOKING. BUT
- 14 THE PROPERTIES OF THE MATERIAL THAT THEY ARE GOING
- 15 TO BE USING TO COVER THOSE CHIPS HAVE A PH. OF 7.
- 16 THERE ARE NO ORGANICS IN IT. SO I THINK ONE OF THE
- 17 ISSUES THAT CREATED A TIRE FIRE WAS THE FACT THAT
- 18 THERE WERE ORGANICS IN THE MATERIAL THAT WAS, YOU
- 19 KNOW, COMMINGLED WITH THESE TIRE ROADS. THIS
- 20 MATERIAL DOESN'T HAVE ANY ORGANICS IN IT. I MEAN
- 21 IT'S ABSENT OF ANY OF THAT, SO HOPEFULLY IT WILL BE
- 22 A PRETTY GOOD PROCESS.
- 23 I'M GOING TO MOVE THAT WE ADOPT THE

- 24 NEGATIVE DEC AS SOON AS I FIND THE PAGE WITH THE
- 25 RESOLUTION NUMBER ON IT.

for accuracy.

23

CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: 97-505. 1 2 BOARD MEMBER JONES: 97-505. 3 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, I'VE JUST A QUESTION BEFORE WE ACT, FURTHER INFORMATION. 4 5 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: LET ME SECOND THIS б MOTION. GO AHEAD MR. RELIS. 7 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: ON THE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES, AGAIN, WOULD -- LET'S SAY THEY BROUGHT 8 IN 50,000 TIRES NEXT MONTH. WOULD WE HAVE 50,000 9 10 IN THE KITTY EFFECTIVE AT THE TIME? THERE WOULDN'T BE A DISCONNECT BETWEEN HAVING THAT -- THE 11 REASON -- THAT'S REALLY CRITICAL CONSIDERATION IN 12 MY VIEW THAT WE HAVE THE MEANS TO DEAL WITH THE 13 PILE SHOULD IT BECOME A PILE AND NOT PART OF A 14 15 MANAGEMENT SYSTEM. 16 MS. RICE: I BELIEVE THE ITEM INDICATES IT'S A LETTER OF CREDIT THAT'S BEING PROVIDED. 17 18 MR. KEFFER: IF I COULD, I'D ALSO LIKE TO 19 CORRECT THE FACT THAT THE APPLICATION IS FOR THE STORAGE OF APPROXIMATELY 43,000 TIRES, BUT THE 20 21 FINANCIAL ASSURANCES WERE FOR \$50,000. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: OKAY. BUT BOTTOM 22

LINE, WE HAVE THE ASSURANCE THE MONEY IS THERE.

24 WOULDN'T BE A, YOU KNOW, A PILE THAT WE DIDN'T
HAVE
25 THE MONEY IN THE KITTY AT THE TIME IT BECAME A
116

```
1 PILE.
```

- 2 MS. RICE: YES, SIR.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I
- 4 HAVE ADDITIONAL QUESTION REGARDING THE CEQA. I
- 5 DON'T REMEMBER YOUR NAME. DID I UNDERSTAND THAT
- 6 THERE WAS A CEQA DOCUMENT PREPARED FOR THE COUNTY
- 7 FOR THE LANDFILL?
- 8 MR. TONEY: YES.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AND SO THE NEGATIVE
- 10 DECLARATION, DID THAT TAKE INTO ACCOUNT THIS
- 11 PORTION OF IT, THE STORAGE AND SHREDDING PORTION OF
- 12 IT?
- MR. TONEY: NO. IT REFERENCED IT BRIEFLY,
- 14 BUT THE PRIMARY FOCUS WAS THE DISPOSAL PIT ITSELF.
- 15 I JUST MIGHT ALSO ADD AT THAT TIME WE RETAINED THE
- 16 FOREMOST TIRE FIRE EXPERT IN THE NATION, DR. JOSEPH
- 17 ZELABOR WITH THE NATIONAL ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, TO
- 18 REVIEW OUR PROJECT AND MAKE RECOMMENDATIONS. AND
- 19 ALL OF HIS RECOMMENDATIONS WERE ADOPTED AS
- 20 CONDITIONS IN OUR CONDITIONAL USE PERMIT.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THE NEGATIVE DEC IS
- JUST ON THIS PORTION OF THE PROJECT.
- 23 MR. KEFFER: THAT'S WHY THE NEGATIVE

24	DECLA	ARATION	WAS	PREPARE	D BE	CAUSE	IN	REVII	ΞW	OF	THE
25	CEQA	DOCUMEN	NTS 1	PREPARED	FOR	THE	DISE	POSAL	OF	TF	ΙE
				11	7						

- 1 TIRES, WE DID NOT FEEL THEY ADDRESSED THE
- 2 ABOVEGROUND STORAGE AND, THEREFORE, THE NEGATIVE
- 3 DECLARATION WAS PREPARED FOR THAT PORTION.
- 4 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I GUESS
- 5 BEFORE WE VOTE, I JUST HAVE TO SAY I HAVE A HARD
- 6 TIME DISCONNECTING THE TWO AS DISTINCT AND
- 7 SEPARATE. ONE IS CLEARLY BEING CREATED TO PREPARE
- 8 THE TIRES FOR THIS DISPOSAL PROJECT, AND I WOULD
- 9 HOPE THAT IF THE BOARD DOES CHOOSE TO ADOPT THIS,
- 10 WE WOULD ALSO ADDRESS THE QUESTION I RAISED ABOUT
- 11 WHEN WE'RE GOING TO OR WHETHER WE'RE GOING TO SEE
- 12 THE AGREEMENT SO THAT WE HAVE SOME ABILITY TO
- 13 REVIEW THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS UNDER WHICH THE
- 14 DISPOSAL WILL TAKE PLACE.
- 15 WE'RE TAKING THIS IN DIFFERENT
- 16 PIECES, AND WE'RE NOT HAVING THE CHANCE TO REALLY
- 17 VIEW IT AS A WHOLE AND REALLY IDENTIFY THE ISSUES.
- 18 WE DON'T HAVE THAT CEQA DOCUMENT BEFORE US, EVEN
- 19 THOUGH I THINK THE PROJECTS ARE VERY CLOSELY
- 20 INTERTWINED.
- 21 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SPEAKING OF MR.
- 22 CHESBRO'S POINT, I GUESS WHAT I HEAR YOU SAYING,
- 23 WESLEY, IS YOU'RE TRYING TO SEE THE LOGIC OF WHY
- 24 THIS. AND MAYBE COULD STAFF JUST TAKE A STAB AT

25 AGAIN WHY IT COMES FORWARD IN THIS WAY BECAUSE I 118

1 THINK THAT'S THE ISSUE? I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM 2 WITH IT. I MEAN IT'S KIND OF LIKE A STORAGE. IN 3 THE WORST CASE, IF THE ASSURANCES ARE THERE, IT'S A 4 STORAGE FACILITY TO MANAGE CALAVERAS AREA TIRES. 5 MS. RICE: THAT'S EXACTLY WHAT IT IS. IN A SENSE THIS COMMITTEE SEVERAL MONTHS AGO HAD A 6 DISCUSSION ABOUT EXACTLY THIS ISSUE AND PROVIDED 7 DIRECTION TO STAFF ON HOW TO HANDLE A SITUATION WHERE OUR REGULATIONS DO NOT CURRENTLY CLEANLY 10 ACCOMMODATE THE OPERATION THAT CAM WISHES TO RUN. WE DO NOT HAVE REGULATIONS, EITHER LANDFILL 11 12 REGULATIONS OR TIRE REGULATIONS, WHICH FIT NICELY 13 FOR A MONOFILL SITUATION. 14 SO WE WERE LOOKING AT A SITUATION 15 WHERE NEITHER SET OF REGULATIONS WORKED PRECISELY. WE HAVE THE TIRE REGULATIONS WHICH WERE REALLY 16 DRAFTED TO COVER ABOVEGROUND STORAGE, WHICH IS WHAT 17 WE'RE ACCOMPLISHING TODAY. WE HAVE SOLID WASTE 18 LANDFILL REGULATIONS WHICH HAVE NO PERMIT 19 CONDITIONS WHICH RELATE TO TIRE STORAGE, THE FIRES 20 21 ISSUES THAT MR. JONES MENTIONED, AND THE OTHER CONSIDERATIONS THAT MR. TONEY MENTIONED ARE 22

ACCOMMODATED WITHIN THEIR LOCAL LAND USE APPROVAL

24	FOR	PROTECTION	OF	THE	PUBLIC.
----	-----	------------	----	-----	---------

SO WE WERE IN A SENSE TRYING TO PUT 119

SOMETHING TOGETHER AT THE DIRECTION OF THE 1 2 COMMITTEE WHICH WOULD DESCRIBE THE OPERATIONS OF 3 THE TOTAL FACILITY UNTIL SUCH TIME AS WE WERE ABLE TO PUT BEFORE YOU REGULATIONS WHICH WOULD DO THIS 4 IN A CLEANER WAY. SO, YES, WE ARE IN A SENSE 5 б TRYING TO BOOTSTRAP SOMETHING TOGETHER BECAUSE WE 7 DON'T HAVE REGULATIONS WHICH CLEARLY DIRECT STAFF 8 IN HOW TO PROCEED WITH A FACILITY OF THIS TYPE. MR. TONEY: IT'S ALSO MY UNDERSTANDING 9 THAT PART OF THE BENEFIT OF CAM IN THIS OPERATION 10 11 WILL SORT OF BE THE DEMONSTRATION OR TEST PROJECT 12 FOR THE MONOFILL REGULATIONS WHEN THOSE ARE 13 DEVELOPED AS WELL. BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: THE CEOA DOCUMENTA-14 TION FOR THE MONOFILL ITSELF IS ALREADY PASSED 15 16 BEHIND US. 17 MS. RICE: THAT'S CORRECT. BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AND THAT WAS --18 THIS 19 ONE IS BEING BROUGHT ABOUT BECAUSE THE DEFICIENCY IN THE FIRST ONE, AND SO THIS PUTS THE WHOLE 20 21 PACKAGE TOGETHER. 22 MS. RICE: THAT'S CORRECT.

BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: WITH THE PREVIOUS

ONE AND THIS ONE.

MS. RICE: YES.

1	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY FURTHER
2	DISCUSSION? IF NOT, WILL THE SECRETARY CALL THE
3	ROLL ON THE MR. JONES' MOTION TO ADOPT
4	RESOLUTION 97-505.
5	THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
6	BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: NO.
7	THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
8	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
9	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
10	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: NO.
11	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
12	BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
13	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
14	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
15	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
16	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
17	CARRIES.
18	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MR. CHAIR, MAY I GO
19	BACK TO THE QUESTION ON ZANKER ROAD. THIS KIND OF
20	BROUGHT UP A QUESTION. IS THERE A PROBLEM WITH
21	THAT?
22	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: CERTAINLY.
23	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AND THAT'S BACK TO
24	THE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES. DO WE HAVE ALL THE

25 INFORMATION ON THE FINANCIAL ASSURANCES WITH ZANKER 121

- 1 ROAD AT THIS TIME?
- 2 MS. RICE: YES. WE DID OBTAIN ALL THE
- 3 NEEDED INFORMATION, AND STAFF WERE ABLE TO
- 4 RECOMMEND CONCURRENCE ON THE PERMIT.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: WHAT ARE THE
- 6 FINANCIAL ASSURANCES?
- 7 MS. RICE: PERHAPS THE OPERATOR OR STAFF
- 8 COULD COME FORWARD AND DESCRIBE THAT.
- 9 BOARD MEMBER JONES: THEY'RE GONE.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: OKAY. THANK YOU.
- 11 EVAN, COULD YOU -- AND IF NOT, YOU CAN GET IT TO ME
- 12 LATER IF I'M PUTTING YOU ON THE SPOT.
- 13 MR. EDGAR: EVAN EDGAR OF EDGAR ASSOCIATES
- ON BEHALF OF ZANKER ROAD. THEY LEFT -- AFTER THE
- 15 VOTE WAS SIX ZERO, THEY LEFT, AND I DON'T HAVE THE
- 16 INFORMATION IN FRONT OF ME, BUT I CAN GIVE THAT TO
- 17 YOU AFTER THE MEETING.
- BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'D APPRECIATE THAT.
- 19 THANKS. IT JUST POPPED A QUESTION IN MY MIND WITH
- 20 THIS DISCUSSION.
- 21 MR. WHITEHILL: JON WHITEHILL WITH THE
- 22 PERMITTING AND INSPECTIONS BRANCH. THE ONE ITEM
- 23 THAT WAS MISSING THAT RELATED TO FINANCIAL
- 24 ASSURANCES WASN'T ACTUALLY THE MONEY ASPECT. IT

WAS THE OPERATING LIABILITY CERTIFICATION, AND WE 122

WERE WAITING FOR AN ACTUAL COPY OF THEIR INSURANCE

1

2 CERTIFICATE TO SHOW THAT THEY HAD UPDATED OPERATING 3 LIABILITY. 4 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: THANK YOU. 5 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIR, JUMPING BACK TO THE ITEM WHICH WE JUST VOTED ON, I WOULD 6 7 LIKE FOR -- TO MAKE A MOTION THAT WE DIRECT THAT 8 THE, BECAUSE OF THE NATURE OF THE WAY WE'RE GOING TO HANDLE REGULATION OF THIS FACILITY UNTIL THE REGULATIONS ARE IN PLACE, THAT THE ORDER, WE DO 10 SOMETHING SORT OF UNUSUAL OR EXTRAORDINARY, AND 11 12 THAT IS THAT THE STAFF ORDER BE BROUGHT TO THE 13 COMMITTEE AND TO THE BOARD FOR APPROVAL ON THE 14 CALAVERAS FACILITY. 15 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: THROUGH THE PERMITTING AND ENFORCEMENT COMMITTEE? 16 17 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: RIGHT. 18 MR. CHANDLER: I'D LIKE TO SPEAK TO THAT ISSUE, MR. CHAIRMAN. I THINK THERE'S A CLEAR 19 SEPARATION OF WHERE THE ADMINISTRATIVE FUNCTIONS OF 20 21 THE ENFORCEMENT STAFF AND MY OFFICE RESIDE VERSUS THE ROLE OF THE BOARD. AND I'M CONCERNED THAT IF 22 23 YOU'RE NOW GOING TO BE SETTING A PRECEDENT WHERE IF

24	YOU	WA1	T MY	ENFORCE	EMENT	STAF	TO	COME	ТО	THE	BOARD
25	ТО	GET	ENFO	RCEMENT	ACTIO	ON TAI	ŒN,	YOU'I	RE :	THE	APPEAL
					123						

- BODY HERE. YOU ARE THE BODY THAT PEOPLE COME TO TO 1 QUESTION ENFORCEMENT ACTION, AND I HAVE A GRAVE 2 CONCERN THAT WE'RE ON THIS SLIPPERY SLOPE. 3 IF YOU'RE LOOKING FOR INFORMATION ON 4 HOW THIS ENFORCEMENT -- THIS STIPULATED ORDER IS 5 б GOING TO BE CARRIED OUT AND THE CONDITIONS AROUND 7 IT, I AM MORE THAN WILLING TO MEET WITH YOU 8 INDIVIDUALLY AND LAY OUT EXACTLY HOW WE INTEND TO DO THE STIPULATED ORDER, BUT I THINK IT IS A BAD 9 PRECEDENT FOR US SEEKING TO TAKE ENFORCEMENT 10 11 ACTIONS BEFORE THE BOARD PRIOR TO THE STAFF ISSUING 12 THOSE ENFORCEMENT ACTIONS. 13 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I HAVE TO TELL YOU I LIKE YOUR SLIPPERY SLOPE METAPHOR, BUT NOT TO 14 MAKE LIGHT OF IT, BUT I GUESS THE DIFFERENCE, AND I 15 DON'T DISAGREE WITH YOU UNDER NORMAL CIRCUMSTANCES, 16 17 MR. CHANDLER. I WOULDN'T NORMALLY WANT TO BRING SOMETHING LIKE THIS FORWARD TO THE BOARD. 18 19 PROBLEM IS I THINK WE'RE BEING ASKED TO ALLOW A 20 FACILITY TO OPERATE ESSENTIALLY WITHOUT A PERMIT AND IN LIEU OF REGULATIONS COMING LATER. AND 21 THAT
- 22 IS WHAT CONCERNS ME.
- 23 IT IS, I THINK, IN A CATEGORY BY

- 24 ITSELF THAT'S PRETTY DIFFERENT THAN ANY OTHER I
 CAN
- 25 THINK OF. SO I HOPE IT WOULDN'T BE SETTING ANY 124

- 1 PRECEDENT OR BEGINNING THE SLIPPERY SLOPE THAT
- 2 YOU'RE CONCERNED ABOUT, AND I WOULD CONCUR THAT
- 3 THAT WOULD NOT BE A DESIRABLE DIRECTION FOR US TO
- 4 GO.
- 5 MR. CHANDLER: I GUESS MY COMMENT IS I
- 6 FELT THERE WAS OTHER WAYS I COULD COMMUNICATE THAT
- 7 KIND OF INFORMATION TO THE BOARD BESIDES A VOTE
- 8 PROCESS ON WHAT WE THINK IS APPROPRIATE ENFORCEMENT
- 9 ACTION.
- 10 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: WE DO NEED A SECOND
- 11 TO THIS.
- 12 BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: I'LL SECOND.
- 13 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: MR. JONES.
- 14 BOARD MEMBER JONES: I'M WONDERING HOW
- 15 WE -- IS YOUR MOTION THAT WE'RE GOING TO VOTE ON
- 16 THIS NOTICE AND ORDER? OR IS YOUR MOTION THAT YOU
- 17 WANT THE INFORMATION?
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: WELL, I CERTAINLY,
- ONE WAY OR ANOTHER, WOULD LIKE THE OPPORTUNITY FOR
- 20 THE COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD TO GIVE ITS BLESSING TO
- 21 STAFF ON THE DIRECTION THAT THEY'RE GOING WITH THIS
- 22 THROUGH A MORE FORMALIZED PROCESS. WHETHER THAT
- 23 MEANS A FORMAL VOTE ON THE NOTICE AND ORDER, I HAD
- 24 NOT REALLY REACHED A CONCLUSION ON, BUT I DO THINK

25 THE PURPOSE OF BRINGING IT FORWARD IS BECAUSE IT IS 125

- 1 IN LIEU OF A PERMIT. AND SO THE BOARD'S
- 2 OPPORTUNITY TO MAKE CLEAR -- I MEAN TO HAVE THAT
- 3 SORT OF AUTHORITY IS BY BRINGING IT BEFORE THE
- 4 COMMITTEE AND THE BOARD.
- 5 BOARD MEMBER JONES: BECAUSE I THINK -- I
- 6 MEAN I UNDERSTAND WHAT YOU'RE SAYING. IT IS A
- 7 STRANGE SITUATION THAT -- BUT IF THERE'S -- IF A
- 8 PERMIT ISN'T REQUIRED YET BECAUSE WE DON'T HAVE THE
- 9 REGS, HOW DO WE VOTE ON SOMETHING THAT ISN'T
- 10 REQUIRED? WE DON'T VOTE ON RECYCLING CENTERS. WE
- 11 DON'T VOTE ON THESE FOLKS THAT -- I MEAN ON A WHOLE
- 12 LOT OF OTHER THINGS.
- 13 I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH THAT
- 14 COMING FORWARD, WHETHER IT'S RALPH COMING TO US OR
- 15 TELLING US, YOU KNOW, WHAT THE CONDITIONS ARE
- 16 BECAUSE I, FRANKLY, YOU KNOW, HAVE BEEN PRETTY
- 17 INVOLVED -- NOT INVOLVED IN THAT, BUT I THINK AT
- 18 SOME COMMITTEE MEETINGS WE BROUGHT FORWARD A LOT OF
- 19 THE EVIDENCE THAT NEEDED US TO DEVELOP THESE
- 20 THINGS. SO I DON'T HAVE A PROBLEM WITH AN
- 21 INFORMATIONAL ITEM IN OUR OFFICES, BUT I REALLY
- 22 DON'T AGREE THAT IF SOMETHING DOESN'T NEED A PERMIT
- 23 UNTIL WE DEVELOP A REG, HOW DO WE VOTE ON THAT AND
- 24 NOT SET A PRECEDENT THAT'S EVEN SCARIER?

25

BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: BUT IT DOES REQUIRE 126

- 1 A PERMIT, AND WE'RE JUST TRYING TO TEMPORARILY
- 2 SUBSTITUTE TO GET IN UNDER RADAR, IF YOU WILL. YOU
- 3 KNOW, THIS IS A FACILITY THAT WOULD NORMALLY
- 4 REQUIRE A PERMIT. WE'RE JUST IN THIS ODD SITUATION
- 5 WHERE WE DON'T HAVE REGULATIONS TO DETERMINE THE
- 6 DETAILS. I MEAN THE ALTERNATIVE WOULD BE FOR US
- 7 BRING IT FORWARD AND PROCESS IT UNDER SOME OTHER
- 8 SOLID WASTE FACILITIES PERMIT TIER. THERE ISN'T
- 9 ONE THAT'S BEEN DESIGNED FOR THIS SPECIFIC
- 10 SITUATION, BUT IT DOES REQUIRE A PERMIT. I BEG TO
- 11 DIFFER WITH YOU THAT IT'S NOT A FACILITY THAT
- 12 REQUIRES A PERMIT.
- 13 BOARD MEMBER JONES: NO. I DIDN'T SAY
- 14 THAT. I SAID IT DOESN'T REQUIRE A PERMIT TODAY.
- 15 IT'S GOING TO REQUIRE A PERMIT AFTER THE
- 16 REGULATIONS ARE THERE. YOU DON'T HAVE A MECHANISM
- 17 RIGHT NOW.
- 18 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I THINK THAT WE'RE
- 19 GETTING INTO THE DETAILS OF THIS, BUT I THINK THAT
- 20 THE CONCEPT OF AN ORDER IS THAT IT ESSENTIALLY
- 21 SHOULD HAVE A PERMIT AND IS OPERATING WITHOUT ONE
- 22 AND WE'RE ATTEMPTING TO, AS WE DO WITH OTHER
- 23 FACILITIES THAT ARE NOT IN COMPLIANCE, HAVE A

24 MECHANISM BY WHICH WE CAN TRY TO PROTECT THE PUBLI

25 HEALTH, SAFETY, AND THE ENVIRONMENT IN LIEU OF A

```
1
      LEGAL PERMIT.
               BOARD MEMBER JONES: WESLEY, I AGREE WITH
 2
      YOU THAT THERE'S A HEALTH AND SAFETY ISSUE HERE. I
 3
      THINK IT'S SEMANTICS AS TO -- YOU KNOW, I MEAN IT'S
 4
      SEMANTICS. THAT'S WHY I THINK AS AN INFORMATIONAL
 5
 б
      ITEM. I JUST DON'T -- I AGREE WITH MR. CHANDLER.
 7
      I DON'T KNOW HOW WE VOTE ON A NOTICE AND ORDER
 8
      UNLESS WE SEE EVERY NOTICE AND ORDER THAT COMES IN
 9
      FRONT.
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THIS IS A VERY
10
11
      DIFFERENT SITUATION THAN ORDINARILY. I ACTUALLY,
12
      NOW THAT I THOUGHT ABOUT IT, I THINK IT SHOULD BE
      BROUGHT FORWARD FOR US FOR A VOTE. BUT I'D ALSO
13
      INCLUDE IN THE MOTION, IF THE SECONDER WOULD AGREE,
14
      THAT IT'S NOT INTENDED TO SET A PRECEDENT THAT
15
16
      UNDER OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES NOTICE AND ORDERS BE
17
      BROUGHT TO THE COMMITTEE OR THE BOARD FOR A VOTE.
               BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: GLAD YOU ADDED THAT.
18
19
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THAT'S NOT THE
20
      INTENTION OF THIS TO BEGIN A PROCESS OF REGULARLY
      BRINGING NOTICE AND ORDERS BEFORE THE BOARD. IT'S
21
22
      JUST THAT I'M UNCOMFORTABLE WITH THE CIRCUMSTANCE
      THAT WE'RE ALLOWING A FACILITY THAT REQUIRES A
23
```

PERMIT TO OPERATE WITHOUT A PERMIT, AND I THINK THE

25 BOARD NEEDS TO HAVE A ROLE IN THAT. \$128\$

```
1
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR.
 2
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: YES, MR. RELIS.
 3
               BOARD MEMBER RELIS: I'D LIKE TO ASK MR.
 4
      CHESBRO. I MEAN IS YOUR CONCERN THAT THIS IS
      LIKE -- MAYBE THIS ISN'T A GOOD WORD, ESPECIALLY AT
 5
      THIS TIME -- BUT A STEALTHY PERMIT, LIKE IT'S JUST
 6
      NOT FULLY DISCLOSED WHAT IS GOING ON HERE? IS THAT
 7
      THE NATURE OF YOUR CONCERN? IS IT PROCESS? IS IT
 9
      ALL OF THE --
10
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: I THINK IT'S THE
      CONTENT OF WHAT'S GOING TO GO ON AT THE FACILITY,
11
12
      JUST LIKE YOU WOULD HAVE BEFORE YOU IF IT WERE A
      PERMIT. IT'S TO THE DEGREE TO WHICH THE ORDER IS
13
      GOING TO PROTECT PUBLIC HEALTH AND SAFETY. IT'S
14
15
      NOT JUST AN ENFORCEMENT ACTION. THAT'S WHY -- I
      MEAN IT IS IN LIEU OF A PERMIT, AND THAT'S WHY I
16
      THINK THE BOARD NEEDS TO BE CONCERNED ABOUT ITS
17
18
      AUTHORITY IN THAT SITUATION, OUR AUTHORITY.
               CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY FURTHER
19
20
      DISCUSSION ON THIS? IF NOT, WILL THE SECRETARY
21
      CALL THE ROLL ON MR. CHESBRO'S MOTION.
22
               THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
23
               BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: AYE.
```

THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.

BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: NO.

1	THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
2	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: AYE.
3	THE SECRETARY: JONES.
4	BOARD MEMBER JONES: NO.
5	THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
6	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: ABSTAIN.
7	THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
8	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: NO. MOTION FAILS.
9	BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: JUST A POINT OF
10	ORDER, MR. CHAIRMAN. I THINK ALL THIS DISCUSSION
11	IS TAKING PLACE A LITTLE BIT OF AHEAD OF TIME. THE
12	ONLY ITEM THAT'S BEEN BEFORE US HAS BEEN THE CEQA
13	DOCUMENTATION AND WHETHER OR NOT TO CERTIFY AN EIR.
14	I'M PUZZLED BY THE NO VOTES ON THAT ITEM. SO JUST
15	WANTED TO RAISE THAT AS A POINT OF ORDER.
16	CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ITEM 24,
17	CONSIDERATION OF ISSUANCE OF A NEW MAJOR WASTE TIRE
18	FACILITY PERMIT FOR THE CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS
19	MONOFILL, CAM, IN CALAVERAS COUNTY.
20	BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, JUST
21	BEFORE WE TAKE THIS UP, THIS IS LINGERING HERE WITH
22	THIS LAST ITEM. I'M WONDERING IF WE JUST
23	PROCEDURALLY, IF WE GET ONE OF THESE UNUSUAL
24	SITUATIONS WHERE WE HAVE THIS PROBLEM WITH LACK OF

25 REGULATIONS, THERE'S A STORAGE FACILITY. IT COULD 130

```
BE A NUMBER OF OTHERS. I THINK IT WOULD HAVE -- IT
 1
 2
      WOULD HAVE HELPED ME PERHAPS -- I DON'T KNOW IF IT
      WOULD BE TRUE WITH YOU, WESLEY OR JANET, BUT TO
 3
      AGAIN SEE IT IN CONTEXT. AND I FEEL THAT MAYBE WE
 4
      DIDN'T QUITE GET -- CAPTURE THAT IN THE ITEM OR IN
 5
 б
      THE PRESENTATION. WHEN YOU EXPLAINED IT, DOROTHY,
 7
      IT WAS CLEAR TO ME. BUT I HAVE TO SAY IT WASN'T
      ALTOGETHER CLEAR BEFORE YOU DID THAT.
 8
 9
                     I THINK WE COULD -- MIGHT NOT HAVE
      CHANGED THE OUTCOME HERE, BUT I THINK WE OUGHT TO
10
      ERR ON THE SIDE OF FULLY MAPPING OUT THE TREE HERE
11
      OF WHAT'S REALLY GOING ON AND SEQUENTIALLY SO THAT
12
      WE DON'T HAVE TO DO THAT ON SORT OF THE QUICK
13
      BECAUSE I THINK IT GETS DIFFICULT TO DO.
14
               MR. CHANDLER: I CAN APPRECIATE THAT, MR.
15
      RELIS, BECAUSE I THINK THAT MAYBE THERE'S A BIT OF
16
      A FOREST FOR THE TREES. I THINK FROM THE STAFF'S
17
      PERSPECTIVE, WE HAVE BEEN SPENDING HOURS WITH THE
18
19
      OPERATOR GRAPPLING OVER JUST WHAT ARE THE
20
      APPROPRIATE CONDITIONS, WHAT IS GOING TO BE THE
      APPROPRIATE REFERENCE FOR THIS STIPULATED ORDER.
21
22
      AND I THINK WE HAVE BEEN SO CLOSE TO IT, WE SAW
      CLEARLY THE EARLIER PERMIT COMMITTEE DIRECTION AND
23
```

DECISIONS THAT NOW DATE BACK SEVERAL MONTHS AS KIND

OF OUR GUIDANCE ON HOW TO PROCEED, AND WE PROBABLY

- 1 COULD HAVE DONE A BETTER JOB. BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SEE, THAT'S I THINK 2 3 IS -- IT'S CLEAR IN YOUR MINDS. I MEAN YOU'VE BEEN 4 WORKING WITH THEM CLOSELY. IT'S NOT CLEAR -- I DON'T THINK -- I WAS AT COMMITTEE AND EVEN AFTER 5 6 THAT I WAS A BIT. 7 MR. CHANDLER: I THINK -- I FELT WE ALL 8 WALKED AWAY SEVERAL MONTHS AGO WITH THIS BEING A CLEAR CASE OF A CATCH 22. THEY HAVE AN OPERATION 9 10 THAT NEEDS -- THAT SEEMS TO BE AN APPROPRIATE WAY TO DEAL WITH TIRES, AND WE HAVE NO REGULATORY 11 12 STRUCTURE UNDER WHICH WE CAN ACCOMMODATE THEM. AND SO FROM THERE YOU DIRECTED US TO GO BACK AND LOOK 13 AT CREATIVE WAYS TO NOT ONLY DEAL WITH GETTING 14 15 THESE REGULATIONS IN PLACE, BUT SEEING IF THIS OPERATION COULD BE A MODEL DEMONSTRATION TO 16 ACCOMPLISH THAT. AND WE'VE HAD TO WORK WITHIN THE 17 REGULATORY VOID ALMOST TO SEE HOW WE CAN ACTUALLY 18 MOVE THIS FORWARD. WE FEEL WE'VE DONE THAT, AND WE 19 PROBABLY COULD HAVE DONE A BETTER JOB OF GIVING YOU 20 21 MORE CONTEXT AND HISTORY, BUT GOOD POINT, WELL
- 23 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ITEM 24, TRY

22

TAKEN.

24	THAT	AGAIN,	CONS	IDERATION	OF	THE	ISS	UANC	E OF	A	NEW
25	MAJOR	R WASTE	TIRE	FACILITY	PER	MIT	FOR	THE	CALI	FOE	RNIA
				132							

MR. KEFFER: AS PREVIOUSLY MENTIONED IN 2 3 THE ITEM BEFORE THIS ITEM, CALIFORNIA ASBESTOS 4 MONOFILL APPLIED FOR A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT ON SEPTEMBER THE 17TH IN 1997. STAFF 5 REVIEWED THE APPLICATION AND DEEMED IT COMPLETE ON 6 OCTOBER THE 16TH, 1997. 7 A PREPERMIT INSPECTION WAS CONDUCTED 8 BY STAFF ON OCTOBER THE 27TH. DURING THAT 9 PREPERMIT INSPECTION, STAFF AND THE CALAVERAS LEA 10 DETERMINED THAT THE DESIGN AND PROPOSED OPERATION 11 12 OF THE WASTE TIRE STORAGE FACILITY AT CAM IS IN COMPLIANCE WITH THE WASTE TIRE STORAGE AND DISPOSAL 13 STANDARDS. ALL THE OTHER TECHNICAL REQUIREMENTS, 14 15 SUCH AS FIRE SAFETY, VECTOR CONTROL, SECURITY ACCESS OR, EXCUSE ME, FACILITY ACCESS AND SITE 16

ASBESTOS MONOFILL, CALAVERAS COUNTY. MS. RICE.

THEREFORE, STAFF RECOMMENDS THAT THE
BOARD ADOPT PERMIT DECISION 97-506, APPROVING THE

TO BE IN COMPLIANCE WITH ALL REQUIREMENTS,

REGULATORY REQUIREMENTS.

SECURITY AND THE STORAGE OF WASTE TIRES, WERE FOUND

- 22 ISSUANCE OF A MAJOR WASTE TIRE FACILITY PERMIT NO.
- 23 05-TI-0726.

1

17

18

24 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. ANY

25 DISCUSSION ON THIS?

1	BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: MAY I? JUST A QUICK
2	QUESTION. QUESTION IS, IN GENERAL, THE SOURCE OF
3	THE TIRES. DO WE KNOW WHERE THEY'RE FROM? I DON'T
4	KNOW IF I SHOULD BE ASKING THE OPERATOR RATHER THAN
5	STAFF. WHERE ARE THE TIRES FROM, PLEASE, MR. TONEY?
6	MR. TONEY: AS I MENTIONED INITIALLY,
7	WE'RE GOING TO RECEIVE SHREDDED TIRES. YOU HAVE TO
8	CONSIDER THE HAULING RADIUS AROUND CAM. WE'RE SORT
9	OF UP IN CALAVERAS COUNTY THERE ALL BY OURSELVES,
10	SO WE DON'T HAVE AS LARGE A DRAW AS FAR AS VOLUME
11	IS CONCERNED AS A FACILITY IN THIS AREA MIGHT HAVE.
12	THE SURROUNDING COUNTIES CERTAINLY, WE BELIEVE,
13	WILL USE OUR FACILITY. WE WILL HAVE, I THINK, SOME
14	TIRE PILE CLEANUP TIRES ARRIVING AT CAM AS THAT
15	PROGRESSES BECAUSE OFTEN THOSE ARE CONTAMINATED
16	WITH MUD AND DIRT AND SO ON, AND THE BURNER FOLKS
17	HAVE A HARD TIME DEALING WITH THOSE. I WOULD THINK
18	WE WOULD GET SOME OF THOSE AS WELL.
19	I PERSONALLY SEE THIS AS A WINDOW OF
20	OPPORTUNITY. WE OBVIOUSLY HAVE A LITTLE TIRE
21	PROBLEM IN THIS STATE, AND WE'RE TRYING TO RESOLVE
22	IT, AND I KNOW EVERYONE IS WORKING HARD, BUT TODAY
23	IT'S NOT A HUNDRED PERCENT AND WE STILL NEED

- 24 SOMEPLACE TO PUT THESE TIRES FOR AT LEAST SOME
- 25 TIME. YOU KNOW, WE'RE STEPPING FORWARD WITH A 134

- 1 SOLUTION TO THAT.
- 2 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: MR. CHAIR, DID I HEAR
- 3 THAT THE -- IN YOUR LOCAL DELIBERATIONS THAT THE
- 4 LOCAL GOVERNMENTS WERE LOOKING AT THIS AS A PLACE
- 5 TO PARK THE TIRES, OR IS THAT -- WAS THAT A SUBJECT
- 6 OF DISCUSSION?
- 7 MR. TONEY: THE PLANNING COMMISSION
- 8 REQUESTED THAT WE MAKE PROVISION TO ACCEPT IN-
- 9 COUNTY TIRES FROM RESIDENTS, WHICH WE HAVE DONE.
- 10 BOARD MEMBER RELIS: SO YOU HAVE TO
- 11 ACCOMMODATE THOSE AS A CONDITION?
- 12 MR. TONEY: NO, WE DON'T, BUT WE HAVE DONE
- 13 SO.
- 14 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: ANY OTHERS? MR.
- 15 CHESBRO.
- 16 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: MR. CHAIRMAN, I'D
- 17 LIKE TO ASK STAFF WHAT THE, UNDER THE ORDER
- APPROACH, WHAT THE RIGHTS OF AN AFFECTED PARTY
- 19 WOULD BE UNDER AB 59. I MEAN WE HAVE PART OF THE
- 20 PROCESS WITH THE LEA AND THE BOARD AND REGULAR
- 21 PERMIT PROCESS IS THERE'S STEPS IN WHICH PUBLIC
- 22 PARTICIPATION IS ALLOWED AND PEOPLE ARE GIVEN THE
- OPPORTUNITY TO COMMENT. HOW WOULD THAT WORK WHEN
- WE'RE DOING AN ORDER IN LIEU OF A PERMIT?

MS. RICE: I'M NOT REAL SURE. I'LL START 135

- 1 AND MAYBE KATHRYN CAN HELP ME OUT. WE HAVE A BIT
- 2 OF A HYBRID HERE AGAIN I WAS DESCRIBING EARLIER.
- 3 WE ARE LINKING TOGETHER PIECES OF SOLID WASTE LAW
- 4 AND PIECES OF TIRE LAW AND TRYING TO CRAFT
- 5 SOMETHING THAT MAKES THE MOST SENSE FOR THE
- 6 SITUATION. THE AB 59 APPEALS PROCESS YOU REFER TO
- 7 IS, OF COURSE, OUT OF SOLID WASTE LAW. I DON'T
- 8 BELIEVE IT APPLIES TO TIRE LAW. BUT, AGAIN, WE
- 9 HAVE KIND OF A HYBRID HERE.
- 10 WE ALSO ARE NOT DEALING WITH AN LEA
- 11 HERE BECAUSE WE AS THE BOARD DIRECTLY TAKE ACTION
- 12 AS REGARDS TIRES, SO USUALLY THE WAY THE AB 59
- 13 PROCESS WORKS IS A REQUEST IS MADE FOR A HEARING
- 14 BEFORE A LOCAL HEARING PANEL, AND THEN IT COMES
- 15 HERE. SO I'M NOT QUITE SURE WHAT THE COURSE IS
- 16 THAT WE WOULD FOLLOW ON THIS ONE. I PRESUME, AS
- 17 RALPH INDICATED, THAT THERE WOULD BE AN APPEAL
- OPTION TO THE BOARD ITSELF IF THERE WERE A PROBLEM
- 19 WITH THE ORDER.
- 20 BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: IS THAT THE STAFF
- 21 POSITION, THAT THERE WOULD BE AN APPEAL TO THE
- BOARD?
- MS. TOBIAS: SURE. THIS WOULD BE A
- 24 REGULAR ENFORCEMENT ORDER, SO IT'S LIKE ANY OTHER

ORDER. IF SOMEBODY WANTS TO APPEAL IT, THEY COULD 136

- 1 CERTAINLY APPEAL IT OR BRING A QUESTION TO THE
- 2 BOARD AS TO WHETHER THEY FEEL IT IS INAPPROPRIATE
- 3 OR NOT WORKING OR WHATEVER. SO IT FOLLOWS ALL THE
- 4 REGULAR PROCEDURES.
- 5 AND WE'VE ACTUALLY, THE SECTION THAT
- 6 WE'RE USING FOR THIS IS ONE THAT WE'VE USED ON
- 7 ANOTHER FACILITY. SO THERE IS IN A SENSE NOT AN
- 8 EXACT PRECEDENT, BUT ONE THAT STILL IS THERE. AND
- 9 I THINK THERE'S ABSOLUTELY NO PROBLEM WITH EITHER A
- 10 CITIZEN OR EVEN THE LOCAL GOVERNMENT, IF THEY FEEL
- 11 THAT SOMETHING IS GOING WRONG THERE, TO BE ABLE TO
- 12 EITHER BRING A QUESTION OR APPEAL IT TO THE BOARD.
- BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: THAT'S HELPFUL
- 14 ACTUALLY TO KNOW. MR. CHANDLER, I'M GOING TO VOTE
- 15 AGAINST THIS. I JUST WANTED TO REPEAT I'M NOT
- 16 AGAINST THE MONOFILL OR THE PROCESSING OF THESE
- 17 TIRES. JUST THE DISCONNECTED NATURE OF THIS
- 18 PROCESS WHERE THE BOARD ISN'T SORT OF -- DOESN'T
- 19 HAVE IT ALL IN FRONT OF US TO MAKE A DETERMINATION
- ON IS NOT ACCEPTABLE TO ME.
- 21 CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: OKAY. WE NEED A
- MOTION.
- BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: I'LL MOVE ADOPTION
- OF RESOLUTION 97-506.

BOARD MEMBER JONES: I WILL SECOND.

```
1
              CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: IT'S BEEN MOVED AND
 2
      SECONDED. ANY FURTHER DISCUSSION? IF NOT, WILL
      THE SECRETARY CALL THE ROLL.
 3
 4
              THE SECRETARY: BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO.
 5
             BOARD MEMBER CHESBRO: NO.
6
              THE SECRETARY: FRAZEE.
7
              BOARD MEMBER FRAZEE: AYE.
8
           THE SECRETARY: GOTCH.
9
              BOARD MEMBER GOTCH: NO.
          THE SECRETARY: JONES.
10
11
      BOARD MEMBER JONES: AYE.
      THE SECRETARY: RELIS.
12
13
              BOARD MEMBER RELIS: AYE.
14
              THE SECRETARY: CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON.
15
          CHAIRMAN PENNINGTON: AYE. MOTION
16 CARRIES. WE WILL RECESS NOW UNTIL 2 O'CLOCK.
17
18
                  (RECESS TAKEN.)
19
20
21
22
23
24
```